

Form Approved OMB No. 0920-XXXX Exp. Date xx/xx/20xx

Results must be received at the CAP no later than midnight, Central Time by the due date below:

Online: cap.org (preferred method) Fax: 866-FAX-2CAP (866-329-2227) Public reporting burden of this collection of information varies from 15 to 30 minutes with an estimated average of 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Information Collection Review Office, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-XXXX).

# Survey of Immunohistochemistry (IHC) Validation **Practices and Procedures**

The College of American Pathologists (CAP) Pathology Laboratory Quality Center: Cooperative Agreement with Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Post Survey from CAP Proficiency Testing Mailing HER2B-2010 and "Principles of Analytic Validation of Immunohistochemical Assays" Evidence-Based Guideline

#### Introduction

The CAP is collaborating with the CDC on a cooperative agreement, "Improving the Impact of Laboratory Practice Guidelines: A New Paradigm for Metrics." We invite your laboratory to assist our goal of examining the current state of IHC validation practices and procedures by completing this important follow-up survey to the original one sent in the 2010 HER2-B mailing. Your participation is completely voluntary and we appreciate your time which is estimated to take 20 minutes for completion. We recommend that you have your current laboratory procedures available. Your responses will remain anonymous. All information collected in this survey will be kept in a secure manner. No individual answers will be shared with the CDC. Your CAP number will connect your survey answers to demographic data on file and will ensure that only one response per laboratory is received. The CAP and the CDC will publish the post-survey overall results as part of the cooperative agreement. If you have any questions, please email center@cap.org.

Validation of nonwaived test systems is mandated by Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA 88). Since the introduction of immunohistochemistry, this test has been used as an adjunct to morphologic diagnosis and has not been subject to rigorous quality control and

Recently, with the introduction of prognostic and therapeutic Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved IHC tests (eg, HER2) and the 2013 publication, "Principles of Analytic Validation of IHC Assays," the field is being provided with more precise and consistent test procedures in validation

Please note that this survey does not apply to HER2 or the ER and PgR assays as separate guidelines for those markers have already been established. A list of terms and definitions are included below:

TERM	DEFINITION
Analytic Validity	A test's ability to accurately measure the analyte of interest.
Clinical Validity	A test's ability to detect or predict a disorder, a prognostic risk, or likelihood of treatment response.
Predictive Marker	A stand-alone test that provides information on likely response to a given therapy and may directly determine therapy (eg, CD20, CD117).
Non-Predictive Marker	A test usually done as part of a panel and interpreted only in the context of other morphologic and clinical data.
Laboratory developed test (LDT)	A test developed within a clinical laboratory that is performed by the laboratory in which the test was developed and is neither FDA-cleared nor approved.
Laboratory modified test (LMT)	An FDA-cleared or approved test that is modified by a clinical laboratory.  Modified means any change to the assay that could affect its performance specifications for sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, or precision, etc. Such modifications include but are not limited to:  Changes in specimen handling; Changes in incubation times or temperatures; Changes in specimen or reagent dilution; Change in antibody; Change or elimination of a procedural step; Change in antigen detection system; Change in scoring for semi-quantitative assays.
Validation	A defined process by which a laboratory confirms that a laboratory-developed or modified test performs as intended or claimed.
Verification	The process by which a laboratory determines that a FDA-cleared or approved assay performs according to the recommendations set forth by the manufacturer.

<sup>1</sup> Fitzgibbons PL, Bradley LA, Fatheree LA, et al. Principles of analytic validation of immunohistochemical assays: Guideline from the College of American Pathologists Pathology and Laboratory Quality Center. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2014;138(11):1432-1443.







# **Section I: IHC Validation Procedures**

The following questions pertain to all IHC assays other than HER2, ER and PgR.

1.	Does your	laboratory perform IHC staining?
	<sup>010</sup>	Yes No, we only do interpretation (STOP HERE. Thank you for your response.)
2.	Does your	laboratory have separate written procedures for validation of IHC predictive and non-predictive markers?
	<sup>020</sup>	
	<ul><li>○ 130</li><li>○ 503</li></ul>	Unsure
3.	Does your	laboratory have a written procedure that outlines the steps needed for analytic validation of new IHC assays?
	030 ○ 657	Yes, for predictive markers only (other than HER2, ER, and PgR)
	○ 658	Yes, for non-predictive markers only
	○ 659	Yes, for both predictive and non-predictive markers
	○ 130	No (Skip to question 10.)
	○ 503	Unsure (Skip to question 10.)
4.	Does the w	ritten procedure include specification for verifying unmodified FDA-approved assays?
	<sup>040</sup> $\bigcirc$ 129	Yes
	○ 130	No
	<ul><li>○ 259</li><li>○ 503</li></ul>	Not applicable; we don't have FDA-approved or cleared IHC assays Unsure
5.	Does the w	vritten procedure include specification for validation of LDT or LMT assays?
	050 ○ 660	Yes, for predictive LDTs or LMTs only (other than HER2, ER and PgR)
	O 661	Yes, for non-predictive LDTs or LMTs only
	O 662	Yes, for both predictive and non-predictive LDTs or LMTs
	O 130	No
	<ul><li>○ 259</li><li>○ 503</li></ul>	Not applicable; we do not create LDTs or LMTs Unsure
6.		written procedure include any specifications for validating IHC tests performed on cytologic specimens of fixed cell blocks, smears, cytospins)?
	060 ○ 657	Yes, for predictive markers only
	○ 658	Yes, for non-predictive markers only
	○ 659	Yes, for both predictive and non-predictive markers
	O 130	No
	O 259	Not applicable; we do not perform IHC tests on cytology specimens
	○ 503	Unsure







### Section I: IHC Validation Procedures, cont'd

7.	Does the written procedure include any specifications for validating IHC tests performed on decalcified specimens?					
	<sup>010</sup> ○ 657 Yes, for predictive markers only					
	O 658	Yes, for non-predictive markers only				
	○ 659	Yes, for both predictive and non-predictive markers				
	○ 130	No				
	○ 259	Not applicable; we do not perform IHC tests on decalcifed specimens				
	○ 503	Unsure				

#### **Section II: Documentation Procedures**

8. Please answer the following in regards to validation of new IHC antibody assays in your laboratory. If your laboratory does not have separate procedures, please complete Table A only.

#### Table A

When validating a new non-FDA approved, non-predictive IHC assay (eg, cytokeratin, S100, CD45), does your laboratory... OR complete if there is only one procedure in your laboratory for both non-predictive and predictive IHC assays. Yes No Unsure/Not applicable 020 () 129 O 130 O 663 Test a specified minimum number of cases? Total number: <sup>040</sup>  $\bigcirc$  129 050 Include specified numbers of positive and negative Positive number: O 130 O 663 cases in the validation set? Negative number: <sup>070</sup> O 129 080 Require minimum positive and negative concordance Positive rate: % O 130 O 663 rates? Negative rate: % <sup>100</sup>  $\bigcirc$  129 O 130 O 663 Require a minimum overall concordance rate? 110 Overall rate: ٠ %







# Section II: Documentation Procedures, cont'd

8. Continued from previous page.

#### Table B

When validating a new non-FDA approved <u>predictive marker</u> IHC assay other than HER2, ER/PgR (eg, CD20), does your laboratory					
	Yes	No	Unsure/Not applicable		
	010 ○ 129		_		
Test a specified minimum number of cases?	Total number:	O 130	○ 663		
	030 ○ 129				
Include specified numbers of positive and negative cases in the validation set?	Positive number:	O 130	O 663		
	Negative number:				
	060 ○ 129				
Require minimum positive and negative concordance rates?	Positive rate:	O 130	O 663		
	Negative rate:				
	⁰⁰⁰ ○ 129	_	_		
Require a minimum overall concordance rate?	Overall rate:	O 130	○ <b>663</b>		

0	Doog vour	laborator	dooumont	validations	and warif	iontiona	of IUC	0000103
9.	Does your	iabblatory	document	valluations	and veni	icalions (	טחו וכ	a55ay5 :

<sup>110</sup> ○ 664 Yes, always

 $\bigcirc$  665 Yes, sometimes

○ 130 No

○ 503 Unsure







# **Section III: Re-Validation Procedures**

10.	For an exis	ting validated IHC assay, does your laboratory have a written proced	ure that specifi	es when to reassess				
	an assay when there are changes in the conditions of testing to ensure it performs as expected?							
	<sup>010</sup> $\bigcirc$ 657	Yes, for predictive markers only (other than HER2, ER and PgR)	○ 130	No (Skip to question 12.)				
	O 658	Yes, for non-predictive markers only	○ 503	Unsure (Skip to question 12.)				
	○ 659	Yes, for both predictive and non-predictive markers						

11. Please answer the following in regards to re-validation of existing IHC antibody assays in your laboratory. If your laboratory does not have separate procedures, please complete Table A only.

#### Table A

Are the following changes explicitly specified when re-validating non-FDA approved, non-predictive IHC assays (eg, cytokeratin, S100, CD45)? OR complete if there is only one procedure in your laboratory for non-predictive and predictive assays.

				*If yes, p	olease provide case info	rmation.
	Yes*	No	Unsure	No.** of cases specified	No.** of cases variable and set by Laboratory Director	No.** of cases not specified
Introduction of a new lot of antibody	<sup>020</sup> O 129	O 130	O 503	030	<sup>040</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in antibody dilution	<sup>050</sup>	O 130	○ 503	060	<sup>070</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in antibody vendor (same clone)	080 ○ 129	O 130	○ 503	090	100 ○ 666	O 667
Change in antibody clone	<sup>110</sup> ○ <b>129</b>	O 130	○ 503	120	130 🔾 666	O 667
Introduction or change in antigen retrieval method	<sup>140</sup> ○ 129	O 130	○ 503	150	<sup>160</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in incubation or retrieval times (same method)	<sup>170</sup> ○ 129	O 130	○ 503	180	190 🔾 666	O 667
Change in antigen detection system	<sup>200</sup> ○ 129	O 130	○ 503	210	<sup>220</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in fixative type	<sup>230</sup>	O 130	○ 503	240	<sup>250</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in tissue processing equipment	<sup>260</sup> ○ 129	O 130	O 503	270	<sup>280</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in testing equipment	<sup>290</sup>	O 130	○ 503	300	<sup>310</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in environmental conditions (eg, laboratory relocation)	<sup>320</sup>	O 130	O 503	330	<sup>340</sup> ○ <b>666</b>	O 667
Change in water supply	<sup>350</sup> ○ 129	O 130	○ 503	360	<sup>370</sup> ○ 666	O 667

<sup>\*\*</sup>No. of cases refers to typical minimum number cases required to test in validation set.







# Section III: Re-Validation Procedures, cont'd

11. Continued from previous page.

# Table B

Are the following changes explicitly specified when re-validating non-FDA approved <u>predictive marker</u> IHC assays other than HER2, ER/PgR (eg, CD20)?						
			please provide case info	de case information.		
	Yes*	No	Unsure	No.** of cases specified	No.** of cases variable and set by Laboratory Director	No.** of cases not specified
Introduction of a new lot of antibody	<sup>010</sup> O 129	O 130	O 503	020	030 ○ 666	O 667
Change in antibody dilution	<sup>040</sup>	O 130	O 503	050	060 ○ 666	O 667
Change in antibody vendor (same clone)	<sup>070</sup> ○ 129	O 130	O 503	080	090 ○ 666	○ 667
Change in antibody clone	<sup>100</sup> ○ 129	O 130	O 503	110	<sup>120</sup> ○ 666	○ 667
Introduction or change in antigen retrieval method	<sup>130</sup> O 129	O 130	O 503	140	<sup>150</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in incubation or retrieval times (same method)	<sup>160</sup> ○ 129	O 130	O 503	170	<sup>180</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in antigen detection system	<sup>190</sup>	O 130	O 503	200	<sup>210</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in fixative type	<sup>220</sup>	O 130	○ 503	230	<sup>240</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in tissue processing equipment	<sup>250</sup> ○ 129	O 130	O 503	260	<sup>270</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in testing equipment	<sup>280</sup> ○ 129	O 130	O 503	290	<sup>300</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in environmental conditions (eg, laboratory relocation)	<sup>310</sup> O 129	O 130	O 503	320	<sup>330</sup> ○ 666	O 667
Change in water supply	<sup>340</sup>	O 130	O 503	350	360 ○ 666	O 667

<sup>\*\*</sup>No. of cases refers to typical minimum number cases required to test in validation set.







12. What is the total number of antibodies in use in your IHC laboratory?

# Section IV: General IHC Laboratory Data

Please answer the following questions with respect to ALL IHC assays currently in use.

13.	What w	as the	total	numbe	er of ne	w antil	bodies	intro	duced	into yo	ur labo	ratory (	during 2	2014?
	020													
14.	What w	as the	total	numbe	er of su	rgical ¡	patholo	ogy a	ccessio	ons in y	your lab	orator	y durin	g 2014?
	030													

15. Please provide the following information on the *most* recent IHC assay that your laboratory newly placed into clinical service.

Year introduced	040	<sup>050</sup> ○ 503 Unsure
Name of antibody	060	<sup>070</sup> ○ 503 Unsure
Was a validation study performed for this antibody assay?	<sup>080</sup> ○ 129 Yes ○ 130 No	○ 503 Unsure
*If yes, please provide the following information	).	
Total number of cases included in the validation set	090	<sup>100</sup> ○ 503 Unsure
Number of known positives cases tested	110	<sup>120</sup> $\bigcirc$ 503 Unsure
Positive concordance rate	130	<sup>140</sup> $\bigcirc$ 503 Unsure
Number of known negative cases tested	150	<sup>160</sup> ○ 503 Unsure
Negative concordance rate	170	<sup>180</sup> $\bigcirc$ 503 Unsure
Overall concordance rate	190	<sup>200</sup> ○ 503 Unsure







# Section IV: General IHC Laboratory Data, cont'd

	<sup>010</sup> $\bigcirc$ 668	Correlated the new test's results with the morphology and expected results
	○ 669	Compared the new test's results with the results of prior testing of the same tissues with a validated assay in the same laboratory
	O 670	Compared the new test's results with the results of testing the same tissue validation set in another laboratory using a validated assay
	○ 671	Compared the new test's results with previously validated non-immunohistochemical tests
	O 672	Tested previously graded tissue challenges from a formal proficiency testing program (if available) and compared the results with the graded responses
	O 010	Other, specify:
	○ 503	Unsure
Se	ction V: Av	vareness and Adoption
17.		s survey, were you aware and/or familiar with the CAP "Principles of Analytic Validation of IHC Assays" ublished in 2014?
	<sup>030</sup> $\bigcirc$ 129	Yes
	○ 673	No, however plan to review the guideline within next 6 months (Skip to question 21.)
	O 674	No, and do not plan to review the guideline (Skip to question 21.)
18.		ur current status with adopting the CAP "Principles of Analytic Validation of IHC Assays" <sup>1</sup> guideline dations that apply to your laboratory practice?
	<sup>040</sup> $\bigcirc$ 675	Currently adopted all recommendations
	○ 676	Adopted some, but not all, recommendations
	○ 677	Plan to adopt all or some within the next 6 months
	○ 678	Plan to adopt all or some within the next 7-12 months
	○ 679	Do not plan to adopt unless they become requirement from accreditation agency
19.		u currently use (or plan to use) the CAP "Principles of Analytic Validation of IHC Assays" guideline dations? (Fill all that apply.)
	<u>o50</u> ○ 680	Prospectively for newly acquired antibodies for predictive markers
	○ 681	Prospectively for newly acquired antibodies for non-predictive markers
	○ 682	Prospectively for revalidation situations
	○ 683	Retrospectively to revalidate antibodies currently in use
	O 684	Do not plan to use

16. For your most recent IHC antibody assay, what primary method of validation did your laboratory use?





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fitzgibbons PL, Bradley LA, Fatheree LA, et al. Principles of analytic validation of immunohistochemical assays: Guideline from the College of American Pathologists Pathology and Laboratory Quality Center. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2014;138(11):1432-1443.



Sec	ction V: A	vareness and Adoption, cont'd
20.		cate the <i>most difficult</i> aspect(s) about adopting the guideline recommendations into your validation process. o to three responses.)
	<u>010</u> $\bigcirc$ 685	Number of cases recommended for predictive assays
	○ 686	Number of cases recommended for non-predictive assays
	○ 687	Number of cases available for routine antigens
	○ 688	Number of cases available for rare antigens
	○ 689	Achieving 90% concordance
	○ 690	Incorporating high-low expressors
	O 691	Assessing cytology specimens
	O 692	Assessing decalcified specimens 100
	O 693	Changes in testing conditions (revalidation requirements); specify:
	O 694	Documentation
	O 695	Sufficient time/staff to run validations
	O 696	Additional cost/expense
		150
	O 010	Other, specify:
	O 650	Not applicable; do not plan to use
Sec	ction VI: A	dditional Information
21.	What is yo	ur primary role/job title?
	<sup>170</sup> $\bigcirc$ 697	IHC Laboratory Director – MD/DO
	○ 698	IHC Laboratory Director – PhD 180
	O 699	IHC Laboratory Director – Other medical credential(s), specify:
	○ 700 ○ <b>-</b> 24	Department Chair/Laboratory Medical Director
	○ 701 ○ 700	Staff pathologist
	○ 702 ○ 700	IHC section/Histotechnology Supervisor/Manager
	○ 703	Quality Assurance Manager
	O 704	Other role/title, specify:
22.	Please pro	vide any other additional information or comments on IHC validation practices in your laboratory.
	200	

Thank you for responding to this 2015 IHC Validation Practices and Procedures Survey. Your laboratory may be invited to participate in a focus group.



