## Historic Preservation Certification Application State Historic Preservation Office Review & Recommendation Sheet Significance – Part 1

ignificance – Part 1		Project Number:	
Number 1	(Property)	Preliminary done	
		_	
	(Historic District)	_	
		SHPO REVIEW SUMMARY	
	NR District Certified State or Local district	Fully reviewed by SHPO	
	Date application received by State  Date(s) additional information requested by State  Date complete information received by State  Date of transmittal to NPS  Property visited by State staff?	L. Janda NDC	
	Property visited by State staff?	Recommendation different from applicant's request	
Number 2	STATE RECOMMENDATION		
	who meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, have reviewed this application.  The property is included within the boundaries of a registered historic district, contributes to the significance of the district, and is a "certified historic structure" for the purpose of rehabilitation.  The property is included within the boundaries of a registered historic district, contributes to the significance of the district, and is a "certified historic structure" for a charitable contribution for conservation purposes in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code.  The property does not contribute to the significance of the above-named district.		
	Insufficient documentation has been provided to evaluate the struct	ure.	
	This application is being forwarded without recommendation.		
	Preliminary determinations:The property appears to meet National Register Criteria for Evaluation	·	
	The property does not appear to meet National Register Criteria for Evaluation and will not be nominated.		
	The property appears to contribute to the significance of a: potential historic district that appears to meet the National registered historic district but is outside the period(s) or are nomination or district documentation on file with the NPS	eas of significance as documented in the National Register	
	The property is located in a proposed historic district and: the property does not appear to contribute to the significan The proposed historic district does not appear to meet the i		
	The proposed historic district does not appear to meet the l	NR Criteria for Evaluation and will not be nominated.	

Nymahan	ICCLIEG	
Number 3	ISSUES	
	Extensive loss or deterioration of historic fabric	Moved property
	Substantial alterations over time	State recommendation inconsistent with NR documentation
	Significance less than 50 years old	Functionally related complex or multiple buildings within an individual nomination.
	Obscured or covered elevation(s)	Other (explain)
Number	Complete items below as appropriate	
4	(1)	is the period(s) of significance of the district.
	(2) The property is mentioned in the NR or state or local district do	
	(3) For preliminary determinations, the status of the nomination fo  Nomination has already been submitted to State Revie  months. Draft nomination is enclosed.  Nomination was submitted to NPS on  Nomination process will likely be completed within the Other, explain:	w Board, and will be forwarded to the NPS within
		t its current condition is inconsistent with the determination of nination. Supplemental Listing Record requested.
Number 5	See attachments:photographs maps	other:
NPS COM	MENTS	
 Date	National Park Service Signature	

Recordkeeping Requirements The National Park Service does not require State Historic Preservation Offices to retain this form