**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

 **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal)**

**1. Identification of the Information Collection**

**1(a) Title of the Information Collection**

NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal), EPA ICR Number 2267.04, OMB Control Number 2060-0605.

**1(b) Short Characterization/Abstract**

The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources were promulgated on January 2, 2008 (73 FR 252). These regulations apply to new and existing iron or steel foundries that are an area source of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) emissions. There are different requirements for foundries based on size. Existing foundries with an annual metal melt production greater than 20,000 tons and new foundries with an annual metal melt capacity greater than 10,000 tons are classified as large foundries. Existing foundries with an annual metal melt production of 20,000 tons or less and new foundries with an annual metal melt capacity of 10,000 tons or less are classified as small foundries.

Research and development facilities are not covered by the rule. Foundries covered by the rule would not be required to obtain a Title V operating permit. New facilities include those that commenced construction or reconstruction on or after September 17, 2007, the date of proposal. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ.

In general, all NESHAP standards require initial notification reports, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all affected facilities subject to NESHAP.

Any owner/operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements and retain the file for at least five years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records. All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regional office.

Over the next three years, an average of 427 respondents per year will be subject to these standards, and no additional respondents per year will become subject to these same standards. This estimate consists of 344 small foundries and 83 large foundries.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved the currently active ICR without any “Terms of Clearance.”

 In the United States, there are approximately 427 iron and steel foundries that are owned and operated by the iron and steel foundry industry. None of these 427 facilities are owned by either state, local, or tribal, entities or by the Federal government. They are owned and operated by privately-owned, for-profit businesses. The ‘burden’ to the “Affected Public” may be found below in Tables 1a-1c: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal). The Federal Government ‘burden’ is attributed entirely to work performed by either Federal employees or government contractors and may be found below in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal).

**2. Need for and Use of the Collection**

**2(a) Need/Authority for the Collection**

The EPA is charged under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, to establish standards of performance for each category or subcategory of major sources and area sources of hazardous air pollutants. These standards are applicable to new or existing sources of hazardous air pollutants and shall require the maximum degree of emission reduction. In addition, section 114(a) states that the Administrator may require any owner/operator subject to any requirement of this Act to:

(A) Establish and maintain such records; (B) make such reports; (C) install, use, and maintain such monitoring equipment, and use such audit procedures, or methods; (D) sample such emissions (in accordance with such procedures or methods, at such locations, at such intervals, during such periods, and in such manner as the Administrator shall prescribe); (E) keep records on control equipment parameters, production variables or other indirect data when direct monitoring of emissions is impractical; (F) submit compliance certifications in accordance with Section 114(a)(3); and (G) provide such other information as the Administrator may reasonably require.

In the Administrator's judgment, HAP emissions from iron foundries and steel foundries either cause or contribute to air pollution that may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health and/or welfare. Therefore, the NESHAP were promulgated for this source category at 40 CFR Part 63,Subpart ZZZZZ.

**2(b) Practical Utility/Users of the Data**

The recordkeeping and reporting requirements in the standard ensure compliance with the applicable regulations which were promulgated in accordance with the Clean Air Act. The collected information is also used for targeting inspections and as evidence in legal proceedings.

Performance tests are required in order to determine an affected facility’s initial capability to comply with the emission standard. Continuous emission monitors are used to ensure compliance with the standard at all times. During the performance test a record of the operating parameters under which compliance was achieved may be recorded and used to determine compliance in place of a continuous emission monitor.

The notifications required in the standard are used to inform the Agency or delegated authority when a source becomes subject to the requirements of the regulations. The reviewing authority may then inspect the source to check if the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated, leaks are being detected and repaired, and the standard is being met. The performance test may also be observed.

The required semiannual reports are used to determine periods of excess emissions, identify problems at the facility, verify operation/maintenance procedures and for compliance determinations.

**3. Non-duplication, Consultations, and Other Collection Criteria**

The requested recordkeeping and reporting are required under 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ.

**3(a) Non-duplication**

 If the subject standards have not been delegated, the information is sent directly to the appropriate EPA regional office. Otherwise, the information is sent directly to the delegated state or local agency. If a state or local agency has adopted its own similar standards to implement the Federal standards, a copy of the report submitted to the state or local agency can be sent to the Administrator in lieu of the report required by the Federal standards. Therefore, duplication does not exist.

**3(b) Public Notice Required Prior to ICR Submission to OMB**

An announcement of a public comment period for the renewal of this ICR was published in the *Federal Register* (79 FR 30117) on May 27, 2014. No comments were received on the burden published in the *Federal Register*.

**3(c) Consultations**

The Agency’s industry experts have been consulted, and the Agency’s internal data sources and projections of industry growth over the next three years have been considered.The primary source of information as reported by industry, in compliance with the recordkeeping and reporting provisions in the standard, is Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO), which is operated and maintained by the EPA’s Office of Compliance. ECHO is the EPA database for the collection, maintenance, and retrieval of all compliance data. The growth rate for the industry is based on our consultations with the Agency’s internal industry experts.

Industry trade associations and other interested parties were provided an opportunity to comment on the burden associated with the standard as it was being developed. In developing this ICR, we contacted both the American Foundry Society, at (800) 537-4237, and the Steel Founders’ Society of America, at (847) 382-8240.

It is our policy to respond after a thorough review of comments received since the last ICR renewal as well as those submitted in response to the first *Federal Register* notice. In this case, no comments were received.

**3(d) Effects of Less Frequent Collection**

Less frequent information collection would decrease the margin of assurance that facilities are continuing to meet the standards. Requirements for information gathering and recordkeeping are useful techniques to ensure that good operation and maintenance practices are applied and emission limitations are met. If the information required by these standards was collected less frequently, the proper operation and maintenance of control equipment and the possibility of detecting violations would be less likely.

**3(e) General Guidelines**

These reporting or recordkeeping requirements do not violate any of the regulations promulgated by OMB under 5 CFR Part 1320, Section 1320.5.

These standards require the respondents to maintain all records, including reports and notifications for at least five years. This is consistent with the General Provisions as applied to the standards. EPA believes that the five-year records retention requirement is consistent with the Part 70 permit program and the five-year statute of limitations on which the permit program is based. The retention of records for five years allows EPA to establish the compliance history of a source, any pattern of non-compliance and to determine the appropriate level of enforcement action. EPA has found that the most flagrant violators have violations extending beyond five years. In addition, EPA would be prevented from pursuing the violators due to the destruction or nonexistence of essential records.

**3(f) Confidentiality**

Any information submitted to the Agency for which a claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, chapter 1, part 2, subpart B - Confidentiality of Business Information (CBI) (see 40 CFR 2; 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 40000, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 20, 1978; 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979).

**3(g) Sensitive Questions**

The reporting or recordkeeping requirements in the standard do not include sensitive questions.

**4. The Respondents and the Information Requested**

**4(a) Respondents/SIC Codes**

The respondents to the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are iron and steel foundries. The United States Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code for the respondents affected by the standards and the corresponding North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code are listed in the table below:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Standard (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ)** | **SIC Codes** | **NAICS Codes** |
| Iron Foundries | 3321, 3322 | 331511 |
| Steel Investment Foundries | 3324 | 331512 |
| Steel Foundries (except Investment) | 3325 | 331513 |

**4(b) Information Requested**

**(i) Data Items**

In this ICR, all the data that is recorded or reported is required by the NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ).

A source must make the following reports:

| **Notifications** |
| --- |
| Notification of applicability | §63.9(b)(2); §63.10899(d) |
| Notification of construction/ reconstruction | §63.9(b)(5) |
| Notification of special compliance requirements  | §63.9(d) |
| Notification of performance test (large foundries) | §63.9(c); §63.10898  |
| Notification of opacity/VE observations (large foundries) | §63.9(f) |
| Additional CMS notifications | §63.9(g) |
| Notification of compliance status | §63.9(h)(1); §63.10900  |
| Notification of changes in information  | §63.9(j) |

| **Reports** |
| --- |
| Semiannual excess emissions/deviation reports | §63.10(e)(3), §63.10899(b)(2) §63.10899(c) |
| Initial performance test report (large foundries) | §63.7(e)(1), §63.10898  |
| CMS performance evaluation report | §63.8(e)(5), §63.10898  |
| SSM reports (large foundries) | §63.6(e)(3), §63.10897(g)  |

A source must keep the following records:

| **Recordkeeping** |
| --- |
| Material specifications – written materials specifications, records that demonstrate compliance with requirements for restricted metallic scrap, general scrap, mercury, and scrap that does not contain motor vehicle scrap.  | §63.10899(b)(1), §63.10890(e)(2) |
| Mercury – records of number of mercury switches removed or weight of mercury recovered, estimated number of vehicles processed, estimate the percent of mercury switches recovered; records identifying each scrap provider and documenting the scrap provider's participation in an approved mercury switch removal program | §63.10899(b)(2)-(3), §63.10890(e)(3)-(4) |
| Non-methanol binder chemical formulations - Material Safety Data Sheet, certified product data sheet, or a manufacturer’s hazardous air pollutant data sheet. | §63.10899(b)(4), §63.10890(e)(5) |
| Annual quantity and composition of each HAP-containing chemical binder or coating material - copies of purchasing records, Material Safety Data Sheets, or other documentation that provide information on the binder or coating materials used | §63.10899(b)(5), §63.10890(e)(6) |
| Metal melt production | §63.10899(b)(6), §63.10890(e)(7) |
| Operation and maintenance plan (large foundries) | §63.10899(b)(7) |
| If applicable, emissions averaging plan (large foundries) | §63.10899(b)(8) |
| Bag leak detection system (new sources) (large foundries) | §63.10899(b)(9) |
| Capture system inspections (large foundries) | §63.10899(b)(10) |
| CPMS specifications (large foundries) | §63.10899(b)(11) |
| Corrective action (large foundries) | §63.10899(b)(12) |
| PM control device log of inspections/maintenance (large foundries) | §63.10899(b)(13); §63.10897 |

Electronic Reporting

Some of the respondents are using monitoring equipment that automatically records parameter data. Although personnel at the affected facility must still evaluate the data, internal automation has significantly reduced the burden associated with monitoring and recordkeeping at a plant site.

**(ii) Respondent Activities**

| **Respondent Activities** |
| --- |
| Read instructions. |
| Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate CMS for opacity, or for pressure drop and liquid supply pressure for control device.  |
| Perform initial performance test, Reference Method 1 or 1A; 2, 2A, 2C, 2D, 2F, or 2G, 3, 3A, or 3B ; 4; 5, 5B, 5D, 5F, or 5I; 29; 9 or 22 tests, and repeat performance tests if necessary. |
| Implement initial and periodic inspections and maintenance of control devices (e.g., PM control devices, baghouses, dry electrostatic precipitator and wet scrubber) |
| Write the notifications and reports listed above. |
| Enter information required to be recorded above. |
| Submit the required reports developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating, and verifying information. |
| Develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of processing and maintaining information. |
| Develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of disclosing and providing information. |
| Train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information. |
| Transmit, or otherwise disclose the information. |

Currently sources are using monitoring and reporting equipment that provide parameter data in an automated way, e.g., continuous parameter monitoring system. Although personnel at the source still need to evaluate the data, this type of monitoring equipment has significantly reduced the burden associated with monitoring and recordkeeping.

**5. The Information Collected: Agency Activities, Collection Methodology, and Information Management**

**5(a) Agency Activities**

EPA conducts the following activities in connection with the acquisition, analysis, storage, and distribution of the required information.

| **Agency Activities** |
| --- |
| Review notifications and reports, including performance test reports, and excess emissions reports, required to be submitted by industry. |
| Audit facility records. |
| Input, analyze, and maintain data in Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS) and ECHO.  |

**5(b) Collection Methodology and Management**

Following notification of startup, the reviewing authority could inspect the source to determine whether the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated. Performance test reports are used by the Agency to discern a source’s initial capability to comply with the emission standard and note the operating conditions under which compliance was achieved. Data and records maintained by the respondents are tabulated and published for use in compliance and enforcement programs. The semiannual reports are used for problem identification, as a check on source operation and maintenance, and for compliance determinations.

Information contained in the reports is reported by state and local government in the ICIS Air database which is operated and maintained by EPA's Office of Compliance. ICIS is EPA’s database for the collection, maintenance, and retrieval of compliance data for industrial and government-owned facilities. EPA uses the ICIS for tracking air pollution compliance and enforcement by local and state regulatory agencies, EPA regional offices and EPA headquarters. EPA and its delegated Authorities can edit, store, retrieve and analyze the data.

 The records required by this regulation must be retained by the owner/operator for five years.

**5(c) Small Entity Flexibility**

A small entity for this industry is defined by the Small Business Administration as a firm having no more than 500 employees. A total of 329 of the 427 iron and steel foundries are small entities. Approximately 45 percent (37 of 83) of the large iron and steel foundries (annual metal melt production greater than 20,000 tons) are owned by small entities while 85 percent (292 of 344) of the small iron and steel foundries are owned by small entities. The final rule includes a specific compliance option for small foundries that provides a maximum degree of operational flexibility, and the ICR requirements are the minimum necessary to demonstrate compliance. Since proposal, we have further reduced the impacts on small entities by increasing the threshold definition for a small foundry from an annual melt production of 10,000 tons to 20,000 tons. Our analyses show that the final NESHAP will not result in a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. No small entity is expected to incur an economic impact that is greater than 3 percent of its revenue. The number of foundries that may incur an economic impact greater than 1 percent of their revenues ranges from an average of 9 to a maximum (at the 98th percentile) of 13.

**5(d) Collection Schedule**

The specific frequency for each information collection activity within this request is shown below in Tables 1a-1c: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal).

**6. Estimating the Burden and Cost of the Collection**

Tables 1a-1c documents the computation of individual burdens for the recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to the industry for the subpart included in this ICR. The individual burdens are expressed under standardized headings believed to be consistent with the concept of burden under the Paperwork Reduction Act. Where appropriate, specific tasks and major assumptions have been identified. Responses to this information collection are mandatory.

The Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

**6(a) Estimating Respondent Burden**

The average annual burden to industry over the next three years from these recordkeeping and reporting requirements is estimated to be 7,893 (Total Labor Hours from Table 1c). These hours are based on Agency studies and background documents from the development of the regulation, Agency knowledge and experience with the NESHAP program, the previously approved ICR, and any comments received.

**6(b) Estimating Respondent Costs**

**(i) Estimating Labor Costs**

This ICR uses the following labor rates:

Managerial $128.02 ($60.98 + 110%)

Technical $101.05 ($48.12 + 110%)

Clerical $51.37 ($24.46 + 110%)

These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, March 2014, “Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry.

**(ii) Estimating Capital/Startup and Operation and Maintenance Costs**

The type of industry costs associated with the information collection activities in the subject standard are both labor costs which are addressed elsewhere in this ICR and the costs associated with continuous monitoring. The capital/startup costs are one-time costs when a facility becomes subject to the regulation. The annual operation and maintenance costs are the ongoing costs to maintain the monitor and other costs such as photocopying and postage.

**(iii) Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs**

| **Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs** |
| --- |
| (A)Continuous Monitoring Device | (B)Capital/Startup Cost for One Respondent 1 | (C)Number of New Respondents  | (D)Total Capital/Startup Cost, (B X C) | (E)Annual O&M Costs for One Respondent 2 | (F)Number of Respondents with O&M | (G)Total O&M,(E X F) |
| N/A | $718.31 | 0 | $0 | $0 | 0 | $0 |

1 We assume large foundries will incur capital costs by purchasing file storage cabinets. During the initial rule development, we estimated the total capital cost to be $59,620 for all 83 large foundries ($718.31 per respondent). At 7 percent interest and 20-year equipment life (capital recovery factor = 0.142), the annualized capital cost is $8,490 per year for all foundries.

2 No costs for monitoring equipment are estimated because no monitoring equipment is required for existing sources. During the performance test a record of the operating parameters under which compliance was achieved may be recorded and used to determine compliance in place of a continuous emission monitor. We also anticipate any photocopying and postage cost to be negligible.

The total capital/startup costs for this ICR are $0. This is the total of column D in the above table.

The total operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for this ICR are $0. This is the total of column G.

The average annual cost for both capital/startup and operation and maintenance costs to industry over the next three years of the ICR is estimated to be $0.

**6(c) Estimating Agency Burden and Cost**

The only costs to the Agency are those costs associated with analysis of the reported information. EPA's overall compliance and enforcement program includes activities such as the examination of records maintained by the respondents, periodic inspection of sources of emissions, and the publication and distribution of collected information.

The average annual Agency cost during the three years of the ICR is estimated to be $35,937.

This cost is based on the average hourly labor rate as follows:

 Managerial $62.90 (GS-13, Step 5, $39.31 + 60%)

 Technical $46.67 (GS-12, Step 1, $29.17 + 60%)

 Clerical $25.25 (GS-6, Step 3, $15.78 + 60%)

These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 2014 General Schedule, which excludes locality rates of pay. The rates have been increased by 60 percent to account for the benefit packages available to government employees. Details upon which this estimate is based appear below in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal).

**6(d) Estimating the Respondent Universe and Total Burden and Costs**

Based on our research for this ICR, on average over the next three years, approximately 427 existing respondents will be subject to the standards. It is estimated that no additional respondents per year will become subject to this rule. A total of 344 of the 427 facilities have metal melting rate of 20,000 tpy or less (small foundries) and 83 have metal melting rates greater than 20,000 tpy (large foundries). As shown in the table below, the overall average number of respondents is 427 per year.

The number of respondents is calculated using the following table that addresses the three years covered by this ICR:

| **Number of Respondents** |
| --- |
|  | Respondents That Submit Reports | Respondents That Do Not Submit Any Reports |  |
| Year | (A)Number of New Respondents 1 | (B)Number of Existing Respondents | (C)Number of Existing Respondents that keep records but do not submit reports | (D)Number of Existing Respondents That Are Also New Respondents | (E)Number of Respondents(E=A+B+C-D) |
| 1 | 0 | 427 | 0 | 0 | 427 |
| 2 | 0 | 427 | 0 | 0 | 427 |
| 3 | 0 | 427 | 0 | 0 | 427 |
| Average | 0 | 427 | 0 | 0 | 427 |

1 New respondents include sources with constructed, reconstructed and modified affected facilities.

Column D is subtracted to avoid double-counting respondents. As shown above, the average Number of Respondents over the three year period of this ICR is 427.

The total number of annual responses per year is calculated using the following table:

| **Total Annual Responses** |
| --- |
| (A)Information Collection Activity | (B)Number of Respondents | (C)Number of Responses | (D)Number of Existing Respondents That Keep Records But Do Not Submit Reports | (E)Total Annual ResponsesE=(BxC)+D |
| Initial Notification | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of Compliance Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deviations Report (small foundries) | 172 | 1 | 0 | 172 |
| Repeat of Performance Test (large foundries) | 83 | 0.2 | 0 | 17 |
| SSM plan (large foundries) | 83 | 1 | 0 | 83 |
| Semiannual compliance reports (large foundries) | 83 | 2 | 0 | 166 |
|  |  |  | Total | 438 |

The number of Total Annual Responses is 438.

The total annual labor costs are $772,735. Details regarding these estimates may be found below in Tables 1a-1c: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal).

**6(e) Bottom Line Burden Hours and Cost Tables**

The detailed bottom line burden hours and cost calculations for the respondents and the Agency are shown in Tables 1a-1c and Table 2, respectively, and summarized below.

**(i) Respondent Tally**

The total annual labor hours are 7,893 hours. Details regarding these estimates may be found in Tables 1a-1c: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal).

Furthermore, the annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 18 hours per response.

The annual total of both capital/startup and O&M costs to the regulated entity are $0. These cost calculations are detailed in Section 6(b)(iii), Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs.

**(ii) The Agency Tally**

The average annual Agency burden and cost over next three years is estimated to be 790 labor hours at a cost of $35,937. See below Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal).

**6(f) Reasons for Change in Burden**

The increase in burden from the most recently-approved ICR is due to several changes. This ICR uses updated labor rates, adds burden requirements to repeat certain performance tests (PM tests for large foundries and opacity tests for all foundries), and corrects the average number of respondents per year. The previous ICR incorrectly carried-over the estimated burden from the initial 3-year compliance period of the rule, and calculated the average number of respondent per year by dividing the total number of respondents by three. This ICR revised the average number of respondents per year (total of 427, rather than 142.3) to reflect correctly the current annual burden activities for all existing respondents. This correction results in an increase in burden hours and costs for both the respondents and the Agency as compared to the previous ICR. The correction also increased the estimated number of responses.

There is also a decrease in the capital/startup costs as calculated in section 6(b)(iii) compared to the previous ICR. The previous ICR estimated capital costs associated with purchasing file cabinets. Since we expect this to be a one-time cost for new respondents only, we have removed this capital cost in this ICR renewal.

**6(g) Burden Statement**

The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 18 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA regulations are listed at 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

 To comment on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques, EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2014-0096. An electronic version of the public docket is available at <http://www.regulations.gov/>, which may be used to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select “search,” then key in the docket ID number identified in this document. The documents are also available for public viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the docket center is (202) 566-1752. Also, you can send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2014-0096 and OMB Control Number 2060-0605 in any correspondence.

**Part B of the Supporting Statement**

This part is not applicable because no statistical methods were used in collecting this information.

**Table 1a: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost for Small Foundries – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal)**

| **Burden item** | **(A)** **Person hours per occurrence** | **(B)** **No. of occurrences per respondent per year** | **(C)** **Person hours per respondent per year (C=AxB)** | **(D) Respondents per year a** | **(E) Technical person- hours per year (E=CxD)** | **(F) Management person hours per year (Ex0.05)** | **(G)** **Clerical person hours per year (Ex0.1)** | **(H)** **Total Cost per year b** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Applications | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. Surveys and Studies | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. Acquisition, Installation, and Utilization of Technology and Systems | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. Reporting Requirements |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| A. Read instructions g | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0. |
| B. Required activities |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Repeat performance tests for opacity e | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 344 | 68.8 | 3.44 | 6.88 | $7,746.05 |
|  Scrap specifications g | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Monthly rolling average calculation | 0.25 | 12 | 3 | 344 | 1,032 | 51.60 | 103.20 | $116,190.82 |
|  No methanol binder formulation c  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| C. Create information | See 4B |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| D. Gather existing information | See 4B |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| E. Write report | See 4B |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Initial notification of applicability g | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Notification of compliance status | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Deviations report | 1 | 1 | 1 | 172 | 172 | 8.60 | 17.20 | $19,365.14 |
|  Notification of construction/reconstruction | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Notification of anticipated startup | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Notification of actual startup | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Notification of foundry reclassification e | 1 |  0 | 0  |  0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | $0  |
|  Request for compliance extension | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Notification of repeat of performance test | N/A |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Site specific test plan | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Notification of performance evaluation | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Quality assurance plan for CEMS/COMS | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  NESHAP waiver request | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan/reports | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  Semiannual excess emissions reports | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| ***Subtotal for Reporting Requirements*** |   |   |   |   | ***1,464*** | ***$143,302.01*** |
| 5. Recordkeeping Requirements  |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| A. Read instructions | See 4A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| B. Plan activities | See 4A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| C. Implement activities | See 4A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| D Develop record system | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| E. Time to enter information | 0.1 | 52 | 5.2 | 344 | 1,788.8 | 89.44 | 178.88 | $201,397.41 |
| F. Time to transmit or disclose information | 0.25 | 2 | 0.5 | 344 | 172 | 8.60 | 17.20 | $19,365.14 |
| G. Time to adjust existing ways g | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| F. Time to train personnel g | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| G. Time for audits | N/A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| ***Subtotal for Recordkeeping Requirements*** |   |   |   |   | ***2,255*** | ***$220,762.55*** |
| **TOTAL LABOR BURDEN AND COST (rounded)**  |  |  |  |  | **3,719** | **$364,065** |
| **Assumptions:** |
| a We have assumed that there are 427 existing iron and steel foundries that area sources. No new sources are projected during the 3-year term of this ICR. A total of 344 of the 427 facilities are small foundries and 83 are large foundries. For the purposed of deviation reports, 1 report per year is estimated for one-half of the small foundries. |
| b This ICR uses the following labor rates: The hourly wage rates used to represent respondent labor costs are: technical at $101.05, management at $128.02, and clerical at $51.37. These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, March 2014, “Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry. |
| c We have assumed that no burden would be incurred for this requirement because all small area source foundries are already meeting the no methanol requirement. |
| d We have assumed that small foundries must record information to demonstrate compliance with pollution prevention management practices for metallic scrap and binder formulations. In addition, they would need to record information to demonstrate compliance with the PM and opacity standards.e We have assumed that all foundries would need to conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit in §63.10895(e) at least every 6 months and will not implement a process change likely to increase fugitive emissions over the 3 year period of this ICR. Opacity shall be determined as an average of 24 consecutive observations recorded at 15-second intervals, which average about 6 minutes (or 0.1 hrs). No separate notification required. |
| f We have assumed that no cost would be incurred to train personnel. |
| g One-time only costs |

**Table 1b: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost for Large Foundries – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal)**

| **Burden item** | **(A) Person hours per occurrence** | **(B) No. of occurrences per respondent per year** | **(C) Person hours per respondent per year (C=AxB)** | **(D) Respondents per year a** | **(E) Technical person- hours per year (E=CxD)** | **(F) Management person hours per year (Ex0.05)** | **(G) Clerical person hours per year (Ex0.1)** | **(H) Total Cost per year b** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Applications | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Surveys and Studies | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Acquisition, Installation, and Utilization of Technology and Systems  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Reporting Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Read instructions h | 8 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| B. Required activities  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Repeat of Performance Test for PM d  | 24 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 83 | 398.4 | 19.92 | 39.84 | $44,855.06 |
|  Repeat performance tests for opacity e | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 83 | 16.6 | 0.83 | 1.66 | $1,868.96 |
|  Scrap material specifications g | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 |
|  Prepare operation & maintenance plan g | 8 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0.00 |
|  No methanol binder formulation c  | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0.67 | 2.68 | 0.13 | 0.27 | $301.74 |
| Initial/subsequent performance tests e | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Initial and periodic inspections of PM control devices, monthly inspection of capture systems d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Monthly emissions averaging calculations e | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| C. Create information | See 4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. Gather existing information | See 4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. Write report | See 4B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Initial notification of applicability h | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Notification of compliance status h | 8 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Notification of construction/reconstruction | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Notification of anticipated startup | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Notification of actual startup | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Notification of foundry reclassification d | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Request for compliance extension | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Notification of repeat of PM performance test e | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 83 | 16.6 | 0.83 | 1.66 | $1,868.96 |
|  Site specific test plan e | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
|  Notification of performance evaluation | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Quality assurance plan for CEMS/COMS | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  NESHAP waiver request | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan/reports | 4 | 1 | 4 | 83 | 332 | 16.60 | 33.20 | $37,379.22 |
|  Semiannual excess emissions reports e | 2 | 2 | 4 | 83 | 332 | 16.60 | 33.20 | $37,379.22 |
| ***Subtotal for Reporting Requirements*** |  |  |  |  | ***1,263*** | ***$123,653.15*** |
| 5. Recordkeeping Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Read instructions | See 4A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Plan activities | See 4A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Implement activities | See 4A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D Develop record system | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| E. Time to enter information f | 0.5 | 52 | 26 | 83 | 2,158 | 107.9 | 215.8 | $242,964.90 |
| F. Time to transmit or disclose information | 0.25 | 2 | 0.5 | 83 | 41.50 | 2.08 | 4.15 | $4,672.40 |
| G. Time to adjust existing ways h | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1. $0
 |
| F. Time to train personnel g | 4 | 1 | 4 | 83 | 332 | 16.6 | 33.2 | $37,379.22 |
| G. Time for audits | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Subtotal for Recordkeeping Requirements*** |  |  |  |  | ***2,911*** | ***$285,016.52*** |
| **TOTAL LABOR BURDEN AND COST (rounded)** |  |  |  |  | **4,174** | **$408,670** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Assumptions:** |
| a We have assumed that there are 427 existing iron and steel foundries that area sources. No new sources are projected during the 3-year term of this ICR. A total of 344 of the 427 facilities are small foundries and 83 are large foundries.  |
| b This ICR uses the following labor rates: The hourly wage rates used to represent respondent labor costs are: technical at $101.05, management at $128.02, and clerical at $51.37. These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, March 2014, “Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for the benefit packages available to those employed by private industry. |
| c We assumed that two large area source foundries (2 foundries over 3 years = 0.67 foundries per year) are expected to have to change formulations to meet the no methanol requirement. |
| d We have assumed that large area source foundries will implement subsequent performance tests required by the rule for each metal melting furnace subject to a PM or total metal HAP limit in §63.10895(c) at least every 5 years (or 0.2 averaged on a yearly basis) and will not implement a performance test due to a change to an operating limit or a process change likely to increase HAP emissions over the period of this ICR.  |
| e  We have assumed that all foundries would need to conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit in §63.10895(e) at least every 6 months and will not implement a process change likely to increase fugitive emissions over the 3 year period of this ICR. Opacity shall be determined as an average of 24 consecutive observations recorded at 15-second intervals, which average about 6 minutes (or 0.1 hrs). No separate notification required. f We have assumed that large foundries must record information to demonstrate compliance with pollution prevention management practices for metallic scrap and binder formulations and information to demonstrate compliance with monitoring; inspection; operation and maintenance; startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions; and other requirements of the General Provisions (40 CFR part 63, subpart A). In addition, record to record information to demonstrate compliance with the PM and opacity standards.  |
| g We have assumed that large foundries are expected to monitor visible emissions using a trained employee. |
| h One-time only costs |

**Table 1c: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost for All Foundries – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table** | **Category** | **Reporting Hours** | **Recordkeeping Hours** | **Burden Hours** | **Burden Cost** |
| 1a | Small Foundries | 1,464 | 2,255 | 3,719 | $364,065  |
| 1b | Large Foundries | 1,263 | 2,911 | 4,174 | $408,670  |
| **Total** |  | **2,727** | **5,166** | **7,893** | **$772,735**  |

**Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundry Area Sources (40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZZ) (Renewal)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Activity** | **(A)** **EPA person-hours per occurrence** | **(B)** **No. of occurrences per plant per year** | **(C)** **EPA person hours per plant per year (AxB)** | **(D) Plants per year a**  | **(E) Technical person-hours per year (CxD)** | **(F) Management person-hours per year (Ex0.05)** | **(G)** **Clerical person-hours per year (Ex0.1)** | **(H)** **Cost, $ b** |
| Report Review: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Initial notification of applicability c | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Deviation reports | 1 | 1 | 1 | 172 | 172 | 8.6 | 17.2 | $9,002.48 |
|  Startup, shutdown, malfunction plan/report | 2 | 1 | 2 | 83 | 166 | 8.3 | 16.6 | $8,688.44 |
|  Notification of compliance status c | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Notification of performance tests d | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 83 | 16.6 | 0.83 | 1.66 | 868.84 |
| Semiannual excess emissions report | 2 | 2 | 4 | 83 | 332 | 16.6 | 33.2 | $17,376.88 |
| **TOTAL BURDEN AND COST (rounded)** |  |  |  |  | **790** | **$35,937** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Assumptions:** |
|  a There are 427 existing iron and steel foundries that are area sources. No new sources are projected during the 3-year term of this ICR. For the purposed of deviation reports, 1 report per year is estimated for one-half of the small foundries. For plan/reports and semiannual reports all large foundries (83 respondents) will submit reports. |
| b This ICR uses the following average hourly labor rates (GS-13, Step 5, $ x 1.6): 62.90 for managerial, $46.67 for technical and $25.25 for clerical. These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) "2014 General Schedule" which excludes locality rates of pay. |
| c One-time only costsd We have assumed that large area source foundries will implement subsequent performance tests required by the rule for each metal melting furnace subject to a PM or total metal HAP limit in §63.10895(c) at least every 5 years (or 0.2 averaged on a yearly basis) and will not implement a performance test due to change to an operating limit or a process change likely to increase HAP emissions.  |