Attachment Table of Contents

Document	Page
Attachment A: NJRP Sentencing Commission Study Survey	1
Attachment B: Follow-up Phone Call/Email	10
Attachment C: Thank You Email	12
Attachment D: Participant Letter	14

Attachment A: National Judicial Reporting Program Sentencing Commission Study Survey

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE **RETURN TO** Cynthia Helba BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS **RB4164** AND ACTING AS COLLECTION AGENT **National Judicial Reporting Program:** Westat **Sentencing Commission Survey** 1650 Research Boulevard Rockville, MD 20850 **DATA SUPPLIED BY** NAME Title Number and street or P.O. box/Route City State Zip Code **ADDRESS** Area Code Number FAX Area Code Number **TELEPHONE NUMBER** E-MAIL **ADDRESS**

This information will only be used if questions arise about the responses provided; it will not be made public.

GENERAL INFORMATION

- If you have any questions about completing this survey, please contact **Cynthia Helba** of Westat at **1-301-294-4454** or BJS Statistician, **Tracey Kyckelhahn** at **(202) 353-7381.**
- Please mail your completed survey to Westat before April 30, 2015 or FAX (all) pages to 301-294-3928.
- Please retain a copy of the completed survey for your records.

INTRODUCTION

- BJS is undertaking research to learn about existing state felony court record systems as part of an effort to
 redesign one of BJS's longstanding statistical collections, the National Judicial Reporting Program (NJRP).
 The NJRP collected information on felony sentencing in state courts from 1986 to 2006 from a nationally
 representative sample of 300 counties. The data collected included demographic information of the offender,
 conviction offense, and sentencing information. The data were standardized across all counties. The NJRP is
 being expanded to collect statewide data, when available, and to collect information on all dispositions (both
 convictions and non-convictions).
- In addition to surveying state sentencing commissions about their data systems, BJS plans to survey other
 possible suppliers of court data, such as administrative offices of state courts. BJS believes that state
 sentencing commissions' data systems may contain data elements included in state court administrative office
 systems, as well as data elements pertaining to prior criminal history and guideline-based sentences. To the
 extent that these elements are reliably recorded across state sentencing commissions, BJS believes that they
 will contribute important information for understanding felony court sentencing outcomes.

The purpose of this survey is to gather information about the data system maintained by the sentencing commission in [NAME OF STATE]. The questions ask about the following topics:

- 1. Scope of cases, such as felony, misdemeanor, and guideline vs. non-guideline cases;
- 2. Geographic coverage;
- 3. Case and defendant characteristics; and
- 4. How to request and access the data, should BJS be interested in obtaining an extract of data.

Burden statement

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, we cannot ask you to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The burden of this collection is estimated to average 37 minutes per response, including reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering necessary data, and completing and reviewing this form. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this survey, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street, NW, Washington, DC 20531.

National Judicial Reporting Program Sentencing Commission Survey

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ove	ervie	w		
1.	Doe	s your	agency maii	ntain electronic data on court proceedings for felony cases?
		Yes No		
	•			context means collecting and storing electronic data on court proceedings on a regular basis rather for special one-time studies or reports.
	•			gs" means information about cases processed by the trial courts in your state, such as offenses ons, and sentences.
	IF N	IO : Did	your agenc	y do this in the past?
		Yes No		
	IF N	IO: Th	ank you, the	e survey is complete.
Sco	pe o	f Cov	erage	
2.	misc disp	demea ositior	nor cases. I	n state courts can begin as a felony cases and through charge modification be disposed as n addition, cases can begin as misdemeanor cases and become felony cases by the time of ional Judicial Reporting Program (NJRP), BJS wants to be able to describe the universes of felony ing:
	•	Case	s disposed t	hat included a felony charge at filing; and
	•		s disposed t e at disposi	nat were originally filed as a misdemeanor case but through charge modification involved a felony cion.
		a.		gency's electronic data file include information on all disposed cases that were originally filed with felony charge in a state court, regardless of the charges that were present at disposition?
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
		b.	Does your a	agency's electronic data file include information on all cases that included a felony charge at
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
3.	Whi	ch of t	e following	types of cases are stored in your agency's electronic database?
		a.	Guideline ca	ises?
			☐ Yes ☐ No	

Non-guideline cases?

Yes
No

		<u>-</u>	Yes	No
	a.	Felony cases filed?		
	b.	Felony cases that are dismissed prior to adjudication?		
	C.	Felony cases that are adjudicated not guilty?		
	d.	Felony cases in which the defendant was convicted?		
	e.	Does your agency's electronic data file include dispositional information		
		for cases that are filed as a felony but disposed of as a misdemeanor?		
5. \	Which o	of the following types of misdemeanor cases are stored in your agency's elec	ctronic data	abase?
			Yes	No
	Misd	lemeanor cases filed?		
		lemeanor cases that are dismissed prior to adjudication?		
		lemeanor cases that are adjudicated not guilty?		
		lemeanor cases in which the defendant was convicted?	Ħ	
				, ш
Jnit (of Cou	unt		
		ned the unit of count for NJRP as a person case disposed. A person case d	-	-
adjudi	cated f	for one or more offenses that were referred to court intake on a single day an	d dispose	d. To clarify:
ı	■ Ca	ase: A case is a the set of all charges filed against a unique individual and refe	erred to co	urt intake on a single da
ı	of	ases with Multiple Charges: If a single individual is charged in one case with aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense;		
	of or ■ Mu	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a	person ca	se may involve more that
•	of or Mi or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because ane offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.	person ca	se may involve more the
,	of or Mo or Mo fili	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.governe in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the numbe	person ca g., one file r of person al is withdr	d in May, one in June, and cases resulting from the
6. \	of or or or or fili	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.go in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the numbering would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipulated.	person ca g., one file r of person al is withdracted and s	d in May, one in June, and in May, one in June, and in cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the matter sentenced.
• • • • •	of or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.go in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the numbering would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convi	person ca g., one file r of person al is withdra cted and s ulated to perent times	d in May, one in June, and in Cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the matter sentenced.
• • • • •	of or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g. ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the numbering would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipular units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at difference sentencing event, then we may need to be able to create two case re	person ca g., one file r of person al is withdra cted and s ulated to perent times	d in May, one in June, and in Cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the matter sentenced.
1 3. \	of or Miles of Miles	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g. ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the numbering would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convisis background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipular units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at different sentencing event, then we may need to be able to create two case restability with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.]	person ca g., one file r of person al is withdra cted and s lated to present times cords from	d in May, one in June, and in Cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the matter sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har
 	of or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g. ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the numbering would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipular units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at different sentencing event, then we may need to be able to create two case restability with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.]	g., one file r of person al is withdrected and serient times cords from Yes	d in May, one in June, and in Cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the matter sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har
. \ f i	of or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g. ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the number ing would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipular units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at difference sentencing event, then we may need to be able to create two case restability with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.] Does your agency use a hierarchy rule to select the most serious charge(s)?	person ca g., one file r of person al is withdra cted and s ulated to perent times cords from Yes	d in May, one in June, and in Cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the matter sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har
. \ f i	of or Min or Min fili is With this ollowing one compare	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g., ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the number ing would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipured units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at difference sentencing event, then we may need to be able to create two case restability with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.] Does your agency use a hierarchy rule to select the most serious charge(s)? Person-cases adjudicated but not convicted?	g., one file r of persor al is withdracted and s ulated to perent times cords fror Yes	d in May, one in June, and a cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the mattern sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har the sentence of the sentencing to har the sentencing to have the sentencing the sentencing to have the sentencing the s
. \ f i	of or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g. ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the number ing would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipular units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at difference sentencing event, then we may need to be able to create two case restability with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.] Does your agency use a hierarchy rule to select the most serious charge(s)?	person ca g., one file r of person al is withdra cted and s ulated to perent times cords from Yes	d in May, one in June, and in Cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the matter sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har
. \ f i	of or or Miles of filing states of the filing state	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g., ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the number ing would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipured units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at difference sentencing event, then we may need to be able to create two case restability with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.] Does your agency use a hierarchy rule to select the most serious charge(s)? Person-cases adjudicated but not convicted?	g., one file r of persor al is withdracted and s ulated to perent times cords fror Yes	d in May, one in June, and a cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the mattern sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har the sentence of the sentencing to har the sentencing to have the sentencing the sentencing to have the sentencing the s
1 1 5. \ f	of or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g., ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the number ing would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipured units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at different establity with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.] Does your agency use a hierarchy rule to select the most serious charge(s)? Person-cases adjudicated but not convicted? Person cases withdrawn before adjudication? If a defendant has two person cases filed (e.g., one in May and)	g., one file r of persor al is withdracted and s ulated to perent times cords fror Yes	d in May, one in June, and a cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the mattern sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har the sentence of the sentencing to har the sentencing to have the sentencing the sentencing to have the sentencing the s
 	of or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g., ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the numbering would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipured units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at different establity with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.] Does your agency use a hierarchy rule to select the most serious charge(s)? Person-cases adjudicated but not convicted? Person cases withdrawn before adjudication? If a defendant has two person cases filed (e.g., one in May and one in June) that are then combined for disposition (e.g., at a	g., one file r of persor al is withdracted and s ulated to perent times cords fror Yes	d in May, one in June, and a cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the mattern sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har the sentence of the sentencing to har the sentencing to have the sentencing the sentencing to have the sentencing the s
1 1 5. \ f	of or	aggravated assault, that would be counted as one person case because a ne offense; ultiple Cases: If a unique individual appeared in three cases within a year (e.g., ne in August), that would count as three person cases; ultiple Defendants: If a single filing involves multiple defendants, the number ing would equal the number of defendants; sposition: A case is disposed when 1) the petition to adjudicate the individual adjudicated by a judicial officer and the individual is either acquitted or convicts background, can the data in your agency's electronic database be manipured units of count? [NOTE: If a defendant is convicted in two cases filed at different establity with the NJRP counts from other jurisdictions.] Does your agency use a hierarchy rule to select the most serious charge(s)? Person-cases adjudicated but not convicted? Person cases withdrawn before adjudication? If a defendant has two person cases filed (e.g., one in May and)	g., one file r of persor al is withdracted and s ulated to perent times cords fror Yes	d in May, one in June, and a cases resulting from the awn or 2) when the mattern sentenced. Trovide information with the but the two are combined in this sentencing to har the sentence of the sentencing to har the sentencing to have the sentencing the sentencing to have the sentencing the s

7.	This section asks how charge/offense information is maintained in your electronic system and at what unit of analysis in your agency's electronic database.				
	a. If a pe	rson is convicted on multiple charges, does your agency's electronic database retain information on ales?			
		Yes No			
	If NO:				
	1.	How many charges per individual are recorded in your agency's electronic database?			
	2.	How does your agency's electronic database select the charge(s) to retain?			
	b. Does	our agency use a hierarchy rule to select the most serious charge(s)?			
		Yes No			
		nse information include the state statute?			
		nse information include a text description?			
		sitions recorded for each charge in a case?			
		person case filed, is it possible to associate the charges			
	with each	filed person case?			
8.	about disposition a. Are dis	is for information about the type of information that is maintained in your agency's electronic databases and the unit of analysis. Spositions associated with cases? Yes No Spositions associated with charges? Yes			
		No			
	If YES: 1.	If dispositions are associated with charges and there are different dispositions across charges, by what method is the most severe case disposition assigned? Please describe.			
9.		rliest and the most recent years for which administrative data on felony cases disposed (including e available in your agency's electronic database?			
	Earli	est year			
	Mos	recent year			

Data Elements

10. Does your agency's electronic database include the following data elements? Does your electronic data include the following items on each case?

	Question 1			Question 2	
	Is this data element in your information system?			Can this element be reported at the person-case level?	
Data Element	Yes	No	Don't know	Yes	No
Case Identifiers					
Docket/Case number					
Defendant Identifiers					
Defendant Unique Identifier					
Fingerprint-based ID#					
Offense Information					
Arrest Date					
Arrest Charges					
Level of Arrest Charges (Felony/Misdemeanor)					
Filing Date					
Charges Filed					
Level of Charges Filed (Felony/Misdemeanor)					
Method of transfer (for juvenile cases)					
Representation (Public Defender/Private/Self)					
Pretrial Information					
Was the defendant released pretrial?					
Type of pretrial release (bond, release on own					
recognizance, etc.)					
Was the defendant charged with failure to appear					
or a new offense while on pre-trial release					
Disposition Information				ı	
Disposition Date					
Manner of Disposition (Petition Withdrawn, Plea,	_	_	_		_
Jury Trial, Bench Trial)					
Result of Disposition (Dismissed, Acquitted,	_	_	_	_	_
Convicted, Not Guilty, etc.)					
Sentence Information					_
Sentencing Date		\sqcup			
Type of sentence					Ш
Length of sentence for dispositions to			_		
incarceration					Ш
Length of sentence for dispositions to community					
supervision	닏	닏	닏		
Restitution amount					
Amount of Fines					
Prior Criminal History					
Prior felony convictions					
Prior misdemeanor convictions			\sqcup		
Number of prior incarceration sentences				Ш	Ш
Defendant Characteristics					
Race			닏		
Ethnicity	닏		닏		
Gender	닏		닏		
Date of Birth					

Sources of Data 11. What are the sources of the data in your agency's electronic database other than courts? Courts Law enforcement agencies Prosecutor offices П Jails ☐ Local probation departments State department of corrections ☐ State criminal history repositories Other, please describe What levels of courts are included in the data? (Check all that apply) No courts supply data ☐ Municipal courts Trial courts ☐ District courts ☐ Circuit courts ☐ Superior courts Appellate courts ☐ Supreme courts Other, please describe Which courts in your state that dispose of felony cases do not report to your agency? Please list. **Data Completeness** 12. How often do the reporting agencies submit data to you? Daily ☐ Monthly Annually Other, please describe 13. Is information reported to your agency at various points in case processing? Yes No Is case information reported to your agency at filing? Is case information reported to your agency at adjudication?..... Is case information reported to your agency at sentencing?

14.	Do agencies submit data to your agency in electronic format?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
15.	Does a data dictionary exist for the elements in your agency's electronic database?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
Dat	a Requests and Access
16.	Does your agency provide extracts of your administrative data to outside researchers?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If NO: Skip to Question 20
17.	If data can be requested- What steps would be needed for BJS to receive these electronic data (e.g., request form, review process, fees if applicable)?
	Is a standardized request form/process available?
18.	Are the legal, regulatory or administrative restrictions on access to the data extract? Yes No
19.	How soon after a reference period ends can a file extract be prepared and provided?
20.	Does your agency report findings regarding sentencing patterns and/or other aspects of case processing? Yes No
	a. If YES: How could BJS obtain copies of these reports?

В	ack Page

Attachment B: Follow-up Phone Call/Email

Appendix B: Follow-Up Phone Call/Email

Phone Call Script:
Hi, this is calling again from Westat. We appreciate the time you previously spent answering questions on our survey. I have a couple follow-up questions. My questions should be brief. Would it be ok if I asked these questions now? [If now is not a good time] When would be a better time for you to schedule a follow-up call?
Email Template:
[Agency contact],
Thank you again for completing the survey about your agency's electronic data related to felony case processing. We appreciate your time and assistance, and hope that you would be willing to answer just a couple follow-up questions. Would you be available for a phone call to clarify these? My questions should be brief. Please let me know if you would be available sometime this week, and we will schedule a call at a time that is convenient for you.
Best regards,
[Westat contact]

Attachment C: Thank You Email

Appendix C: Thank You Email

[Agency contact],

Thank you for taking the time to complete the survey about your agency's court processing data. The Bureau of Justice Statistics and Westat appreciate your assistance in explaining what data your agency has available and how it could be requested for a national study such as the National Judicial Reporting Program (NJRP). You may hear from us again in the future as this study progresses. Please feel free to contact me any time if you have questions about the project or follow-up thoughts from the survey.

Sincerely,

[Westat contact]

Attachment D: Participant Letter



U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Justice Programs

Bureau of Justice Statistics

Washington, D.C. 20531

January 12, 2015

Name Title Address Address Address

Dear ?:

Currently the Bureau of Justice Statistics is redesigning one of its longstanding courts collections, the National Judicial Reporting Program (NJRP). Part of this redesign will test the feasibility of obtaining automated extracts of case records from state and local information systems that contain court data. Information we hope to obtain from automated information systems will include demographic characteristics of the alleged offender, court referral date, offenses charged, adjudication date, and sentence length and type. These data will provide high quality information that will benefit criminal justice policymakers, planners, and funders.

As part of this process, we are contacting state sentencing commissions to assess the availability of data for future inclusion in the NJRP. To achieve the project's goals, BJS is requesting your participation. We have included a survey asking for information about any data available from your sentencing commission.

BJS has retained Westat to oversee this new project. Project staff will be available to discuss any questions you may have about the questionnaire or the NJRP, and will conduct a follow-up interview if needed.

Your cooperation to help us fill this national information gap will enable policymakers and planners identify important trends in the processing of felony cases.

Sincerely,

William J. Sabol Acting Director Bureau of Justice Statistics