For EPA Use Only ID # _____ SECTOR _____

United States Environmental Protection Agency	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460					
	or Critical Use Exemption of Methyl Bromide lant Use in the United States in 2014					
WHY IS THIS INFORMATION NEEDED?	Under the Clean Air Act and the international treaty to protect the ozone layer (the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer), the production and import of methyl bromide was phased out in the United States on January 1, 2005. This application seeks information to support a U.S. request to produce and import methyl bromide for certain critical uses and circumstances beyond this 2005 phaseout date. The information in this application will be used to review whether your use of methyl bromide is "critical" because no technically and economically feasible alternatives are available. In order to estimate the loss as a result of not having methyl bromide available, EPA needs to compare data (yields, crops/crop groupings, prices, revenues and costs) for your use of methyl bromide with uses of alternative pest control regimens.					
The information contained in this application is critical to process and assess the need for methyl bromide. Filling out this application in its entirety will bolster the U.S. government's ability to strengthen the nomination package for the international review boards.						
disclose or provide information to o develop, acquire, install, and utilize information, processing and mainta ways to comply with any previously to a collection of information; searc or otherwise disclose the informatio average 38 hours per response and of many individual users of methyl						

INSTRUCTIONS							
and other countries that that: "a use of methyl br (i) The specific use significant market d (ii) There are no teo	d by you in this application will be used to evaluate the requested methyl bromide use. The U.S. are parties to the Montreal Protocol On Substances That Deplete The Ozone Layer decided omide should qualify as "critical" only if the nominating Party determines that: is critical because the lack of availability of methyl bromide for that use would result in a						
WHO APPLIES?	If you anticipate that you will need methyl bromide in 2014 because you believe there are no technically and economically feasible alternatives, then you should apply for the critical use exemption. This application may be submitted either by a consortium representing multiple users or by individual users. We encourage users with similar circumstances of use to submit a single application (for example, any number of pre plant users with similar soil, pest, and climactic conditions can submit a single application.)						
	If a consortium is applying for multiple methyl bromide users, the economic data should be for a representative or typical user within the consortium unless otherwise noted. If economic or technical factors (such as size of the farm) affecting the ability of this "representative user" to use alternatives are significantly different than other users in the consortium, more than one application should be submitted to reflect these differences.						
Please contact your local, state, regional or national commodity association and/or stat representative agency to find out if they plan on submitting an application on behalf of commodity group.							
WHAT INFORMATION IS REQUIRED?	Critical use exemptions are valid for only one year and do not renew automatically. Users desiring to obtain an exemption for 2014 must apply to EPA. Because of the latest changes in registrations, costs, and economic aspects for producing critical use crops and commodities, all applicants will be required to fill out the application form completely. If these Worksheets are not submitted, EPA will not include the application in the U.S. nomination submitted for international consideration.						
HOW DO I APPLY?	You may either complete an electronic (Microsoft Word or Excel) or a printed version of the application. Please fill out each section in the application as completely as possible. If you are completing the printed version and need extra space you may attach additional sheets as needed.						
IS MY INFORMATION CONFIDENTIAL?	The applicant may assert a business confidentiality claim covering part or all of the information in the application by placing on (or attaching to) the information, at the time it is submitted to EPA, a cover sheet, stamped or typed legend, or other suitable form of notice employing language such as trade secret, proprietary, or company confidential. Allegedly confidential portions of otherwise non-confidential documents should be clearly identified by the applicant, and may be submitted separately to facilitate identification and handling by EPA. If the applicant desires confidential treatment only until a certain date or until the occurrence of a certain event, the notice should so state. Information covered by a claim of confidentiality will be disclosed by EPA only to the extent, and by means of the procedures set forth under 40 CFR Part 2 Subpart B; 41 FR 36902, 43 FR 400000, and 50 FR 51661. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies the information when it is received by EPA, it may be made available to the public by EPA without further notice to the applicant.						
	Applicants submitting their application via e-mail assume responsibility for the confidentiality of the electronic message transmission.						
WHEN IS THE INFORMATION NEEDED?	This application must be postmarked to the EPA address below no later than August 12 .						

WHERE DO I SUBMIT THE APPLICATION?	Electronic Address for applications: <u>arling.jeremy@epa.gov</u> When submitting an application electronically, you should also sign Worksheet 1 and email or fax it to 202-343-2338					
	Mailing Address for applications being submitted by <u>mail</u> directly to the EPA:	Address for applications being sent by <u>courier</u> or <u>non-U.S. Postal overnight</u> <u>express</u> delivery to the EPA:				
	US Environmental Protection Agency Methyl Bromide Critical Use Exemption Office of Air and Radiation Stratospheric Protection Division (6205 J) 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20460	US Environmental Protection Agency Methyl Bromide Critical Use Exemption Office of Air and Radiation Stratospheric Protection Division 1310 L Street, NW Suite 1047E Washington, DC 20005				
HOW CAN I RECEIVE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION?	If you have general questions about this application call: Stratospheric Ozone Hotline 1-800-296-1996 More information is also at http://www.epa.gov/ozone/mbr					

WORKSHEET 1: CONTACT AND METHYL BROMIDE REQUEST INFORMATION FOR 2014

The following information will be used to determine the amount of methyl bromide requested and the contact person for this request. It is important that we know whom to contact in case we need additional information during the review of the application.

Is this	information	Confidentia	l Business	s Informatior	: Yes		No	
If yes,	the applicant	assumes res	ponsibility	for the secure	e transmissio	n of electror	nic submissio	ns.

Applicant Name:

Primary Contact: Contact Name: Address: Daytime Phone: Cell: Fax: Email Address Specialty: (check one) Agronomic Economic	c
Alternate Contact: Contact Name: Address: Daytime Phone: Cell: Fax: Email Address: Specialty: (check one) Agronomic Ed	conomic
I certify that all information contained in this document is fa	ctual to the best of my knowledge.
Signature:	Date:
Print Name:	Title:
Information in this application may be aggregated with infor the United States government to justify claims in the nation methyl bromide be considered "critical" and authorized for a signing below , you agree now to assert any claim of confi EPA of aggregate information based in part on information	al nomination package that a particular use of an exemption beyond the 2005 phaseout. By dentiality that would affect the disclosure by contained in this application.
Signature:	Date:
Print Name:	Title:
Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time ne utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the infor- information is estimated to average 39 hours per response and assumes consortia on behalf of many individual users of methyl bromide. An agen	eeded to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and and verifying information, processing and maintaining ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions f information; search data sources; complete and review mation. Public reporting burden for this collection of a large portion of applications will be submitted by

required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current OMB control number.

WORKSHEET 1: CONTACT AND METHYL BROMIDE REQUEST INFORMATION FOR 2014 (continued)

1. Location: Enter the state, region, or county.

2. Crop/Crop Grouping: Include all crops/crop groupings that benefit from an application of methyl bromide in a fumigation cycle. For a definition of fumigation cycle, see Definitions page at end of application.

3. Summary of Crop System: Enter the type of crop system used, e.g., open field [including tunnels added after treatment], permanent glasshouses (enclosed), open ended polyhouses, others (please describe).

4. Range of acres farmed by growers included in this application: Insert number or percentage of users in each category.

0 - 25 acres	100 - 200 acres	
25 - 50 acres	200 - 400 acres	
50 - 100 acres	over 400 acres	

5. Climate Zone: Indicate the climate zone designation by reviewing the U.S. climate zone map located at the end of this application or online at http://www.usna.usda.gov/Hardzone/ushzmap.html. Please check all that apply.

1	2a	2b	3a	3b	4a 4	4b	5a	5b	6a	6b	_7a
7b	8a	8b	9a	9b	10a	10b_		11			

6. Soil Type & Organic Matter: Indicate the soil type and percent organic matter where methyl bromide would be applied. Please check all that apply.

Soil Type:	Light	Medium	Heavy
Organic Matter:	0 to 2%	2 to 5%	over 5%

7. Is this applicant eligible for Quarantine and Preshipment (QPS) uses of methyl bromide: Yes ____ No ___ If yes, indicate amount: ____ pounds

8. Has this applicant previously applied for Critical Use Exemption of methyl bromide: Yes ____ No ____ If yes, indicate CUE #: _____

9. What is the amount of methyl bromide being requested by this application? (Do NOT include QPS amounts.)

	Year of Exemption Request	2013	2014	2015
Α	Total Pounds Active Ingredient (a.i.) of Methyl Bromide			
в	Use: Broadcast or Strip/Bed Treatment			
с	If strip, then what percentage is treated with strip formulation? (E.g., If 30 inches out of a total of 60 inches are treated with strip, the percent is 50%)			
D	Formulation (Ratio of MB/Pic Mixture) to be Used for the CUE			
E	Total Area to be Treated with the Methyl Bromide or MB/Pic Formulation			
F	Use Rate (Ibs a.i./acre)			

If a consortium is submitting this application, the data should be the total for the consortium.

10. Please explain why there may be variations in the pounds or acres treated from year to year, especially if the request is higher this year than in previous years:

11. Please explain why methyl bromide is being requested:

12. For the region where methyl bromide is being requested, if only part of the crop area is treated with methyl bromide, indicate the reason why methyl bromide is not used in the other area. Additionally, identify what alternative strategies are used to control the target pathogens and weeds without methyl bromide in that area:

12a. Would it be feasible to expand the use of these methods to cover at least part of the crop that has requested use of methyl bromide? What changes would be necessary to enable this:

13. Do you anticipate that you will have any methyl bromide in storage after January 1, 2012: Yes ____ No ____ If yes, please specify amount: _____ Ibs

14. Have you adjusted the request for the following issues?:

Regulatory Issues:	YesNo	Disease Pressure: Yes <u>No</u>
Soils Issues:	YesNo	Other (Please Explain):YesNo

WORKSHEET 2: METHYL BROMIDE

Purpose of Data: To establish a baseline estimate of crop/crop grouping yields, gross revenue and costs using methyl bromide.

Instructions specific to each worksheet are located at the top of each sheet.

Worksheet	Title							
2-A	Methyl Bromide - Crop & Pest Information							
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.							
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine pest infestation and crop information where methyl bromide is used. This forms the baseline for evaluating the impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide.							
2-В	<u> Methyl Bromide - Historical Use 2006 – 2010</u>							
	If a consortium is submitting this application, all data should reflect the actual data for the consortium.							
	This worksheet provides data in actual usage for 2006-2010.							
2-C	2-C Methyl Bromide - Crop/Crop grouping Yield and Gross Revenue for 2006-2010							
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.							
	This worksheet provides crop/crop grouping yield and gross revenue for 2006 through 2010.							
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine past gross revenues when methyl bromide is used. This forms the baseline for evaluating the revenue impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide.							
2-D(1 & 2)	Methyl Bromide - Baseline - Operating Costs for 2010							
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.							
	This data is needed to estimate a baseline for operating costs in order to estimate changes in costs and the impact on operating profit and short-run economic viability as a result of not using methyl bromide and to provide required information to the international review board.							
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine operating expenses when methyl bromide is used. This forms the baseline for evaluating the cost impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide. The data requested are designed to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable, which will be shown in Worksheet 3-B. Worksheet 2-D(1) is for users with a fumigation cycle of less than 5 years. Worksheet 2-D(2) is for users growing perennial crops following a single fumigation at establishment.							
	In collaboration with USDA, we will estimate fixed and overhead costs across crops and regions to ensure consistency within the U.S. nomination.							

WORKSHEET 2-A: METHYL BROMIDE – CROP & PEST INFORMATION

1. Crop/Crop Grouping or Consortium:

2. Which month does your fumigation cycle start: Please check only one.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec

3. Fumigation and Crop Timeline: Indicate when fumigation, major crop and pest management practices typically occur by shading the appropriate cells.

Show a second crop if part of the fumigation cycle. If the fumigation cycle is longer than one year, change the months to the appropriate interval. These tables are for annual crops but more than one crop may benefit from one methyl bromide fumigation. If application covers multiple crops/crop groupings not grown sequentially, they will need to provide this information for all crops/crop groupings. Please adjust timeline as necessary. **Please provide additional comments or description below or on a separate page.** Please begin the timeline with the first land preparation. For **perennials**, please begin with the **year** of land preparation and fumigation and indicate the years of production by yield or percentage of full production.

Beginning Fumigation		Time Interval (e.g. MONTH/YEAR/SEASON)											
Cycle	Month 1	Mont h 2	Mont h 3	Mont h 4	Mont h 5	Mont h 6	Mont h 7	Mont h 8	Mont h 9	Mont h 10	Mont h 11	Mont h 12	
Land Preparation													
Fumigation													
Planting													
Harvest													
Fallow													
Other Key Crop Steps													
Other Key Pest Steps													

Continuation of Fumigation	Time Interval (e.g. MONTH/YEAR/SEASON)											
Cycle (if needed)	Month 13	Mont h 14	Mont h 15	Mont h 16	Mont h 17	Mont h 18	Mont h 19	Mont h 20	Mont h 21	Mont h 22	Mont h 23	Mont h 24
Land Preparation												
Fumigation												
Planting												
Harvest												
Fallow												
Other Key Crop Steps												
Other Key Pest Steps												

4. What is the typical soil temperature range during methyl bromide: _____ to _____ °F

Comments:

5. Target Pest(s) or Pest Problem(s): Please identify the key target pests or pest problems for which methyl bromide is requested. Provide at least common name and genus and species if possible. Additional pests or pest problems can be provided as an attachment. Please also explain the specific reasons why methyl bromide is being requested for each pest [e.g., effective herbicide is available, but not registered for this crop; mandatory requirement to meet certification for disease tolerance].

	Common Name	Genus	Specific Reasons why Methyl Bromide is Needed
Pest 1			
Pest 2			
Pest 3			
Pest 4			
Pest 5			

6. Pest Economic Threshold: Please provide the economic threshold information for each pest. Describe year and source of information such as survey or expert estimate.

	Threshold	Units (e.g. pests/sq ft)	Year	Source
Pest 1				
Pest 2				
Pest 3				
Pest 4				
Pest 5				

7. Target Pest Infestation: Please estimate the percentage of the consortia's total growing area with a moderate to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a survey or expert estimate.

	Percentage of Total Growing Area	Source
Pest 1	%	
Pest 2	%	
Pest 3	%	
Pest 4	%	
Pest 5	%	

8. Representative User: Please provide descriptive factors regarding your operation.

Average Farm Size: ______ acres Average acres in this crop: ______ acres Average Area Treated with methyl bromide: ______ acres Describe a few crops that could follow this crop: Other descriptive factors regarding representative user:

WORKSHEET 2-B: METHYL BROMIDE – HISTORICAL USE FOR 2006 – 2010

Row A:	Total Pounds Active Ingredient (a.i.) of Methyl Bromide
	Enter the total actual pounds active ingredient (a.i.) of methyl bromide applied. Note: This
	number should be the total pounds a.i. applied by the individual user or the entire consortium, for
	the year indicated. Include only the pounds active ingredient of methyl bromide. Do not include
	the pounds of chloropicrin that may be part of the same product.
Row B:	Use: Broadcast or Strip Bed Treatment
	Indicate whether broadcast or strip bed treatment is used.
Row C:	If strip, then what percentage is treated with strip formulation?
	If strip treatments are used, enter the percentage treated with strip formulation (e.g., if 30 inches
	out of a total of 60 inches are treated with strip, the percent is 50%).
Row D:	Formulation (Ratio of MB/Pic Mixture) to be Used for the CUE
	Enter the formulation of methyl bromide used (e.g. MB 98:2; MB/Pic 70:30).
Row E:	Total Area to be Treated with the Methyl Bromide or MB/Pic Formulation
	Enter the total area to be treated with methyl bromide or MB/Pic Formulation.
Row F:	Use Rate (lbs a.i/acre)
	Enter the use rate in pounds a.i. of methyl bromide per area.

	For the years shown specify:	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Α.	Total Pounds Active Ingredient (a.i.) of Methyl Bromide					
В.	Use: Broadcast or Strip Bed Treatment					
C.	If strip, then what percentage is treated with strip formulation? (E.g., if 30 inches out of a total of 60 inches are treated with strip, the percent is 50%)					
D.	Formulation (Ratio of MB/Pic Mixture) to be Used for the CUE					
E.	Total Area to be Treated with the Methyl Bromide or MB/Pic Formulation					
F.	Use Rate (Ibs a.i/acre)					

What is the frequency of methyl bromide applied per area: (1x / year, 2x / year, 1x / 3 years, etc.) ______ times per _____

If there is a variation (greater than 10%) in the quantity a.i., the acres treated or average application rate from year to year, please explain the reasons for the variation:

Comments:

WORKSHEET 2-C: METHYL BROMIDE – CROP/SPECIES YIELD & GROSS REVENUE FOR 2006 – 2010

Colui A:		Year						
		Be sure to enter the year. Use as many rows as needed for each year for all the crops/crop groupings in the fumigation cycles from 2006 to 2010. If a fumigation cycle overlaps more than one calendar year, then the year of the fumigation cycle is the year methyl bromide was applied.						
Colui B:		Crops/Crop C	<u>Groupings</u>					
		crops/crop group peppers in a sir of the crops/cro If someone othe cycle and you d	pings are grown o ngle growing seas p groupings durin er than the applica	during the on, or str g the ent ant benef antitative	its from the application e data for the crops/cro	gations (e.g. t lettuce over 2 of methyl bro	omatoes followed by or 3 years) include all	
Coluı C:		Market Categ	ories					
		(early season, l	ate season), or er	nd use (fr		ize or aggreg	(size, color), timeliness ate these factors to the egory.	
Colui D:		Yield						
5.			nter yields at full p		of total yields, obtained n. Be sure to indicate y			
Colum	n E:					arton, bin). If I	not by weight, specify in	
Colum	n F:				rs for that crop/crop gro I separately, if needed.	uping and ma	rket category. Average	
Coluı G:		Gross Reven	ue					
0.		using the data y	ou entered as pri	ce times	ategory and or each cr yield. If revenue is not ase explain the differen	equal to price	e times yield, you may	
Α		В	С	D	E	F	G	
Year		rops/Crop Market Yiel Unit of Category d Measurement Price (\$) Gross Revenue per Acre (\$)						

If this application is for multiple crops/crop groupings (e.g. nurseries producing evergreens, deciduous, and forbs) please indicate the proportion of land area allocated to each crop/crop grouping:

Comments:

WORKSHEET 2-D (1&2): METHYL BROMIDE – BASELINE – OPERATING COSTS FOR 2010

Enter all operating costs incurred during a fumigation cycle. Users with a relatively short fumigation cycle (less than five years) should use Worksheet 2-D(1); users cultivating perennial crops should use Worksheet 2-D(2). Users with multiple crops, either on the same area in a single fumigation cycle or on different areas treated separately, should copy this sheet or provide costs for each crop. If multiple crops are cultivated sequentially following a single fumigation, replace fumigation costs in Pre-plant Operations with any additional pest control costs used prior to the following crops. If a fallow season is an important part of the fumigation cycle, include costs incurred (for example, cultivating a cover crop) as a separate line or as a separate sheet, if costs are extensive. Please fill in the unshaded areas. The shaded areas can be used if the information is known.

Column	Operation / Input
A:	The operations/inputs listed here are not meant to be exhaustive or representative of your specific
	production system. They are meant to provide suggestions and to help you identify how your
	operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable. Be as precise as necessary otherwise
	you may aggregate operations or inputs. For example, specify herbicide costs if additional
	treatments would become necessary with the use of a methyl bromide alternative, otherwise you
	may simply specify total pesticide costs. Please specify only variable operating costs.
	Operation / Input for Perennial Crops
	For perennial crops in Worksheet 2-D(2), we have divided the lifespan into three basic periods: pre- production (including establishment), initial production, and full production. Please ensure that the
	timeline in Worksheet 2-A indicates the years of each period. Operating costs should be an
	average of costs incurred during each period. Please consider expected replanting rates and
	indicate which year dead or poorly performing young trees would be replaced. You may copy
	columns/rows as needed if these periods need to be refined for your situation.
Column	Quantity Used per Acre
B:	This field is required only for methyl bromide. However, you may include specific amounts of other
	inputs or operations if you believe it helps to document the additional costs you would incur by
	using an alternative fumigant.
	Constant Cost per Acre
	For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on land area, for example, picking costs, per acre
Column	of land. Units
Column C:	For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the units of measurement.
0.	Cost per Unit of Yield
	For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on amount of product harvested, for example,
	packing material, per unit of produce.
Column	Unit Costs
D:	For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the unit cost. Also, indicate all
	costs of applying methyl bromide, including any material costs, for example, tarps. If custom
	applied and separate costs are unavailable, write 'custom' and enter total cost in Column E.
	Yield
	For harvest operations, indicate average yields or representative yields from Worksheet 2-C
Column	Total Cost per Acre
E:	For inputs and operations detailed in Columns B and D, total costs can be calculated as Column B
	times Column D. Otherwise, enter total cost of the input or operation. As a check, you may add
	up Column E to obtain an estimate of total variable operating costs. These will not include fixed and overhead costs, nor a return to the owners' labor. It should, therefore, be less
	than gross revenues calculated in Question #2. If it is not, please explain any variations in
	yields and prices. For perennial crops, Column E should only be totaled for the years at full
	production.
	Harvest costs may likewise be calculated as costs per acre (Column B) plus variable costs per unit
	of yield (Column C) times yield (Column D).

WORKSHEET 2-D(1): METHYL BROMIDE – BASELINE – OPERATING COSTS FOR 2010

Α	В	С	D	E	
Operation / Input	Quantity Used per Acre	Units (Ibs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost per Acre (\$)	
Pre-plant Operations					
Land preparation					
Fumigation					
product (MeBr)					
application					
Irrigation					
Other costs					
Cultural Operations					
Seed / Seedlings					
Fertilizer / Soil Amendments					
Pesticides					
Insecticide					
Herbicide					
Fungicide					
Nematicide					
Irrigation					
Labor (manual)					
Fuel / Machine Labor					
Other Costs					
Harvest Operations	Constant Cost per Acre (\$)	Cost per Unit of Yield (\$)	Yield	Total Cost per Acre (\$)	
Labor					
Hauling					
Material					
Grading / Packing / Storage					
Other Costs					

WORKSHEET 2-D(2): METHYL BROMIDE – BASELINE – OPERATING COSTS FOR PERENNIAL CROPS

Α	B (1)	C (1)	D (1)	E (1)	B (2)	C (2)	D (2)	E (2)	B (3)	C (3)	D (3)	E (3)
	PRE P	RODUCTIO	ON YEA	RS	INITIAL	PRODUCT	DUCTION YEARS			PRODUCT	ION YE	ARS
Operation or Input	Quantity used per acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre	Quantity used per acre	Units (Ibs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre	Quantity used per acre	Units (lbs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost	Total Cost per Acre
Establishment Operations												Í
Land preparation												
Fumigation												
product												
application												
Irrigation												
Seedlings												
Other costs												ļ
								-				
Cultural Operations												ļ
Fertilizer/soil amendments												
Pesticides												<u> </u>
Insecticide												L
Herbicide												L
Fungicide												
Nematicide												
Irrigation												
Labor (manual)												
Fuel/machine labor												
Other costs								L				ļ
Harvest Operations	Constant Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost	Constant Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost	Constan t Cost per Acre	Cost per Unit of Yield	Yield	Total Cost
Picking/hauling												
Material												
Grading/packing												
Other costs												

WORKSHEET 3: ALTERNATIVES – FEASIBILITY OF ALTERNATIVE PEST CONTROL REGIMENS

Purpose of Data: To estimate the loss as a result of not having methyl bromide available. EPA needs to compare data (yields, crop/species prices, gross revenues and costs) on the use of methyl bromide and alternative pest control regimens.

Complete Worksheet 3-A for each alternative pest control regimen. Please indicate the name of the specific alternative pest control regimen addressed and add additional pages as required.

Enter all alternative pesticides and pest control methods (and associated cost and yield data) that would replace one treatment of methyl bromide throughout the fumigation cycle. See the Definition page for a comprehensive definition on fumigation cycles.

Worksheet	Title
3-A	Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide
3-4	You must complete one worksheet for each alternative. Please inset the name of the alternative in the area on top of the page. If you prefer, you may provide the information requested in this worksheet in a narrative review. However, you must fill in the information in Question #1 and #3 or we will assume no yield or quality loss.
3-В	Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs
3-6	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.
	This data is needed to estimate changes in costs and the impact on operating profit and short-run economic viability as a result of not using methyl bromide and to provide required information to the international review board.
	Please fill out this worksheet for each alternative for which the economic evaluation would bolster the case that methyl bromide is needed.
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine operating expenses when alternatives are used for evaluating the cost impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide. The data requested are designed to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable. Worksheet 3-B(1) is for users with a fumigation cycle of less than 5 years. Worksheet 3-B(2) is for users growing perennial crops following a single fumigation at establishment.
	In collaboration with USDA, EPA will estimate fixed and overhead costs across crops and regions to ensure consistency within the U.S. nomination.
3-C	Alternatives - Economic Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.
	Please include in this worksheet data for each alternative included in worksheets 3-A and 3-B.

WORKSHEET 3-A: ALTERNATIVES - TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY OF **ALTERNATIVES TO METHYL BROMIDE**

Name of Alternative:

1. Yield Loss & Pest Control When Comparing This Alternative to Methyl Bromide: Provide

numerical estimates where possible. Please add additional rows if necessary.

Study # (list below)	Pest Being Tested	% Yield Loss *	% Pest Control *	Details
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
Enter	Average Loss			

er Average Loss

* If no yield or quality loss information is given we will assume no losses. Only provide pest control information if yield or quality loss information is not available.

+Please report Quality Loss in Table 3.

2. Study Information: For the information in #1 above list: the study name, authors, publication, date, and if a copy is attached. Please add additional rows if necessary.

	Attached	
Study #	?	Details
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

3. Quality Loss*+: Describe quality impacts such as: percent smaller fruit, reduced grade, smaller plants, crop damage, disease vector, etc.

Market Category	Yield with Methyl Bromide	Units	Yield With Alternative	Unit s	Quality Impact Description

4. Are there any production delays (planting/ harvesting) associated with this alternative: Yes ____ No ____ If yes, please explain:

5. Are there any variety or cultivar issues associated with this alternative: Yes ____ No ____ If yes, please explain:

6. Restrictions on Alternative Use: This information will be used to determine the amount of methyl bromide needed.

	% of Area	Details
Regulatory Restriction		
- Label Restriction		
- Township Caps		
Soil Restriction		
Pest Resistant To		
Alternative		
Organic Matter Restriction		
Off Site Damage		
(outgassing)		
Other Restrictions		
(Describe)		

7. Use Rate of Chemical Alternative:

Active Ingredient (a.i.)	Name of Product and Formulation	Quantity a.i. per Acre	Units (gals, Ibs. Etc.)	# of Acres Treated	Number of Applications per Year

8. Non-Chemical Pest Control: Please describe.

9. Alternative Timeline: Indicate when fumigation, major crop and pest management practices typically occur by shading the appropriate cells. Show a second crop if part of the fumigation cycle. If the fumigation cycle is longer than one year, change the months to the appropriate interval. These tables are for annual crops but more than one crop may benefit from one methyl bromide fumigation. If application covers multiple crops/crop groupings not grown sequentially, they will need to provide this information for all crops/crop groupings. Please adjust timeline as necessary. **Please provide additional comments or description below or on a separate page.** Please begin the timeline with the first land preparation. For perennials, please begin with the year of land preparation and fumigation and indicate the years of production by vield or percentage of full production.

Beginning	Ĺ	Time Interval (e.g. MONTH/YEAR/SEASON)										
Fumigation Cycle	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9	Month 10	Month 11	Month 12
Land Preparation												
Fumigation												
Planting												
Harvest												
Fallow												
Other Key Crop Steps												
Other Key Pest Steps												
Continuation		Time Interval (e.g. MONTH/YEAR/SEASON)										

of Alternative Cycle (if needed)	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6	Month 7	Month 8	Month 9	Month 10	Month 11	Month 12
Land Preparation												
Fumigation												
Planting												
Harvest												
Fallow												
Other Key Crop Steps												
Other Key Pest Steps												

Comments:

WORKSHEET 3-B: ALTERNATIVES – CHANGES IN OPERATING COSTS

Name of Alternative:

Column A:	Operation / Input
	The operations/inputs listed here are not meant to be exhaustive or representative of your specific production system. They are meant to provide suggestions and to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable. Be as precise as necessary otherwise you may aggregate operations or inputs. For example, specify herbicide
	costs if additional treatments would become necessary with the use of a methyl bromide alternative, otherwise you may simply specify total pesticide costs. Please specify only variable operating costs.
	Operation / Input for Perennial Crops For perennial crops (Worksheet 3-B(2)) we have divided the lifespan into three basic periods: pre-production (including establishment), initial production, and full production. Please ensure that the timeline in Worksheet 3-A indicates the years of each period. Operating costs should be an average of costs incurred during each period. Please consider expected replanting rates and indicate which year dead or poorly performing young trees would be replaced. You may copy columns/rows as needed if these periods need to be refined for your situation.
Column B:	Quantity Used per Acre
	This field is required only for methyl bromide. However, you may include specific amounts of other inputs or operations if you believe it helps to document the additional costs you would incur by using an alternative fumigant.
	<u>Constant Cost per Acre</u> For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on land area, for example, picking costs, per acre of land.
Column C:	<u>Units</u>
	For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the units of measurement.
	Cost per Unit of Yield For harvest operations, specify costs that depend on amount of product harvested, for example, packing material, per unit of produce.
Column D:	Unit Costs
	For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the unit cost. Also, indicate all costs of applying methyl bromide, including any material costs, for example, tarps. If custom applied and separate costs are unavailable, write 'custom' and enter total cost in Column E.
	Yield
	For harvest operations, indicate average yields or representative yields from Worksheet 3-A.
Column E:	Total Cost per Acre
	For inputs and operations detailed in Columns B and D, total costs can be calculated as Column B times Column D. Otherwise, enter total cost of the input or operation. As a check, you may add up Column E to obtain an estimate of total variable operating costs. These will not include fixed and overhead costs, nor a return to the owners' labor. It should, therefore,
	be less than gross revenues calculated in Worksheet 2-C. If it is not, please explain any variations in yields and prices. For perennial crops, Column E should only be totaled for the years at full production. Harvest costs may likewise be calculated as costs per acre (Column B) plus variable costs

WORKSHEET 3-B(1): ALTERNATIVES – CHANGES IN OPERATING COSTS

Name of Alternative:

Α	В	С	D	E	
Operation / Input	Quantity Used per Acre	Units (Ibs, hours, etc)	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost per Acre (\$)	
Pre-plant Operations					
Land preparation					
Fumigation					
product (methyl bromide)					
application					
Irrigation					
Other costs					
Cultural Operations					
Seed / Seedlings					
Fertilizer / Soil Amendments					
Pesticides					
Insecticide					
Herbicide					
Fungicide					
Nematicide					
Irrigation					
Labor (manual)					
Fuel / Machine Labor					
Other Costs					
Harvest Operations	Constant Cost per Acre (\$)	Cost per Unit of Yield (\$)	Yield	Total Cost per Acre (\$)	
Labor					
Hauling					
Material					
Grading / Packing / Storage					
Other Costs					

WORKSHEET 3-B(2): ALTERNATIVES – CHANGES IN OPERATING COSTS FOR PERENNIAL **CROPS** Α B (1) C (1) D (1) E (1) B (2) C (2) D (2) E (2) B (3) C (3) D (3) E (3) PRE PRODUCTION YEARS FULL PRODUCTION YEARS **INITIAL PRODUCTION YEARS** Total Cost Quantity Quantity Units (lbs, Quantity Total Total Units (lbs, Unit Units (lbs, Unit Unit **Operation or Input** used per used per used per Cost hours, Cost hours, etc) hours, etc) Cost Cost Cost acre per Acre acre per Acre acre etc) per Acre **Establishment Operations** Land preparation Fumigation product application Irrigation Seedlings Other costs **Cultural Operations** Fertilizer/soil amendments Pesticides Insecticide Herbicide Fungicide Nematicide Irrigation Labor (manual) **Euel/machine labor** Other costs **Harvest Operations** Constant Cost per Yield Total Cost Constant Cost per Yield Total Constant Cost per Yield Total Unit of Yield Unit of Yield Cost per Cost per Cost Cost per Unit of Cost Acre Yield Acre Acre Picking/hauling Material Grading/packing Other costs

WORKSHEET 4: EMISSION CONTROL

1. How do you currently minimize use and/or emissions of methyl bromide, and how do you plan to further reduce use and/or emissions in the future? For all use/emissions reduction technique that you use, please fill out the text, where provided, or state the adoption rate and/or describe changes.

	preser	use/emission reduction methods are htly adopted? Please state the ion reduction amounts between each year.	What further use/emission reduction ste will be taken for the methyl bromide use for critical uses? Please project the reduction amounts for each year.				
Methyl Bromide Rate	2006	lbs/acre	2011	lbs/acre			
Reduction	2010	lbs/acre	2015	lbs/acre			
Less Frequent	2006	times per	2011	times per			
Application	2010	times per	2015	times per			
Formulation Changes	2006	% MeBr,% Pic	2011	% MeBr,% Pic			
(please specify)	2010	% MeBr,% Pic	2015	% MeBr,% Pic			
Tarpaulin (High Density	2006		2011				
Polyethylene)	2010		2015				
High Barrier	2006		2011				
Films	2010		2015				
Virtually Impermeable	2006		2011				
Film (VIF)	2010		2015				
Cultural Practices	2006		2011				
(please specify)	2010		2015				
Other Pesticides	2006		2011				
(please specify)	2010		2015				
Non-Chemical Methods	2006		2011				
(please specify)	2010		2015				
Other Measures	2006		2011				
(please specify)	2010		2015				

2. If methyl bromide emission reduction techniques are not being used, or are not planned for the future, state reasons:

WORKSHEET 5: FUTURE RESEARCH PLANS

1. Identify the top **3** to **5** target pests for your research:

- 1. 2.
- z. 3.
- з. 4.
- 4. 5.

2. Provide a list of alternative chemicals or cultural practices that have been tested:

- 1. 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

3. Prioritize the alternative chemicals or cultural practices to be tested:

- 1. 2.
- 2. 3.
- з. 4.
- 5.

4. What would be the best currently available alternative if methyl bromide were not available:

5. Are there any other potential alternatives under development which are being considered to replace methyl bromide:

Yes ____ No ____ If yes, please describe:

6. Are there technologies being used to produce the crop which avoid the need for methyl bromide? Please explain whether such technologies could replace a proportion of proposed methyl bromide use:

Yes ____ No ____ If yes, please describe:

7. Please provide an overview/timeline of the plan to transition away from using methyl bromide:

8. Please describe the management strategies that are in place or proposed to eliminate the use of methyl bromide for the nominated critical use, e.g., measures to avoid any increase in methyl bromide consumption, measure to encourage the use of alternatives, information on the market penetration of newly deployed alternatives and alternatives that may be used in the near future:

9. Will yield/quality loss be measured:	Yes	No	
10. Will economic impacts be measured:	Yes	No	

11. What is the cumulative amount spent and the types of contributions this consortium has made to fund research to develop alternatives to methyl bromide since 1992, e.g. consortium dues, direct research funding, etc.: Please add additional rows if necessary.

Years	Name of Organization / Research Institution	Amount (\$)

12. Other total investments, if any, made to reduce your reliance on methyl bromide: \$______ Describe each investment and its associated costs (e.g. specialized machinery, etc.). Please add additional rows if necessary.

Investment	Cost

13. Grant requests made to USDA, EPA, state, or other funding group:

For EPA Use Only ID # _____ SECTOR

WORKSHEET 6: APPLICATION SUMMARY

This section will be posted on the web to notify the public of requests for critical use exemptions beyond the 2005 phaseout for methyl bromide. Therefore, this section cannot be claimed as CBI.

1. Consortium Name:

2. Location:

3. Crop:

4. Pounds of Methyl Bromide Requested:	2013	_lbs.	2014	_lbs.
5. Acres Treated with Methyl Bromide:	2013	_acres	2014	_acres

6. If methyl bromide is requested for additional years, reason for request:

2013	lbs.	Area Treated	acres
2014	lbs.	Area Treated	acres
2015	lbs.	Area Treated	acres

7. Summary of Alternatives Not Feasible: Place an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use the "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible. Please add additional rows if necessary.

Potential Alternative	Not Technically Feasible	Not Economically Feasible	Reasons

Definitions:

Fumination avala	The period of time between method bramide functions
Fumigation cycle:	The period of time between methyl bromide fumigations.
Year:	If a fumigation cycle overlaps more than one calendar year, "year" refers to the calendar year when methyl bromide is applied (or the beginning of the cycle).
Comparable data:	In order to compare revenues and costs with and without methyl bromide, data on alternatives for pest control, yields, revenues, and costs must be for the same time interval as the methyl bromide fumigation cycle. If, however, quantitative data, is not available for the entire fumigation cycle, then to be comparable, the quantitative data for the alternatives should cover the same portion of the fumigation cycle as the quantitative data for methyl bromide, and the rest of the cycle should be discussed in the comments sections.
2-year example:	If a methyl bromide fumigation is made every 2 years, then the 2003 fumigation cycle began in 2003 and would end in 2005. The data should cover the methyl bromide costs and usage for the methyl bromide fumigation made in 2003, and all yields and revenues received and other costs incurred during the 2 year period. To be comparable, the data on alternatives should cover a similar 2 year period beginning at the same time of year when a methyl bromide fumigation would be made. The data should cover all methyl bromide alternatives used, and all yields and revenues received during that 2-year interval. Other pest control and other costs would only need to be provided for that interval if they would change from what they were with methyl bromide.
Other beneficiary example:	If someone other than the applicant benefits from a methyl bromide fumigation, you should comment on these benefits if you do not have quantitative data for the entire fumigation cycle. For example, if a rotational crop in the second year benefits from a methyl bromide fumigation a year earlier, but there is quantitative data only on the first crop, then the data on the alternatives should cover only the first crop, and the benefits of methyl bromide and the additional pesticides that would have to be used on the rotational crop should be discussed in the comments sections.
Crop cycle change example:	If in a one year interval, methyl bromide is applied, tomatoes are grown and harvested followed by peppers, then the fumigation cycle would be one year including the tomatoes and peppers. If, however, without methyl bromide, it is not possible to follow tomatoes with peppers in the same one year interval, then the alternative data on pesticides, costs, yields, and revenues should just cover tomatoes. The loss of profit from not being able to grow peppers with the alternatives would be part of the loss from not having methyl bromide.
Crop Grouping	The applicant can group similar crops together if: (i) Crops would experience similar yield and quality losses in the absence of methyl bromide; and (ii) Crops are grown on the same fumigation and cultivation cycle with similar operating costs. For example, nursery crops including various flower or tree species can be aggregated, with average yields per acre and prices. However, if crops are distinctly different in revenues and operating costs, or the cycles, the applicant may want to present yield, price and operating costs for each crop separately and also indicate the proportion of land area allocated to each crop.

