

Questionnaires Issued for Import Investigations and Institution Notices for Five-Year Sunset Reviews

As part of the import injury investigations conducted under a series of statutes (antidumping, countervailing duty, escape clause, NAFTA safeguard, market disruption, and interference-with-programs-of-the USDA), the U.S. International Trade Commission (Commission) issues a series of questionnaires to firms participating in U.S. industrial and agricultural industries.¹ In addition, for certain investigations (namely, the five-year sunset reviews of antidumping and countervailing duty orders and suspended investigations), the Commission publishes institution notices in the *Federal Register* that constitute an information collection as defined by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This supporting statement is for an extension, or renewal, of the current Commission “generic clearance” from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)² to issue information collections for import injury investigations.

Generic questionnaires--Sample or generic questionnaires (U.S. producers, U.S. importers, U.S. purchasers, foreign producers, and related instructions) used for original import injury investigations are attached to this submission as are a similar set of questionnaires intended for use in the five-year sunset reviews. The questionnaires are available to the public on the Commission’s website (at: http://www.usitc.gov/trade_remedy/731_ad_701_cvd/investigations/question/index.htm). The sample questionnaires are designed to illustrate the different types of data that the Commission usually seeks in its investigations and are representative of the average burden placed on questionnaire respondents. In most investigations, the data requested will closely resemble that shown in the sample questionnaires.

The Commission will continue, in most circumstances, to request only three full years and two partial years of data in original antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. Somewhat more data typically is requested in the infrequent escape clause investigations (i.e., usually five years of data). Also, additional periods of data are frequently requested in five-year sunset reviews of the outstanding orders to ensure that the Commission can consider the full period from the time of the original investigation or previous review to the current period.

Federal Register notice--Included within this information clearance package is a sample notice for the Commission’s institution of five-year sunset reviews. The notice includes specific requests for information that firms are to provide if their response is to be considered by the Commission in making its determination as to whether to conduct an expedited or full review.

¹ It is understood that the Commission’s generic clearance to issue questionnaires will not apply to repetitive questionnaires such as those issued on a quarterly or annual basis. In addition, it will not apply to other investigations and research studies conducted under section 332 of the Trade Act of 1974. These investigations are differentiated from those included under the generic clearance in that they do not require an injury determination.

² OMB No. 3117-0016, expiration date of June 30, 2014. The Commission has issued questionnaires for its import injury investigations under a generic clearance since 1980.

Part A. Justification

(1) Request.--The information requested in the questionnaires and five-year sunset review institution notices is for use by the Commission in connection with the following statutory investigations:³

Investigation Name	Statute
Antidumping	Sections 731-739, 751, 752, 761, and 762 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. §§ 1673-1673h, 1675, 1675a, 1676, and 1676a)
Countervailing duty	Sections 701-709, 751, 752, 753, 761, and 762 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. §§ 1671-1671g, 1675, 1675a, 1675b, 1676, and 1676a)
Escape Clause	Sections 201-204 and the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. §§ 2251-2254)
NAFTA Safeguard	Sections 301-317 of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (19 U.S.C. §§ 3351-3358, 3371, 3372, and 3381)
Market disruption	Sections 406 and 421 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 2436 and 19 U.S.C. § 2451)
Interference with programs of the USDA	Section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 624)

The Commission conducts antidumping and countervailing duty investigations under provisions of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether domestic industries are being materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports of products which are sold at less than fair value (antidumping cases) or subsidized (countervailing duty cases). Five-year sunset reviews of antidumping and countervailing duty orders and of suspended investigations are conducted to determine whether revocation of the existing orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry. The Commission conducts escape-clause investigations to determine whether increased imports are a substantial cause of serious injury or threat of serious injury to a domestic industry. NAFTA safeguard investigations are conducted under the authority of the North American Free

³ A full text copy of the Tariff Act of 1930 and the Trade Act of 1974 was included in the clearance request made on April 16, 1980. A copy of Section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1921 was included with the May 15, 1981 submission. The Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 (Public Law 100-418, 102 STAT 1107) amends portions of both the Tariff Act of 1930 and the Trade Act of 1974; a copy was included with the July 31, 1990 submission. A copy of sections 301-317 of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act was included with the May 3, 1996 clearance request. See also the summary of statutory provisions providing for antidumping and countervailing duty investigations on the Commission's website (at: http://www.usitc.gov/trade_remedy/731_ad_701_cvd/index.htm) and a summary of statutory provisions providing for global and special safeguard investigations (at: http://www.usitc.gov/trade_remedy/safeguards/index.htm).

The Commission's regulations concerning the conduct of import injury investigation are found in 19 CFR, subchapters A and B of Chapter II, which is also posted on the website (at: http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/index.htm).

Trade Agreement and examine whether increased imports from Canada or Mexico are a substantial cause of serious injury or threat of serious injury to a domestic industry. Market disruption investigations under section 406 of the Trade Act of 1974 are conducted to determine whether imports of an article produced in a Communist country are causing material injury to a domestic industry. In China safeguard investigations under section 421 of the Trade Act of 1974, the Commission determines whether a product from China is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities or under such conditions as to cause or threaten to cause market disruption to the domestic producers of like or directly competitive products.⁴ The Commission also conducts investigations to determine whether imports are interfering with programs of the Department of Agriculture for agricultural commodities or products.

The Commission's statutory authority for obtaining data by questionnaire is set forth in section 333(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930.

(2) Purpose.--The information collected through questionnaires issued under the generic clearance for import injury investigations is consolidated by Commission staff and presented to the Commission in the form of a staff report. The information provided by firms in response to the questionnaires further constitutes a major portion of the statistical base used by the Commission in making its determinations. In addition, in the majority of its investigations, the Commission releases completed questionnaires returned by industry participants to representatives of interested parties to its investigations under the terms of an administrative protective order, the terms of which safeguard the confidentiality of any business proprietary or business confidential information. Representatives of interested parties⁵ also receive a confidential version of the staff report under the administrative protective order. Subsequent party submissions to the Commission for specific proceedings are based, in large part, upon their review of the information collected by the Commission.

The submissions made to the Commission in response to the notices of institution of five-year sunset reviews are evaluated to determine if they meet the criteria for adequacy. If the Commission concludes that interested parties' responses to the notice of institution are adequate, or otherwise determines that a full review should proceed, investigative activities pertaining to that review will continue. Alternatively, if the Commission concludes that interested parties' responses to the notice of institution are inadequate, it may decide to conduct an expedited review, which does not require gathering data using questionnaires.⁶

⁴ The China safeguard provision expired on December 11, 2013.

⁵ Interested parties consist of U.S. producers within the industry in question as well as labor unions or representative groups of workers, U.S. importers and foreign exporters, and involved foreign country governments.

⁶ The institution notices state that: "{p}ursuant to section 207.61(c) of the Commission's rules, any interested party that cannot furnish the information requested by this notice in the requested form and manner shall notify the Commission at the earliest possible time, provide a full explanation of why it cannot provide the requested information, and indicate alternative forms in which it can provide equivalent information. If an interested party does not provide this notification (or the Commission finds the explanation provided in the notification inadequate) and fails to provide a complete response to this notice, the Commission may take an adverse inference against the party pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act in making its determination in the review."

Depending upon the specific type of investigation, the Commission is required to notify either the Department of Commerce or the President, in addition to the petitioner and other parties, of its determination and the relevant facts. Affirmative Commission determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty investigations result in the imposition of additional duties on imports entering the United States. If the Commission makes an affirmative determination in a five-year sunset review, the existing antidumping or countervailing duty order will remain in place. The data developed in escape-clause, market disruption, and interference-with-USDA-program investigations (if the Commission finds affirmatively) are used by the President/U.S. Trade Representative to determine the type of relief, if any, to be provided to domestic industries.

The following tabulation provides the number of import injury investigations (where the Commission makes determinations on the basis of questionnaires issued under the current generic clearance) instituted at the Commission during 2011-13:

Type of investigation	2011	2012	2013
Antidumping	11	15	38
Countervailing duty	5	10	18
Title VII changed circumstances review (751b)	0	0	0
Title VII five-year (751c) ¹	36	16	31
Global safeguard review (203/204)	0	0	0
China safeguard (421) ²	0	0	0

¹ Does not include five-year reviews that were revoked by the International Trade Administration (U.S. Department of Commerce) and where the Commission subsequently terminated its reviews. Five-year reviews that were expedited by the Commission do not require that questionnaires be issued. Submissions were, however, provided to the Commission in response to its notices of institution of five-year sunset reviews .

² The China safeguard provision expired on December 11, 2013.

Note. Data for investigations are provided on a fiscal year basis. The numbers of cases provided refer to individual filings for each statute and country (and not to the number of grouped filings for statutes and countries combined).

Source: Case data retrieved from U. S. International Trade Commission website at http://www.usitc.gov/trade_remedy/731_ad_701_cvd/investigations/completed/index.htm.

(3) Information technology--Historically, the Commission's collection of information under the generic clearance has not involved the use of automated, electronic, mechanical or other technological collection methods. Completed questionnaires were returned by recipients to the Commission in paper form. During the past two years, the Commission has made significant progress with its data collection efforts. Questionnaires are now created as fillable forms in MS Word format and are sent via email to industry participants. In cases where email addresses are not available, the Commission will send a letter via overnight mail directing the company to the Commission's website to access the electronic version of the questionnaire. Questionnaires in MS Word for specific investigations are made available on the Commission's website at http://www.usitc.gov/trade_remedy/731_ad_701_cvd/investigations/active/index.htm. Responding firms instructed to return completed questionnaires by uploading it to a secure dropbox on the Commission's website or by emailing it to Commission staff.⁷ This should make filing easier and more cost effective for firms and will likely result in a reduction in paper. Electronic completion and submission of questionnaires has facilitated the Commission's electronic extraction of data which will reduce time spent manually entering data and should improve accuracy. The Commission has and will continue its practice of regularly evaluating questionnaires to reduce the burden on responding firms. For example, changes made to the questionnaires during FY 2013, including auto-population and auto-computations in parts of the questionnaire which have reduced adding errors and have saved time for questionnaire respondents and ITC auditors. Parties to the investigation must, where service of the questionnaire is required, provide the service in paper form to the other parties under the administrative protective order (see 19 CFR § 207.7).⁸

Information provided by firms in response to its notices of institution for the five-year sunset reviews are submitted in document form directly to the Commission's Office of the Secretary.

(4) Non-duplication--The Commission contacts trade associations, government agencies, and other industry participants prior to developing a questionnaire in order to determine the amount and type of data already available and to avoid duplication. Public data that the Commission has been able to utilize on a regular basis consist primarily of the quantity and value of imports into the United States maintained by the U.S. Department of Commerce; some use has also been made of U.S. Department of Agriculture statistics for cases involving agricultural commodities and data maintained by the American Iron and Steel Institute for steel investigations.

However, the Commission can rarely rely solely upon existing data sources. For each investigation it conducts, the Commission generally is restricted to examining a relatively narrow group or range of products in assessing injury, or threat of injury, to a specific domestic industry. In most cases, the product categories of published data are too broad and/or do not correspond to the product(s) under investigation. It is also necessary for the Commission to ensure that for such items as shipments and pricing, the data it considers is collected using the same measurement criteria for producers (both

⁷ Firms are given the specific web address and a case-specific password in the instruction booklet for the secure dropbox. (See for example: http://www.usitc.gov/trade_remedy/731_ad_701_cvd/investigations/2013/octg/prelimphase.htm Instruction booklet at p. 4).

⁸ A signed certificate of service must accompany the documents.

domestic and foreign), importers, and purchasers. Timeliness is also of concern. For example, the Commission usually examines data for the most recent three full years and two partial years in antidumping and countervailing duty investigations, ending with the last quarter for which firms have closed their books. Finally, to ensure that its determinations are made using the most accurate data possible, the Commission where possible reviews information on the individual operations of the firms within an industry (data not available on a public basis).

(5) Small businesses.--The Commission generally directs its data collection activities towards those firms that account for a significant percentage of the total trade of the products under investigation. Such firms are the larger businesses in the industry under investigation, but may be classified as "small businesses" under the rules of the Small Business Administration. The burden is minimized for any smaller firms included on a Commission mailing list since, in contrast to the larger firms, they will generally only produce, import, or purchase some of the products under investigation and thus, by definition, need only complete part of the questionnaire. In addition, the Commission's staff generally contacts questionnaire recipients to assist them in completing their response. Firms for which the questionnaire is particularly burdensome may receive additional time to complete the questionnaire and/or be encouraged to use estimates. (The instructions of each questionnaire provide the names and telephone numbers of Commission staff assigned to the investigation; they also state that carefully prepared estimates are acceptable.) Also, a firm (especially if small in size) whose operations upon examination appear to be of lesser relevance to the investigation may be exempted from completing all or a portion of the questionnaire.

(6) Consequence of non-collection.--As discussed above, the Commission would be severely hampered in making its determinations and recommendations if the information collections are not conducted.

The actual questions formulated for use in a specific investigation depend upon such factors as the nature of the industry, the relevant issues, the ability of respondents to supply the data, and the availability of data from secondary sources. However, the issues to be examined are largely determined by statutory mandate. The Tariff Act of 1930 identifies certain economic factors that the Commission is to take into account in arriving at determinations in countervailing duty and antidumping investigations. Section 771(7) of the Act directs the Commission to evaluate, in addition to any other relevant economic factors, the following: import volumes, prices, production, shipments, profitability, productivity, inventories, employment, wages, growth, ability to raise capital, and investment. The Commission is also provided with guidelines concerning the economic factors it is to take into account in making its determinations in escape clause investigations. Section 202(c)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974 directs the Commission to take into account all relevant economic factors, but specifically mentions the following: capacity utilization; profitability; unemployment; underemployment; sales; inventories; production; wages; import levels, both relative to production and absolute; and the proportion of the domestic market supplied by domestic producers. The Commission may also be required to examine injury to both national and regional industries in antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. In some investigations, questionnaires will solicit data pertaining to other economic factors not listed in the statutes (e.g., channels of distribution and lost sales) because such data have been found to be particularly useful in past Commission determinations or are relevant to the case in question.

(7) Special circumstances.--Except as noted below, there are no special circumstances that would cause a questionnaire under the generic clearance to be issued in a manner inconsistent with item 7 of the Specific Instructions for the Supporting Statement.

The Commission cannot always allow respondents 30 days to prepare a written response to an information collection. Some of the questionnaires issued under the generic clearance are for the preliminary phases of antidumping and countervailing duty investigations that have statutory deadlines of 45 days. For these investigations it is necessary that the data be returned to the Commission in time for the staff to compile it and prepare a final staff report by about day 39. On day 45, the Commission submits its determination to the Secretary of Commerce. For all other types of investigations, the Commission attempts to provide respondents 30 days or more to respond to its questionnaires. Extensions of the Commission's questionnaire deadlines frequently are provided upon request.

(8) Consultations.--The Commission's notice soliciting comments on the proposed information collection (for the generic clearance) prior to submitting it to OMB was published in the *Federal Register* on February 12, 2014 (79 FR 8485; copy provided), as required by 5 CFR § 1320.8(d).⁹

Consultations applying to questionnaire content.--The generic questionnaires included with this submission provide, as indicated above, an indication of the types of data collected in import injury investigations. The documents in electronic form also function as clerical aid to prevent having to replicate substantial portions of the questionnaires issued in actual investigations. Questionnaires for newly instituted investigations (including the preliminary phases of antidumping and countervailing duty investigations) are structured, in part, using information provided in the petition submitted by the petitioning firms. For every individual investigation, industry representatives are solicited by Commission staff for their input and comments regarding the specific questionnaires that will be issued. Further, the Commission has formalized the process where interested parties comment on data collection and draft questionnaires in final phase antidumping and countervailing duty investigations (including the five-year sunset reviews). Interested parties are provided approximately two weeks to provide comments to the Commission on the draft questionnaires. Requests by parties to expand the data collection or add items to the questionnaire may not be accepted if the Commission believes such requests will increase the response burden while not substantially adding to the investigative record. After the comment period, each individual Commissioner reviews and approves the questionnaires through the Commission's Action jacket procedure (in final phase original investigations and five-year sunset reviews).

With respect to the institution notices and questionnaires issued for the five-year sunset reviews of antidumping and countervailing duty orders and suspended investigations, the Commission has attempted to minimize the amount of information it collects from the industries involved in the reviews while still meeting its statutory obligations.

⁹ In addition, the text of the 30-day *Federal Register* notice that will be published is also incorporated into this submission.

Consultations applying to questionnaire burden.--The Commission requests in every questionnaire issued under the generic clearance that firms report the actual number of hours required and the cost incurred in preparing the reply to the questionnaire and in completing the form. Responses from firms are tabulated by the Commission and provide the basis for the burden estimates and costs incorporated into this extension request.

Comments received.-- The Commission did not receive any comments from individual firms that have completed questionnaires in import injury investigations nor did it receive any comments from counsel that has represented interested parties (that have completed questionnaires).

(9) Payments or gifts to respondents.--There is no provision for any payment or gifts to respondents.

(10) Confidentiality.--The authority for accepting submissions of information as confidential is specified in 19 CFR 201.6. The respondents are informed in the instruction booklet accompanying the questionnaires that:

The commercial and financial data furnished in response to the enclosed questionnaire(s) that reveal the individual operations of your firm will be treated as confidential by the Commission to the extent that such data are not otherwise available to the public and will not be disclosed except as may be required by law (*see* 19 U.S.C. 1677f). Such confidential information will not be published in a manner that will reveal the individual operations of your firm; however nonnumerical characterizations of numerical business proprietary information (such as discussions of trends) will be treated as confidential business information only at the request of the submitter for good cause shown.

The following information describing the administrative protective order service requirement and providing certain information to the Department of Commerce is also provided to respondents in the instruction booklet:

The information provided by your firm in response to the questionnaire(s), as well as any other business proprietary information submitted by your firm to the Commission in connection with the investigation, may become subject to, and released under, the administrative protective order provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1677f) and section 207.7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.7). This means that certain lawyers and other authorized individuals may temporarily be given access to the information for use in connection with this investigation or other import-injury investigations or reviews conducted by the Commission on the same or similar merchandise; those individuals would be subject to severe penalties if the information were divulged to unauthorized individuals. In addition, if your firm is a U.S. producer, the information you provide on your production and imports and your responses to the questions in Part I of the producer questionnaire will be provided to the U.S. Department of Commerce, upon its request, for use in connection with (and only in connection with) its requirement pursuant to sections 702(c)(4) and/or 732(c)(4) of the Act (19 U.S.C. § 1671a(c)(4) and/or /1673a(c)(4)) to make a determination concerning the extent of industry support for the petition requesting this investigation.

Any information provided to Commerce will be transmitted under the confidentiality and release guidelines set forth above. Your response to these questions constitutes your consent that such information be provided to Commerce under the conditions described above.

As indicated above, the administrative protective order service requirement constitutes a third-party disclosure (i.e., a directive for a person to disclose information to other persons) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The authorized applicants,” or those who are eligible to receive business propriety information under the administrative protective order, are limited to persons (primarily trade attorneys and the economic consultants hired by those attorneys) who represent interested parties in a specific import injury investigation. As described earlier, party briefs and other submissions to the Commission are based in large part upon information released to these authorized applicants under the terms of the administrative protective order.

The administrative protective order service requirement also applies to and is described in the notices of institution for five-year sunset reviews.

(11) Sensitive information--Information on issues of a sensitive nature involving persons is not sought.

(12) Estimates of burden (July 2014 through June 2017).¹⁰--The Commission estimates that information collections issued under the requested generic clearance will impose an average annual burden of 173,094 burden hours on 4,929 respondents (i.e., recipients that provide a response to the Commission’s questionnaires or the notices of institution of five-year sunset reviews). Table 1 lists the projected annual burden for each type of information collection for the period July 2014 through June 2017.

¹⁰ A July-June period is used since the current generic clearance expires on June 30, 2014.

Table 1
Projected annual burden data, by type of information collection, July 2014-June 2017

Item	Producer questionnaires ¹	Importer questionnaires ²	Purchaser questionnaires ³	Foreign producer questionnaires ⁴	Institution notices for 5-year reviews ⁵	Total
Number of respondents	930	1,395	1,260	1,116	228	4,929
Frequency of response	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total annual responses	930	1,395	1,260	1,116	228	4,929
Hours per response	49	36	25	39	10	35.1
Total hours	45,570	50,220	31,500	43,524	2,280	173,094

¹ Producer questionnaires.--Estimates based upon the following variables: number of respondents (anticipated caseload (x) number of producer respondents per case) and hours per response.

² Importer questionnaires.--Estimates based upon the following variables: number of respondents (anticipated caseload (x) number of importer respondents per case) and hours per response.

³ Purchaser questionnaires.--Estimates based upon the following variables: number of respondents (anticipated caseload (x) number of purchaser respondents per case) and hours per response.

⁴ Foreign producer questionnaires.--Estimates based upon the following variables: number of respondents (anticipated caseload (x) number of foreign producer respondents per case) and hours per response.

⁵ Institution notices for 5-year reviews.--Estimates based upon the following variables: anticipated five-year review caseload, number of respondents to each notice, and responding firm burden.

Note.--Above estimates include questionnaires for specific investigations where the mailing list consists of fewer than 10 firms. In such instances the majority or all firms within the industry under investigation may be said to receive questionnaires. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, "(a)ny collection of information addressed to all or a substantial majority of an industry is presumed to involved ten or more persons.

Definitions on the next page.

DEFINITIONS

Anticipated caseload.--Derived from current Commission budget estimates.

Number of respondents per case.--Defined as the number of firms which return completed questionnaires to the Commission. Current estimates of “number of respondents per case” for the questionnaires were derived from the number of respondents to Commission questionnaires that were issued under the current generic clearance.

Responding firm burden.--Defined as the time required by the firm that received the questionnaire to review instructions, search data sources, and complete and review its response. Commission questionnaires do not impose the burden of developing, acquiring, installing and utilizing technology and systems, nor require adjusting existing methodology or training personnel. Current estimates of “responding firm burden” for the questionnaires were derived from the actual burden reported by firms that responded to Commission questionnaires issued under the current generic clearance periods.

Outside review burden.--Time devoted by outside legal and economic advisors to reviewing questionnaires completed by the responding firms who are their clients prior to submitting them to the Commission. The number of questionnaires to be reviewed is based on the share of total responding firms during the period covered by the previous generic clearance that were interested parties (22 percent). The time per questionnaire is estimated based upon the review experience of Commission staff, which ranges from 2 to 4 hours per questionnaire but not, as discussed earlier, including time required by Commission staff to prepare and review follow-up requests.

Third-party disclosure burden.--Time required for outside legal advisors to serve their clients' questionnaires on other parties to the investigation or review under an administrative protective order. The number of questionnaires to be served is based on the share of total responding firms during the period covered by the current generic clearance that were interested parties.

Variation in estimated burden.--The hourly burden estimates presented above can be expected to vary widely among individual respondents from one hour to several times the reported average burden. The reasons for the variation are as follows: (1) depending on the time of year a petition is filed or order is to be reviewed the Commission may or may not examine partial year data; (2) questionnaires for the original investigations generally examine three years of data (plus partial periods if applicable) while questionnaires for the five-year sunset reviews typically examine data from the time of the original investigation or last review to the present; (3) a respondent may only produce, import, or purchase the products during a short time period or handle only one of the products under investigation or reviewed; (4) the time required to extract the data from existing records will vary depending both on the product subject to investigation (and the likelihood that certain data are already aggregated for that product) as well as on variations in firm-specific record systems; (5) whether the firm's operations are centralized or spread among various locations; and (6) the questionnaires include the maximum number of reporting categories to ensure that meaningful data will be obtained from firms with complex business operations meaning that some sections of the questionnaires will not apply to smaller-sized firms.

Table 2 presents the range in reported variance (aggregated for an entire investigation and by individual respondents) for various types of Commission questionnaires issued under the current generic clearance:

Table 2 Reported variance in reported burden hours, by type of information collection, July 2013-December 2014¹				
Type of investigation	Type of questionnaire			
	Domestic producer	Importer	Purchaser ²	Foreign producer
	Average reported burden hours			
Preliminary	49-140	8-110	(⁵)	20-80
Final	8-200	15-90	10-75	5-100
Review	65-110	10-85	5-35	8-90
¹ Range represents the minimum and maximum average reported burden hours per case.				
² Not applicable; purchaser questionnaires are not issued in preliminary investigations.				
Source: Compiled from data reported in response to Commission questionnaires for the current generic clearance that were available as of January 2014.				

As shown, the range of burden varies among reporting firms for a specific investigation and for various types of questionnaires (and investigations); the minimum and maximum reported burdens were highest for domestic producer questionnaires regardless of the type of investigation.¹¹

Annualized cost to respondents.--The estimated total cost, on an annual basis, to respondents of the proposed information collections for July 2014 through June 2017 is \$15.7 million (table 3). This cost was obtained by multiplying the number of burden hours estimated to be imposed by questionnaires cleared under the requested generic clearance by the average cost per hour to respond. The average cost per hour to respond is based on actual cost data reported by respondents to Commission questionnaires issued under the current generic clearance.

¹¹ See comments in item 8 on variations in outside reporting burden.

Table 3 Estimated annual cost data, by type of information collection, July 2014-June 2017						
Item	Questionnaires				Institution notices for 5-year reviews	Total
	Producer	Importer	Purchaser	Foreign producer		
Total burden hours ¹	45,570	50,220	31,500	43,524	2,280	173,094
Cost per burden hour ²	\$94.13	\$82.02	\$92.56	\$87.35	\$83.95	(³)
Total cost (\$1,000) ⁴	4,290	4,119	2,916	3,802	191	15,317
<p>¹ The component of total response burden from table 1 that is attributable to burden on responding firms (but excludes outside review and third-party review burden).</p> <p>² Actual cost per hour incurred by firms except for the institution notices which are an average of data reported by domestic firms. Data are calculated from the total cost to complete the questionnaires reported by firms in their responses to questionnaires issued under the current generic clearance. Firms were not requested to separate their costs into wage or other categories.</p> <p>³ Not calculated.</p> <p>⁴ Data were not adjusted upward to account for inflation over the three-year period covered by a generic clearance.</p>						
Source: Compiled from data reported in response to Commission questionnaires for the current generic clearance that were available as of April 2011.						

(13) Total annual cost burden.--The total annual cost burden to responding firms is shown above in table 3. There are no known capital and start-up cost components. However, the estimated cost of the outside review (i.e., the services of outside legal and financial advisors to review the questionnaires prior to their submission to the Commission) and third party disclosure burden (i.e., service of the questionnaires on other parties to an investigation under the administrative protective order) is provided as a maintenance and purchase of services component cost in table 4.

Table 4 Estimated purchase of services component cost						
Item	Questionnaires				Institution notices for 5-year reviews	Total
	Producer	Importer	Purchaser	Foreign producer		
Estimated cost imposed annually in July 2014-June 2017						
Total cost (\$1,000) ²	547	134	10	1,016	120	1,827
¹ Does not include the estimated cost imposed on responding firms provided in table 3. ² Data were not adjusted upward to account for inflation over the three-year period covered by a generic clearance.						
Source: Calculated from historical cost data applied to outside review and third-party disclosure burden estimated per the definitions provided in table 1.						

(14) Annualized cost to the Federal Government.--The total project cost for the Commission's import injury investigations was \$24.6 million for FY 2013. In addition to staff time, this figure includes travel, data processing, and printing costs plus the cost of personnel benefits and overhead. The total project cost is provided here since the questionnaires (and institution notices for the five-year sunset reviews) issued by the Commission are an integral part of its investigations.

(15) Program changes and/or adjustments.--The current generic clearance authority for 186,002 hours of average annual burden expires on June 30, 2014. As shown in table 1, this request for an extension projects a total respondent burden of 173,094 hours for a decrease of 6.9 percent. This slight decrease reflects possible efficiency gains from the creation of questionnaires in fillable Microsoft Word forms and the ability to electronically upload or email questionnaires as a means of submitting questionnaires. This burden estimate is based on the hour and dollar burden data submitted by firms in calendar year 2013. While previous burden estimates have been based on the hour and dollar estimates for the previous three years; however, this burden estimate is based on the most recent year as it reflects the current process of sending fillable form questionnaires and having firms submit them electronically.

(16) Plans for tabulation and publication.--After a questionnaire is sent for a specific investigation, firms are contacted by Commission staff to ensure that they received the questionnaire and are able to supply the data. There is a telephone or e-mail follow-up to firms that do not respond by the due date. After the questionnaires are returned, Commission staff reviews each response for accuracy, resolves any questions with the respondent, and compiles responses using various forms of information technology. Data from questionnaires issued under the current generic clearance was processed using LOTUS 1-2-3 in the earlier portion of this period but by the end of this period electronic extraction of

data using Microsoft Excel was used. The computer programs also permit the compiled data to be displayed in a variety of formats that highlight the pertinent issues and questions so as to aid the Commission in its analysis and subsequent determination.¹²

Compiled data from the questionnaires are transmitted to the Commission in the form of a staff report. (Questionnaire data are placed into the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) and made available electronically to authorized persons within the Commission.) A version of the Commission's report for each investigation with all confidential material deleted is published shortly after the end of a specific investigation in a document that also contains the Commission's determination for that investigation and the Commissioners' opinions. Copies of the published report are made available on the Commission's website (*see <http://www.usitc.gov/publications/index.htm>*). Prior to the publication of the report, and immediately after the Commission's vote in a specific investigation, a press release is issued that provides the outcome of the vote and lists factual highlights of the investigation. In addition, the Commission's determination for each investigation is published in the *Federal Register*.

The time schedule depends upon the type and length of the specific investigation. For antidumping and countervailing duty investigations, escape-clause investigations, and market disruption investigations, the Commission has statutory deadlines for reporting its determination, which range from 45 days to six months from the date of receipt of a petition, request from the President, or Congressional resolution. The deadlines for escape-clause review, changed circumstances, antidumping and countervailing duty review investigations, and investigations on interference with programs of the USDA generally range from three to six months. The actual time schedule for an investigation is determined when the case is instituted.

(17) Approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval.--Not applicable. The questionnaires and notices of institution of five-year sunset reviews issued under the generic clearance will display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection.

(18) Consistency with CFR 1320.9 guidelines.--There are no known exceptions to the certification statement identified in Item 19, "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions," of OMB Form 83-1 for this proposed information collection.

Part B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods

The Commission does not use statistical sampling methodology for information collections conducted under the generic clearance but instead typically sends questionnaire to the entire population or to a substantial portion of the population of firms producing, importing, and/or purchasing the product in question. Initially, a petitioning domestic producer is required to identify all domestic producers and

¹² As indicated in item 2, the Commission releases completed questionnaires to authorized representatives of interested parties under the terms of an administrative protective order. The Commission now provides the releases on a compact disc, which permits the representatives to access the data electronically and assists in the representatives' preparation of subsequent submissions to the Commission.

importers of the relevant product known to it as well as the foreign producers in the subject country(ies). The Commission supplements this information with publicly available sources (for domestic and foreign producers) and accesses confidential information from Customs (for importers and foreign producers) to compile the lists of firms to receive questionnaires. Purchaser names are provided to the Commission by the domestic producers and importers. See item 16 above for information on how the Commission maximizes response rates.

For a specific investigation, the Commission usually sends producer questionnaires to all U.S. firms manufacturing the product(s) in question. In most investigations there are no more than a total of 20 to 30 firms in the United States, and often far fewer, producing the relevant product(s). Commission experience has shown that a universe size of 20 to 30 firms generally is too small to use sampling procedures and obtain precise estimates of population parameters. Also, some of the information contained in the producers' questionnaire (e.g., questions as to the impact of imports on firms' operations) is relevant for the Commission's determinations regardless of the size of the respondent. Similarly, the Commission attempts to obtain data from all foreign manufacturers of the subject product, especially if they are exporting to the United States. Typically, there are fewer than 10 foreign manufacturers per country involved in any Commission investigation.¹³

In contrast to domestic and foreign manufacturers, the Commission often does not attempt to obtain data from every U.S. importer and purchaser of the relevant product(s). Importer and purchaser questionnaires are, however, mailed to the most substantial firms that import or purchase from the countries where the subject merchandise is produced. Concentrating staff effort on a smaller group of firms permits the Commission to fully edit and review returned questionnaires and minimize the burden on smaller respondents. Where possible, the Commission uses official Commerce statistics to determine import levels, in some cases adjusting those statistics on the basis of importer questionnaire responses. Further, a key purpose of the purchasers' questionnaire is not to estimate population totals but to obtain an objective assessment of the factors of competition between domestically produced and imported products. Commission reports indicate when the entire universe of firms was not surveyed and notes what percentage of the market accounted for by the responding firms.

Notices of the institution of five-year sunset reviews are not mailed to firms, but published in the *Federal Register*. Responses are solicited and are voluntary.

¹³ The Commission compiles separate data for each country considered.