

## **Supporting Statement for OMB 0596-0189**

### UNDERSTANDING VALUE TRADE-OFFS REGARDING FIRE HAZARD REDUCTION PROGRAMS IN THE WILDLAND-URBAN INTERFACE August 2015

#### **B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods**

- 1. Describe (including a numerical estimate) the potential respondent universe and any sampling or other respondent selection method to be used. Data on the number of entities (e.g., establishments, State and local government units, households, or persons) in the universe covered by the collection and in the corresponding sample are to be provided in tabular form for the universe as a whole and for each of the strata in the proposed sample. Indicate expected response rates for the collection as a whole. If the collection had been conducted previously, include the actual response rate achieved during the last collection.**

Sampling will involve approximately 4,200 households, 1,400 per year or 350 each in Arizona (AZ), Colorado (CO), New Mexico (NM), and Texas (TX). A stratified random sampling procedure is used. The three fire level strata are High, Medium and Low fire risk. We are using the term community broadly to include areas with similar characteristics like exposure to certain level of fire risk, are in the wildland-urban interface, have similar vegetation type, etc.

Communities selected to participate represent varying levels of historical wildfire damage, including communities that experienced catastrophic loss from the 2011 and 2012 AZ, CO, NM, and TX wildfires. Communities not experiencing catastrophic wildfire loss in the recent past will serve as a control. Risk gradient is based on the total annual number of fires in the areas and the presence of flammable vegetation. AZ, CO, NM and TX have developed risk index maps for all communities. We will use these risk indexes maps in selecting communities in high, medium, and low fire risk index as defined by the states.

- AZ Risk maps can be seen at: <http://data.azgs.az.gov/hazard-viewer/>;
- CO Risk maps can be seen at: <http://csfd.springsgov.com/>;
- NM Risk maps can be seen at: <http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/SFD/FireMgt/Fire.html>;
- TX Risk maps can be seen at: <https://www.texaswildfirerisk.com/map>.

- 2. Describe the procedures for the collection of information including:**

- **Statistical methodology for stratification and sample selection**

The following formula was used to determine the sample size needed to make population estimates (Dillman, D. 2007, Mail and internet surveys, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.):

**Supporting Statement for OMB 0596-0189**

UNDERSTANDING VALUE TRADE-OFFS REGARDING FIRE HAZARD REDUCTION PROGRAMS IN THE WILDLAND-URBAN INTERFACE  
August 2015

---

$$N_s = \frac{(N_p)(p)(1-p)}{(Np-1)\left(\frac{B}{C}\right)^2 + (p)(1-p)}$$

Where  $N_s$  = completed sample size needed for desired level of precision

$N_p$  = size of population

$p$  = proportion of population expected to choose one of the two response categories

$B$  = acceptable amount of sampling error,  $.05 = \pm 5\%$  of the true population value

$C$  = Z statistic associated with the confidence level; 1.96 corresponds to the 95% level

A population of 1 million or more, the required sample size is 384 for the following parameters:

$N_p = 1,000,000$

$p = .5$  (set at the most conservative value possible)

$B = .05$

$C = 1.96$

$$N_s = \frac{(1,000,000)(.5)(1-.5)}{(1,000,000 - 1)\left(\frac{.05}{1.96}\right)^2 + (.5)(1-.5)} = 384$$

If we sample 350 individuals per state and there is a 70% response rate (similar of response rate to previous Florida and CA data acquisition process), the sample size per state will be 245 ( $350 \times .70$ ).

- **Estimation procedure,**
- **Degree of accuracy needed for the purpose described in the justification.**
- **Unusual problems requiring specialized sampling procedures, and**
- **Any use of periodic (less frequent than annual) data collection cycles to reduce burden.**

A stratified random digit dialing along a fire risk gradient across AZ, CO, NM, and TX consisting of 4,200 head of households (**average of 1,400 per year or 350/state**).

Various choice models will be considered to estimate the preference parameters, such as multinomial logit and nested logit models in the LIMDEP, GAUSS, or EVIEWS statistical packages.

Proponents do not envision any unusual problems requiring specialized sampling procedures. The sample frame as selected by Dillman's methodology above is representative to the point that proponents are able to generalize to the sample populations in AZ, CO, NM, and TX.

The hourly burden minimized by the following methods:

- Initial contact determines participants; interviewer will ask for head of household when establishing initial contact. This instruction is included in pre-survey script.
- Additional contact restricted to those who have agreed to participate, at which time they agree to respond to mini-survey.
- Participants receive questionnaire by mail or by e-mail before the in-

**Supporting Statement for OMB 0596-0189**

UNDERSTANDING VALUE TRADE-OFFS REGARDING FIRE HAZARD REDUCTION PROGRAMS IN THE WILDLAND-URBAN INTERFACE  
August 2015

---

depth telephone interview.

- Participants informed of estimated length of in-depth interview at moment of initial contact.

**3. Describe methods to maximize response rates and to deal with issues of non-response. The accuracy and reliability of information collected must be shown to be adequate for intended uses. For collections based on sampling, a special justification must be provided for any collection that will not yield "reliable" data that can be generalized to the universe studied.**

The initial stratified random digit dialing procedure will identify and serve to select all study participants. Those agreeing to participate, respond to the initial short phone survey, receive a mailed or e-mail questionnaire, and answer questions via an in-depth telephone interview or respond to the web base questionnaire. In-depth interviews are scheduled during the initial telephone contact. Survey research center will be asked to ensure cross referencing with cell phone number in the areas to insure all potential participants have the same probability of being contacted.

At the beginning of the in-depth interview, respondents are asked if they received the questionnaire by mail or e-mail. Those who have not received the questionnaire are sent one and another interview date and time for the in-depth interview is scheduled. If the questionnaire was received, the respondents are asked to have it available, and if they have read it. If the questionnaire is not available, respondents are asked to get it. If the questionnaire has not been read, the interviewer will go over the material.

For non-response issues, all respondents are asked questions, to questions affecting response to willingness to pay estimation (Q18-20 in survey), included in the questionnaire, about why they chose not to respond to the question or why they answered in a certain way. This allows proponents to determine if the zero responses were valid responses or protest responses to the scenarios presented in the survey. A tally of all non-responses is analyzed to determine if non-respondents are different from respondents. **This is something that was not done previously; we realize this is important, that is why is included in this version of the survey.**

**4. Describe any tests of procedures or methods to be undertaken. Testing is encouraged as an effective means of refining collections of information to minimize burden and improve utility. Tests must be approved if they call for answers to identical questions from 10 or more respondents. A proposed test or set of tests may be submitted for approval separately or in combination with the main collection of information.**

The survey instrument used in this research has been refined based on a peer review process, as well as employing statistical review. A small focus group of nine persons also reviewed the survey instrument for clarity and understanding of the content, to ensure the reality of the fuels reduction alternatives presented. To ensure the accuracy of the information presented, Forest Service fire managers and planners reviewed the survey instrument. Based on these reviews and a review conducted by the National Agricultural Statistical Service (NASS), adjustments and refinements were made to this project. Based on previous reviews and application in Florida and California, we feel another round

**Supporting Statement for OMB 0596-0189**

UNDERSTANDING VALUE TRADE-OFFS REGARDING FIRE HAZARD REDUCTION PROGRAMS IN THE WILDLAND-URBAN INTERFACE  
August 2015

---

of reviews for application of the instrument to AZ, CO, NM and TX residents is unnecessary.

**5. Provide the name and telephone number of individuals consulted on statistical aspects of the design and the name of the agency unit, contractor(s), grantee(s), or other person(s) who will actually collect and/or analyze the information for the agency.**

The NASS reviewed and commented on this proposal and associated survey instrument and materials in 2015. They found that our sampling design is appropriate. If population sizes are different in each state and the estimated sample sizes are based on population size, they suggest proportional probability stratified sampling. However, our stratified sampling procedure is designed to capture the difference in fire occurrence probabilities within areas in each state and not in the total population of each state. We believe that our current sampling design is more appropriate to capture concerns about wildfire protection programs. See NASS Review document for my response to comments.

Data to be collected by:

- Dr. John B. Loomis, Colorado State University
- Dr. Thomas Holmes, Southern Research Station, USDA Forest Service
- Dr. Armando González-Cabán, Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service
- Dr. José J. Sánchez, Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service

Data will be analyzed by Drs. González-Cabán, Loomis, Holmes, and Sánchez.

Reports and manuscripts will be prepared jointly by Drs. González-Cabán, Loomis, Holmes, and Sánchez.