

interventions agreed to by caller or implemented by counselor without caller's consent, (9) whether imminent risk was reduced enough such that active rescue was not needed, (10) interventions for third party callers calling about a person at imminent risk, (11) whether supervisory consultation occurred during or after the call, (12) barriers to getting needed help to the person at imminent risk, (13) steps

taken to confirm whether emergency contact was made with person at risk, (14) outcome of attempts to rescue person at risk, and (15) outcome of attempts to follow-up on the case. The revised form reduces and streamlines responses options for intervention questions. It also adds information about the center, the call (e.g., language and military service), interventions (e.g., supervisor contact, rescue initiation),

and follow-up/outcome. The form will take approximately 15 minutes to complete and may be completed by the counselor during or after the call. It is expected that a total of 750 forms will be completed by 132 counselors over the three-year data collection period.

The estimated response burden to collect this information is annualized over the requested three-year clearance period and is presented below:

**TOTAL AND ANNUALIZED BURDEN: RESPONDENTS, RESPONSES AND HOURS**

Instrument	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total responses	Hours per response	Total hour burden
Imminent Risk Form .....	132	1.9	250	.26	65

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by January 28, 2015 to the SAMHSA Desk Officer at the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB). To ensure timely receipt of comments, and to avoid potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, commenters are encouraged to submit their comments to OMB via email to: *OIRA\_Submission@omb.eop.gov*. Although commenters are encouraged to send their comments via email, commenters may also fax their comments to: 202-395-7285. Commenters may also mail them to: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building, Room 10102, Washington, DC 20503.

**Summer King,**  
Statistician.

[FR Doc. 2014-30290 Filed 12-24-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4162-20-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Transportation Security Administration**

**Intent To Request Renewal From OMB of One Current Public Collection of Information: TSA OLE/FAMS Canine Training and Evaluation Section (CTES) End of Course Level 1 Critique (Formerly Named: National Explosives Detection Canine Team Program (NEDCTP) Handler Training Assessment Survey)**

**AGENCY:** Transportation Security Administration, DHS.

**ACTION:** 60-day Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) invites public comment on one currently approved Information Collection Request (ICR), Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number 1652-0041, abstracted below that we will submit to OMB for a revision in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. The collection involves the submission of numerical ratings and written comments about the quality of training instruction from students who graduate from the Federal Air Marshal Service (FAMS)/Canine Training and Evaluation Section (CTES) Explosives Detection Canine Handlers Course, Passenger Screening Canine Handler Course and the Supervisor/Trainer Seminars.

**DATES:** Send your comments by February 27, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be emailed to *TSAPRA@dhs.gov* or delivered to the TSA PRA Officer, Office of Information Technology (OIT), TSA-11, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christina A. Walsh at the above address, or by telephone (571) 227-2062.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The ICR documentation is available at <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following

information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including using appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Information Collection Requirement**

*OMB Control Number 1652-0041:* TSA OLE/FAMS Canine Training and Evaluation Section End of Course Level 1 Critique (Formerly Named: National Explosives Detection Canine Team Program (NEDCTP) Handler Training Assessment Survey). The FAMS/CTES Explosives Detection Canine Handlers Course, Passenger Screening Canine Handler Course and the Supervisor/Trainer Seminars are given to state and local personnel as well as TSA personnel who are trained to be canine handlers. The state and local personnel participate under agency specific cooperative agreements in that portion of the TSA Grant program administered by the National Explosives Detection Canine Team Program (NEDCTP). The End of Course Level 1 Survey captures from graduating students numerical ratings and written comments about the quality of training instruction at the FAMS/CTES Explosives Detection Canine Handlers Course, Passenger Screening Canine Handler Course and the Supervisor/Trainer Seminars. The

data is collected by the CTES Training Support Unit and provides valuable feedback to the Supervisory Air Marshal in Charge (SAC) and CTES instructional staff and supervisors on how the training material was presented and received. The Level 1 Surveys are mandatory for students who successfully complete training, but the students may remain anonymous. Once reviewed, the feedback is used to improve the course curriculum and the course of instruction. The estimated burden is approximately one hour per participant, 180 hours per calendar year (average 180 students per calendar year) to read, answer, and submit the questions.

Dated: December 22, 2014.

**Christina A. Walsh,**

*TSA Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of Information Technology.*

[FR Doc. 2014-30395 Filed 12-24-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-05-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5819-N-01]

### Waivers and Alternative Requirements for the Family Self-Sufficiency Program

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Previously, the Family Self-Sufficiency Program was administered as two separate programs—one for Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) participants and one for Public Housing participants. Appropriations for the Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) program in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, provided, however, that the two programs be merged into one program under a separate line item. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, also provided that the Secretary could, by **Federal Register** notice, waive or specify alternative requirements under specific sections of the United States Housing Act of 1937 in order to facilitate the operation of a unified Family Self-Sufficiency program. Based on this authority, HUD has unified these programs. This notice provides waivers and alternative requirements to facilitate the operation of a unified self-sufficiency program.

**DATES:** This notice is effective: December 29, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Anice Chenault, FSS Program Manager, at [Anice.S.Chenault@hud.gov](mailto:Anice.S.Chenault@hud.gov), Office of

Public Housing Investments, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4120, Washington, DC 20410, telephone number 202-402-2341 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons with hearing- or speech-impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

Approximately \$75 million in appropriations was made available for HUD's (FY) 2014 FSS program, in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, (Pub. L. 113-76, 128 Stat. 5, enacted January 17, 2014). Previously HUD administered the FSS program as two separate programs—one for Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) participants and one for Public Housing participants. Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 provided, however, that the two programs be merged into one program under a separate line item. The objective of the FSS program is to enable participating low-income families to increase their earned income and reduce their dependency on welfare assistance and rental subsidies. Under the FSS program, low-income families are provided opportunities for education, job training, and other forms of social service assistance, while living in assisted housing, so they can obtain skills necessary to achieve self-sufficiency.

**II. Applicable Rules, Statutes, Waivers, and Alternative Requirements**

To facilitate the operation of a unified self-sufficiency program, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, authorizes the Secretary to waive, or specify alternative requirements of the sections (b)(3), (b)(4), (b)(5) or (c)(1) of Section 23 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437u) (1937 Act). Under this authority, the Secretary hereby authorizes the following waivers and alternative requirements, which has the effect of modifying the FSS statute.

*1. Waiver allowing the Alternative requirement to permit families in either the public housing or Housing Choice Voucher program to count towards compliance with public housing agencies' (PHAs') mandatory FSS participation level and its reduction.*

HUD is providing an alternative requirement to Sections 23(b)(3) and (b)(4) to allow public housing agencies to operate a unified FSS program that combines the number of families under the formerly separated programs and formerly count graduating participants from either rental assistance program to

both fulfill their mandatory program size requirements and subsequently reduce the program size in accordance with Section 236(b)(4). Without such a policy, PHAs would have to continue the separate tracking of families from each program, which would be unnecessarily burdensome. Moreover, this flexibility will allow some PHAs that have had difficulty meeting program requirements to come into compliance, and enable more families to benefit from FSS. This will apply to all PHAs, including those that administer only a single FSS program now and choose to expand to serve residents from the other rental assistance program. For example, if a PHA serves voucher participants only through their FSS program and the PHA expands its FSS program to public housing residents as well, then the PHA may count both its voucher FSS and public housing FSS graduating participants in reducing its mandatory program size.

*2. Waiver allowing Alternative requirement to the timely processing of assistance.* HUD is providing an alternative requirement to Section (b)(5) to provide that public housing applicants, like HCV applicants, shall not be delayed in receipt of housing assistance due to electing not to participate in FSS. Section 23(b)(5) required no delay in admission of HCV applicants who decline to participate in FSS, but is silent about public housing admissions. Extending the existing policy to public housing applicants promotes uniformity, and also avoids the risk that some families will indicate an interest in FSS just to gain admission to assisted housing, and then not make effective use of the opportunity. It also supports the principle that participation in FSS is voluntary.

*3. Waiver to allow Alternative requirements on Conditions of Participation.* HUD is providing an alternative requirement to Section (c)(1) that provides that housing assistance may not be terminated or withheld as a consequence of failure to complete the Contract of Participation without good cause. Section 23(c)(1) allows PHAs to have a policy that HCV assistance may be withheld or terminated for those families that fail to comply with their FSS contracts without good cause, but does not authorize eviction of public housing tenants for FSS non-compliance. This alternative requirement will promote uniformity as well as the principle that participation in FSS is voluntary. Anecdotal evidence suggests that the risk of termination has dampened interest in FSS among HCV participants at agencies that have adopted the option, making it more