

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

**Part A**

*Assessing the Impact of the National Implementation of TeamSTEPPS Master  
Training Program*

**OMB CONTROL NO. 0935-0170**

**Version:** *January 30, 2015*

Agency of Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)

## Table of Contents

A. Justification.....	3
1. Circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.....	3
2. Purpose and use of information.....	5
3. Use of Improved Information Technology.....	6
4. Efforts to Identify Duplication.....	6
5. Involvement of Small Entities.....	7
6. Consequences if Information Collected Less Frequently.....	7
7. Special Circumstances.....	7
8. Consultation outside the Agency.....	7
9. Payments/Gifts to Respondents.....	7
10. Assurance of Confidentiality.....	7
11. Questions of a Sensitive Nature.....	8
12. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs.....	9
13. Estimates of Annualized Respondent Capital and Maintenance Costs.....	9
14. Estimates of Annualized Cost to the Government.....	10
15. Changes in Hour Burden.....	10
16. Time Schedule, Publication and Analysis Plans.....	10
17. Exemption for Display of Expiration Date.....	12
List of Attachments.....	12

## **A. Justification**

### ***1. Circumstances that make the collection of information necessary***

The mission of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) set out in its authorizing legislation, The Healthcare Research and Quality Act of 1999 (see Attachment A), is to enhance the quality, appropriateness, and effectiveness of health services, and access to such services, through the establishment of a broad base of scientific research and through the promotion of improvements in clinical and health systems practices, including the prevention of diseases and other health conditions. AHRQ shall promote health care quality improvement by conducting and supporting:

1. research that develops and presents scientific evidence regarding all aspects of health care; and
2. the synthesis and dissemination of available scientific evidence for use by patients, consumers, practitioners, providers, purchasers, policy makers, and educators; and
3. initiatives to advance private and public efforts to improve health care quality.

Also, AHRQ shall conduct and support research and evaluations, and support demonstration projects, with respect to (A) the delivery of health care in inner-city areas, and in rural areas (including frontier areas); and (B) health care for priority populations, which shall include (1) low-income groups, (2) minority groups, (3) women, (4) children, (5) the elderly, and (6) individuals with special health care needs, including individuals with disabilities and individuals who need chronic care or end-of-life health care.

AHRQ, in collaboration with the Department of Defense's (DoD) Tricare Management Activity (TMA), developed TeamSTEPPS® ("Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety") to provide an evidence-based suite of tools and strategies for teaching teamwork-based patient safety to health care professionals. In 2007, AHRQ and DoD coordinated the national implementation of the TeamSTEPPS Program. The main objective of this program is to improve patient safety by training a select group of stakeholders such as Quality Improvement Organization (QIO) personnel, High Reliability Organization (HRO) staff, and healthcare system staff in various teamwork, communication, and patient safety concepts, tools, and techniques. Ultimately, TeamSTEPPS will help to build a national and state-level infrastructure for supporting teamwork-based patient safety efforts in healthcare organizations l.

The National Implementation of TeamSTEPPS Master Training Program includes the training of "Master Trainers" in various health care systems capable of stimulating the utilization and adoption of TeamSTEPPS in their health care delivery systems, providing technical assistance and consultation on implementing TeamSTEPPS, and developing various channels of learning (e.g., user networks, various educational venues) for continuing support and improvement of teamwork in health care. AHRQ has already trained a corps of over 5000 participants to serve as the Master Trainer infrastructure supporting national adoption of TeamSTEPPS. An anticipated 2400 participants who are registering for the program will be studied in this assessment.

Participants in training become Master Trainers in TeamSTEPPS and are afforded the opportunity to observe the program's tools and strategies in action. In addition to developing a corps of Master Trainers, AHRQ has also developed a series of support mechanisms for this effort including a data collection Web tool, a TeamSTEPPS call support center, and a monthly consortium to address any challenges encountered implementing TeamSTEPPS.

Participants applied to the program as teams representing their organizations and were accepted as training participants after having completed an organizational readiness assessment. Due to the differences among the types of organizations participating in the program, each participant has a different potential to apply tools and concepts within and/or beyond their home organizations. For example:

- Healthcare System staff (or implementers) from hospitals, home health agencies, nursing homes, large physician practices, and other direct care organizations are more likely than other participants to implement the TeamSTEPPS materials on a daily basis and will be more likely to affect specific work processes being conducted within an organization. As a result, health care system participants are likely to have a focused and specific impact that is limited to their organization.
- QIO\HRO\Hospital Association\State Health Department participants (or facilitators) will be more likely to have both an in-depth and broad impact if they use the TeamSTEPPS materials to assist a particular organization in their patient safety activities, as well as to provide general patient safety guidance to a large number of organizations.

To clarify the differences among the participants, a logic model has been developed (see Attachment B) that highlights the roles of the different types of participants, the types of activities in which they are likely to engage post-training, and the potential outcomes that may stem from these activities. The logic model served as a guide for developing questions for a web-based questionnaire and qualitative interviews to ensure that participant and leadership feedback is captured as thoroughly and accurately as possible.

AHRQ is conducting an ongoing evaluation of the National Implementation of TeamSTEPPS Master Training Program. In 2011, AHRQ completed the first evaluation of the program, based on over 1,500 participants in the program between 2008 and 2011 (refer to OMB No. 0935-0170). The findings demonstrated the spread of AHRQ's National Implementation of TeamSTEPPS Program and the reported use of the tools and strategies across many different types of health care organizations. AHRQ seeks to continue the evaluation, by assessing the program since 2012.

The continued goals of this evaluation are to examine the extent to which training participants have been able to:

- 1) implement the TeamSTEPPS products, concepts, tools, and techniques in their home organizations and,
- 2) the extent to which participants have spread that training, knowledge, and skills to their organizations, local areas, regions, and states.

To achieve these goals the following two data collections will be implemented:

- 1) Training participant questionnaires (see Attachment C) to examine post-training activities and teamwork outcomes as a result of training from multiple perspectives. The questionnaire is directed to all Master Training participants, and will cover post-training activities, implementation experiences, facilitators and barriers to implementation encountered, and perceived outcomes as a result of these activities. Advance notice, invitations to participate, reminder e-mails, and thank you letters to respondents are included in Attachment D for the participant questionnaire.
- 2) Semi-structured interviews (see Attachment E) will be conducted with members from organizations who participated in the TeamSTEPPS Master Training Program. Information gathered from these interviews will be analyzed and used to draft a “lessons learned” document that will capture additional detail on the issues related to participants’ and organizations’ abilities to implement and disseminate TeamSTEPPS post-training. The organizations will vary in terms of type of organization (e.g., QIO or hospital associations versus healthcare systems) and region (i.e., Northeast, Midwest, Southwest, Southeast, Mid-Atlantic, West Coast). In addition, we will strive to ensure that the distribution of organizations mirrors the distribution of organizations in the Master Training population. For example, if the distribution of organizations is such that only one out of every five organizations is a QIO, we will ensure that a maximum of two organizations in the site visit sample are QIOs. The interviews will more accurately reveal the degree of training spread for the organizations included. Interviewees will be drawn from qualified individuals serving in one of two roles (i.e., implementers or facilitators). The interview protocol will be adapted for each role based on the respondent group and to some degree, for each individual, based on their training and patient safety experience. Attachment F contains the informed consent form that each participant will be required to sign prior to beginning the interview.

The National Implementation of TeamSTEPPS Program is led by AHRQ through its contractor, the Health Research and Educational Trust (HRET). This study is being conducted by HRET’s subcontractor, IMPAQ International. The work is being conducted pursuant to AHRQ’s statutory authority to conduct and support research, evaluations, and training on health care and on systems for the delivery of such care, including activities with respect to the quality, effectiveness, efficiency, appropriateness and value of healthcare services and with respect to quality measurement and improvement. 42 U.S.C. 299a(a)(1) and (2).

## ***2. Purpose and Use of Information***

The National Implementation of TeamSTEPPS Program focuses on disseminating teamwork, communication, and patient safety information, building skill sets, and ultimately fostering a national network of individuals who support and promote the adoption of TeamSTEPPS. To meet the objective of creating a national infrastructure, AHRQ first required that each organization’s application include a readiness assessment and a letter of endorsement and support from each team member’s Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or equivalent regarding the organization’s participation in the program. In addition, participants were selected, in part, on the expectation that they would later disseminate their newly acquired knowledge and skills to others

in their home organizations or in other organizations locally, regionally, or state-wide. The program involved training teams of participants, led by representatives from either Quality Improvement Organizations (QIOs) or members of individual hospitals or healthcare systems.

As a result of the time and commitment invested over the three years of program implementation, AHRQ seeks to learn the extent to which they have succeeded in spreading TeamSTEPPS and forming the requisite infrastructure to support on-going and future patient safety efforts.

The final product for this evaluation will be a report that documents the background, methodology, results (including any patterns or themes emerging from the data), limitations of the study, and recommendations for future training programs and tool development. The results of this evaluation will help AHRQ understand the extent to which participants and participating organizations have been able to employ various TeamSTEPPS tools and concepts and the barriers and facilitators they encountered. This information will help guide AHRQ in developing and refining other patient safety tools and future training programs for patient safety.

### ***3. Use of Improved Information Technology***

In order to reduce respondent burden, the training participant questionnaire will be administered via the Web. The contact lists acquired by AHRQ and DoD will be used to develop the questionnaire distribution lists. Each potential respondent will receive a minimum of four e-mail contacts to encourage participation (i.e., an advance notice of the questionnaires, an initial invitation to complete the questionnaire, and two follow-up e-mails to remind respondents to complete the questionnaire).

Using an online system for data collection rather than a paper-based questionnaire makes completing and submitting the questionnaire less time-consuming for respondents. Any skip patterns included in the questionnaire (i.e., questions that are only appropriate for a proportion of the respondents) will be automatically programmed into the Web-based form of the questionnaire, thereby eliminating any confusion during questionnaire completion. In addition, the contractors can also ensure that important items are not inadvertently skipped or ignored by setting software requirements to ensure proper completion of questionnaires based on specific respondent selections.

### ***4. Efforts to Identify Duplication***

AHRQ's interagency agreement with the DoD included conducting the training sessions and training evaluation. As part of this agreement, DoD and AHRQ have collected traditional participant feedback via end-of-course questionnaires. These efforts were used to make revisions and improve the program and are not redundant with the proposed evaluation effort. This study takes the next step and builds upon the information already collected by AHRQ and DoD by first enabling the development of a logic model that depicts the relationship between participants' patient safety roles, possible activities in which they may engage post-training, and resulting outcomes. Second, this information provides the basis by which questions to participants and their organizational leaders could be streamlined to enhance AHRQ's understanding of the linkages between roles, activities, and outcomes. For example, participant responses to previous interview questions have been leveraged to reformulate open-ended questions into closed-ended response options, further reducing the burden on the respondents. Items have been designed to

minimize redundancy with the data already collected and delve more deeply into post-training issues.

### **5. Involvement of Small Entities**

No small businesses will be involved in this study.

### **6. Consequences if Information Collected Less Frequently**

This request is for a one-time data collection effort.

### **7. Special Circumstances**

This request is consistent with the general information collection guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5(d) (2). No special circumstances apply.

### **8. Federal Register Notice and Outside Consultations**

#### **8.a. Federal Register Notice**

As required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), notice was published in the Federal Register on April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2015 for 60 days (see Attachment G). No Comments were received.

#### **8.b. Outside Consultations**

American Institutes of Research

1. Deborah Milne
2. David P. Baker
3. Margarita Hurtado
4. Laura Steighner
5. Kristin Carman

Department of Defense Office of the Secretary of Defense  
TRICARE Management Activity

1. Heidi King

University of Central Florida and Booz Allen Hamilton

1. Michael Rosen

### **9. Payments/Gifts to Respondents**

Respondents to the Web-based questionnaire will not receive any gifts or payment in exchange for their participation. Respondents to the semi-structured interviews will not receive any gifts or payment in exchange for their participation.

### **10. Assurance of Confidentiality**

Individuals and organizations will be assured of the confidentiality of their replies under Section 934(c) of the Public Health Service Act, 42 USC 299c-3(c). They will be told the purposes for which the information is collected and that, in accordance with this statute, any identifiable information about them will not be used or disclosed for any other purpose.

AHRQ's authority is likely to withstand a FOIA request of information that would identify a person or establishment.

Names and business contact information for TeamSTEPPS Master Training participants will be taken from a pre-established records system belonging to AHRQ and the DoD. This records system was developed through participating organizations' applications to the program and subsequent communications related to the training program. The list provided will include training participant's name, e-mail address, organizational affiliation, state, and job title.

This information will be used solely to recruit and contact respondents. An HRET/IMPAQ team member will contact those individuals by e-mail to inform them of the study, its purpose, and request their participation. The invitation e-mail and questionnaire responses will be stored on a secure server at IMPAQ – HRET's subcontractor, which is leading the study.

Identifiable questionnaire responses and semi-structured interview responses will be accessible only to members of the HRET/IMPAQ project team, all of whom have signed affidavits of nondisclosure. Responses will be de-identified using ID numbers corresponding to each respondent. HRET/IMPAQ project staff will be instructed that any downloaded or print versions of the questionnaire results or interview notes are only to be shared within the project team and are to be de-identified (using ID numbers instead of names and/or organizational or state affiliations). All de-identified data files will be stored on IMPAQ's secure server, which is password-protected. Only IMPAQ project staff will be able to access the data files and server.

The data files containing identifiable information will be destroyed at the end of the project. All remaining data files will include de-identified information and will be provided to AHRQ at the end of the contract.

Results will be reported in the aggregate, and no information collected by the HRET/IMPAQ team will be shared with persons outside the project. Reports on questionnaire results or semi-structured interviews will not reveal the identity of the respondents unless they provide specific, written permission authorizing its release.

The explanations regarding confidentiality provided to respondents are included in the e-mail correspondences to request their collaboration. The explanations are also included in the introductory page of the questionnaire. These statements assure respondents that the information they provide will be "treated in a confidential manner" by HRET/IMPAQ researchers and AHRQ. The text for both of these communications is shown below.

**Text for both the initial screen for the Web-based questionnaire and e-mail**

**correspondences:** *Please note that all of the information you provide will remain confidential and that all information collected via this questionnaire will be reported at the aggregate level to ensure your confidentiality.*

**11. Questions of a Sensitive Nature**

Questionnaire items and semi-structured interviews do not require respondents to provide information of a sensitive nature as defined by OMB and DHHS or to provide information such



as social security numbers or Medicare/Medicaid numbers. The introduction to the questionnaire includes aspects of informed consent such as a description of the research objectives, a discussion of the importance of their input and experiences, details concerning how the data will be used, and aspects regarding confidentiality. The introduction will be positioned at the beginning of the questionnaire. Continuation to complete the questionnaire will indicate the respondent's consent.

**12. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs**

Exhibit 1 shows the estimated annualized burden hours for the respondent's time to participate in the study. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with a maximum of 9 individuals from each of 9 participating organizations and will last about one hour each. The training participant questionnaire will be completed by approximately 10 individuals from each of about 240 organizations and is estimated to require 20 minutes to complete. The total annualized burden is estimated to be 881 hours.

Exhibit 2 shows the estimated annualized cost burden based on the respondents' time to participate in the study. The total cost burden is estimated to be \$39,240.

**Exhibit 1. Estimated annualized burden hours**

Form Name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Hours per response	Total burden hours
Semi-structured interview	9	9	60/60	81
Training participant questionnaire	2400	1	20/60	800
<b>Total</b>	2409	NA	NA	881

**Exhibit 2. Estimated annualized cost burden**

Form Name	Number of respondents	Total burden hours	Average hourly wage rate*	Total cost burden
Semi-structured interview	9	81	\$45.31	\$3,670
Training participant questionnaire	2400	800	\$45.31	\$36,248
<b>Total</b>	2409	881	NA	\$39,918

\* Based upon the mean of the average wages for all health professionals (29-0000) for the training participant questionnaire and for executives, administrators, and managers for the organizational leader questionnaire presented in the National Compensation Survey: Occupational Wages in the United States, May 2014, U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. [http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes\\_nat.htm](http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_nat.htm)

**13. Estimates of Annualized Respondent Capital and Maintenance Costs**

Capital and maintenance costs include the purchase of equipment, computers or computer software or services, or storage facilities for records, as a result of complying with this data

collection. There are no direct costs to respondents other than their time to participate in the study.

#### **14. Estimates of Total and Annualized Cost to the Government**

The total contractor cost to the government to conduct the one-time questionnaire and conduct nine site visits, as well as to analyze and present all results is estimated to be \$156,631. As shown in Exhibit 3a, this amount includes costs for collecting the data (\$108,667); analyzing the data (\$35,061), and reporting the findings (\$12,903).

##### **Exhibit 3a. Estimated Total Contractor Cost**

<b>Cost Component</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>
Data Collection Activities	\$108,667
Data Processing and Analysis	\$35,061
Publication of Results	\$12,903
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$156,631</b>

A Social Science Analyst (the Project Officer) will be responsible for project management and oversight. This will include oversight of the one-time data collection approach and review of the report of summarized results. The estimated cost to the Federal Government for these activities is provided in Exhibit 3b. The average hourly salary for the position of Social Science Analyst at the GS-15 grade level is \$76.04 per hour. The Federal hourly salary information is available on the OPM website at [http://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/pdf/2015/DCB\\_h.pdf](http://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/pdf/2015/DCB_h.pdf).

##### **Exhibit 3b. Federal Government Personnel Cost**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Federal Personnel</b>	<b>Hourly Rate</b>	<b>Estimated Hours</b>	<b>Cost</b>
Data Collection Oversight	Social Science Analyst	\$76.04	6	\$456.24
Review of Results	Social Science Analyst	\$76.04	4	\$304.16
<b>Total</b>				<b>\$760.40</b>

The estimated total **annualized cost** for this activity is **\$157,391**. This cost includes contractor costs (\$156,631) and Federal personnel costs (\$760.40).

#### **15. Changes in Hour Burden**

This is a new collection of information.

#### **16. Time Schedule, Publication and Analysis Plans**

The time schedule for the data collection, data analysis, and final report preparation is presented in Exhibit 4.

**Exhibit 4. Timeframe for data collection, analysis, and preparation of final report**

<b>Data Collection and Analysis</b>	<b>Timeframes</b>
Conduct semi-structured interviews	Immediately upon OMB approval
Administer training participant questionnaire	Immediately upon OMB approval
Analyze data	60 days from end of data collection
Prepare final report	90 days from end of data analysis

The text files for the transcripts of the semi-structured interviews will be used to construct a database in a qualitative analysis software package, such as Atlas.ti. The software will also be used for coding and analysis. A preliminary code list will be defined but it will be revised based on the actual review of the data after identifying any additional common themes. The revised coding scheme will be used to code the appropriate text fragments in each of the transcripts.

Coding will be performed by two research assistants/associates. Intercoder agreement will be tested by double coding an initial set of interviews and once 80% agreement has been reached, coding will proceed. Analysis will be based on text analysis and analysis of the results of queries using the software that will identify text fragments with particular codes of interest. A memo for each major code or topic of interest will be prepared and these will be used to draft the “lessons learned” document. The memos will contain conclusions drawn from the data and registered in a longer memo summarizing all major topics of interest. In the longer memo, we will examine differences across cases in the types of activities conducted post-training and the nature and degree of impact that TeamSTEPPS National Implementation has had, taking into account key facilitators and barriers.

IMPAQ will analyze the survey data to identify trends in usage of the TeamSTEPPS curriculum, as well as the perceived impact of the program on organizational outcomes. To that end, we propose a three-phase analysis that includes (1) ensuring the quality of the data collected, (2) conducting descriptive analyses, and (3) conducting comparisons of specific Master Trainer types and cohorts. These phases of analyses are described below.

To ensure maximum integrity of the results, we will conduct several data screening and checking procedures (Tabachnick & Fidell, 1996). Specifically, we will perform data quality checks by searching for deviant response ranges, anomalous response patterns, excessive missing data, extreme outliers, and highly skewed or irregular distributions. From these analyses, we will flag, correct, and/or eliminate faulty data or data of poor measurement quality. For example, excessive missing data is an indicator of poor data quality. We will identify respondents who fail to respond to more than 10 percent of the protocol questions on the web survey and review their pattern of responses more carefully to determine if, for example, we should eliminate the respondent’s data. Obviously any strategies that result in the elimination of data would first be discussed with AHRQ representatives and then fully documented in the final report.

For the descriptive analyses, IMPAQ will employ the following approach: (1) compute a number of descriptive statistics for each variable measured by the survey; (2) develop early warning data protocols (specific statistical analyses to indicate significant variability, low response rates, or

error in the data); (3) conduct item analyses; and (4) conduct comprehensive group and subgroup analyses.

IMPAQ will calculate frequency distributions, means, and standard deviations for each closed-ended item included in the survey and combinations of related items that focus on a particular variable or issue. In addition, we will calculate these statistics for each subgroup represented in the sample (e.g., year of training attendance) and conduct analyses to identify subgroup differences. Frequency distributions will show the percentage of people who responded to each response option for each item included in the protocol. Means and standard deviations will be used to examine the relative importance of different items and item combinations that measure specific issues associated with each survey. Finally, standard deviations will be used to examine the level of agreement among respondents regarding issues that are identified as important.

A few items included will be open-ended in nature as a means of following up on closed-ended items to obtain richer detail on unique activities being conducted post-training. We will record and compile the individual responses to the open-ended items and examine the results for any themes or patterns of interest. If appropriate, codes will be defined based on the themes identified and the open-ended responses would be coded into closed-ended categories, which would then be tabulated. Otherwise, the results will be summarized in a memo as described in the proposed analyses for structured interviews.

The data gathered from the survey will allow us to determine differences between roles that Master Trainers may fulfill. For example, we will conduct comparisons of each TeamSTEPPS tool and strategy based upon the ratings of usefulness by averaging the usefulness ratings of each tool across all participants and then conduct t-tests to assess the magnitude of any subgroup differences. In addition to conducting comparisons of tool usefulness, we will also conduct comparisons of tool usage and perceived impact, and will analyze variations in these characteristics by training participant type. We will conduct t-tests to identify the magnitude of any differences by training participant type. For example, we will analyze how useful a specific tool is for implementers as opposed to those Master Trainers whose roles are primarily to facilitate implementation or training of TeamSTEPPS.

### ***17. Exemption for Display of Expiration Date***

AHRQ does not seek this exemption.

#### ***List of Attachments:***

Attachment A: Healthcare Research and Quality Act of 1999

Attachment B: Logic Model of Post-TeamSTEPPS Master Training Patient Safety Activities and Outcomes by Participant Role

Attachment C: Training participant questionnaire

Attachment D: Advance notice, invitation, reminder notices, and thank you letters for training participant questionnaire

Attachment E: Semi-structured interview guide

Attachment F: Interview informed consent form

Attachment G: Federal Register Notice