

Supporting Statement A

Resource Management Planning (43 CFR Part 1600)

OMB Control Number 1004-XXXX

Terms of Clearance: None. This is a new control number.

General Instructions

A completed Supporting Statement A must accompany each request for approval of a collection of information. The Supporting Statement must be prepared in the format described below, and must contain the information specified below. If an item is not applicable, provide a brief explanation. When the question “Does this ICR contain surveys, censuses, or employ statistical methods?” is checked "Yes," then a Supporting Statement B must be completed. OMB reserves the right to require the submission of additional information with respect to any request for approval.

Specific Instructions

Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection.

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is requesting approval to conduct a collection of information as presented in a proposed rule titled, “Resource Management Planning,” RIN 1004-AE39. The statutory authorities for collecting the information are as follows:

- Sections 201 and 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1711 and 1712);
- The Public Rangelands Improvement Act (43 U.S.C. 1901 - 1908);
- Section 3 of the Federal Coal Leasing Amendments Act (30 U.S.C. 201(a));
- Sections 522, 601, and 714 of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (30 U.S.C. 1272, 1281, and 1304); and
- The National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 – 4370h).

The proposed collection activities would:

- Provide State Governors an opportunity to work with the BLM to resolve possible inconsistencies with State or local plans, policies, or programs; and
- Authorize protests of land use plans and plan amendments proposed by the BLM.

The Governor’s consistency review and the protest procedures are collections of information that

have been ongoing without a control number. This supporting statement is part of an information collection request that is intended to correct the erroneous omission of such a request when the planning regulations at 43 CFR part 1600 were originally promulgated. The proposed rule would revise the ongoing protest procedures by providing for public availability of protests. The proposed rule also includes provisions that would enhance the BLM's ability to prepare and implement effective land use plans.

In conjunction with the completion of this rulemaking, the BLM intends to revise its land use planning handbook. The revised handbook is not expected to add any information collection activities, but would provide guidance that may assist respondents and the BLM with respect to the collection of information.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection. Be specific. If this collection is a form or a questionnaire, every question needs to be justified.

The proposed rule would include the following information collection activities that have been ongoing without a control number.

Proposed Section 1610.3-2(b): Consistency Requirements

Proposed section 1610.3-2(b) would provide an opportunity for Governors of affected states to identify possible inconsistencies between proposed BLM land use plans and State and local plans, policies, or programs. This provision would assist the BLM in complying with the statutory requirement (at 43 U.S.C. 1712(c)(9)) to resolve, to the extent practical, inconsistencies between federal and non-federal plans.

Section 1610.3-2(b) would apply to proposed resource management plans (RMPs), proposed amendments to RMPs, and management framework plans (MFPs). Following receipt of a proposed plan or plan amendment from a BLM State Director, Governors would have a period of 60 days to submit to the Deciding Official a written document that:

- Identifies any inconsistencies with state or local plans, policies or programs; and
- Recommends remedies for the identified inconsistencies.

The proposed regulation would require the BLM State Director to notify the Governor in writing of the rejection or acceptance of the recommendations. Within 30 days of this decision, the Governor would be authorized to appeal this decision to the BLM Director. The BLM Director would accept the Governor's recommendations if the BLM Director determines that they provide a reasonable balance between the national interest and the state's interest.

Proposed Section 1610.6-2: Protests

Proposed section 1610.5-2 would provide an opportunity for any person who participated in the

planning process to protest proposed RMPs and proposed amendments to RMPs and MFPs to the Director of the BLM. This provision would assist the BLM in complying with the statutory requirement (at 43 U.S.C. 1712(f)) to enable participation in the planning process by federal, state, and local governments, and by the public. In accordance with FLPMA, protests could be submitted by:

- State and local governments;
- Individuals and households; and
- Businesses.

The following information would be required for a valid protest:

1. The protestor's name, mailing, address, telephone number or e-mail address. The BLM would need this information in order to contact the protestor.
2. The protestor's interest that may be adversely affected by the planning process. This information would help the BLM understand whether or not the protestor is eligible to submit a protest.
3. How the protestor participated in the planning process. This information would help the BLM determine whether or not the protestor is eligible to submit a protest.
4. The core plan component or components believed to be inconsistent with law, regulation, or policy. This information is necessary because the approval of a resource management plan is the final decision for the Department of the Interior. Core plan components represent planning-level management direction that will guide all future decisions within a planning area, thus it is important for the BLM to know if a core plan component is believed to be inconsistent with law, regulation, or policy.
5. A concise explanation of why the core plan component is believed to be inconsistent with law, regulation, or policy and of the associated issue or issues raised during the planning process. This information would be essential to the BLM's understanding of the protest and decision to grant or dismiss the protest.
6. Copies of all documents addressing the issue or issues that were submitted during the planning process by the protesting party or an indication of the date the issue or issues were discussed for the record. This information would help the BLM to understand the protest and to reach a decision.

Proposed section 1610.6-2(a)(4) would require the Director of BLM to make protests available to the public upon request.

The BLM Director would be required to render a decision on the protest before approval of the plan or amendment. The Director's decision would be the final decision of the Department of the Interior.

- 3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any**

consideration of using information technology to reduce burden and specifically how this collection meets GPEA requirements.

Proposed section 1610.3-2(b) requires that the Governor submit a written document identifying inconsistencies to the Deciding Official. The BLM would reduce burden on the Governor by accepting either an electronic or hard-copy format of the written document. For example, the Governor could choose to submit the document through email correspondence.

Proposed section 1610.5-2 would require that a protest be submitted to the BLM Director in writing and would provide that the protest may be filed as a hard copy or electronically. The Responsible Official would specify the filing procedures for each resource management plan, including the method the public may use to submit a protest electronically. The BLM anticipates using the ePlanning platform to allow for electronic submissions through the BLM website. The ePlanning platform is an online national register for land use planning and NEPA documents. This would reduce burden on the public by eliminating the time and cost associated with sending a hard-copy of the protest.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

The proposed information collection activities are necessary in order to provide opportunities for public involvement in the land use planning process and to resolve possible inconsistencies between State and local plans, policies, or programs and BLM resource management plans. The information collected is unique to each respondent. There is no similar information already available and no duplication.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

The proposed rule would affect those who have an interest which may be adversely affected by the approval of a resource management plan and choose to protest the proposed plan. This group could potentially include small businesses or other small entities. The rule clearly identifies the information required for complete submission of a valid protest. This is intended to minimize the burden on all protesters by preventing time spent and information gathered on an incomplete protest.

6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

The BLM would be hampered in complying fully with Section 202 of FLPMA if it did not conduct the proposed information collection activities, or if it conducted them less frequently.

Section 202(c)(9) of FLPMA (43 U.S.C. 1712(c)(9)) requires that the Secretary of the Interior “assist in resolving, to the extent practical, inconsistencies between Federal and non-Federal Government plans.” This responsibility is delegated to the BLM Director and accomplished, in part, through the “Governor’s Consistency Review” process described in proposed section 1610.3-2(b). The collection of information is necessary for this process and for compliance with section 202(c)(9) of FLPMA.

Section 202(f) of FLPMA (43 U.S.C. 1712(f)) requires that the Secretary of the Interior “allow an opportunity for public involvement and by regulation... establish procedures... to give Federal, State, and local governments and the public, adequate notice and opportunity to comment upon and participate in the formulation of plans and programs relating to the management of public lands.” The proposed protest procedures would provide the public an opportunity to seek administrative review of proposed land use plan decisions with respect to matters raised initially in comments submitted previously in the planning process. These procedures would provide the Director of the BLM with an opportunity to reconsider decisions made by others within the BLM and make timely corrections if necessary.

Without the collection of this information, the BLM would not be able to provide an opportunity to seek reasonable solutions to conflicting views of plan components before a responsible official approves a plan, plan amendment, or plan revision. If the information is not collected, the Bureau could not accept and consider objections, and would be in violation of FLPMA.

- 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:**
- * requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;**
 - * requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;**
 - * requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;**
 - * requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records, for more than three years;**
 - * in connection with a statistical survey that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;**
 - * requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;**
 - * that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or**
 - * requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information, unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.**

Proposed section 1610.6-2(a)(3)(v) would require protestors to include a copy of all documents

addressing the issue or issues that were submitted during the planning process by the protesting party or an indication of the date the issue or issues were discussed for the record. This could require the protestor to retain records of the documents or the approximate date the issue was discussed for more than three years, depending on the length of the planning process and the step in the process when the issue was raised.

- 8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and in response to the PRA statement associated with the collection over the past three years, and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.**

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every three years — even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

The preamble to the proposed rule solicits public comments on the information collection.

- 9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.**

The BLM provides no payments or gifts to the respondents.

- 10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.**

The BLM provides the respondents no assurance of confidentiality in its proposed provisions requiring the BLM to make information available to the public.

One of these proposed provisions is section 1610.3-2(b)(4)(ii), in which the BLM would be required to make the BLM Director's written decision to accept or reject the Governor's recommendations available to the public. The other proposed provision is section 1610.6-2(a)(4), in which the BLM would be required to make protests available to the public, upon request.

The BLM recognizes its obligation to protect personally identifiable information that is subject to the Privacy Act, and intends to publish a System of Records Notice concurrently with the final

rule.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

Respondents would not be required to answer questions of a sensitive nature.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

- * Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.**
- * If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens.**
- * Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here.**

Tables 12-1 and 12-2 show the estimated per-hour cost for government respondents for proposed consistency and protest provisions. The mean hourly wages for Tables 12-1 and 12-2 were determined using national Bureau of Labor Statistics data at:
http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/naics4_999200.htm.

The benefits multiplier of 1.5 is implied by information at
<http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm>.

**Table 12-1
Consistency Requirements (43 CFR 1610.3-2(b))
Estimated Hourly Cost**

A. Position and Occupational Code	B. Mean Hourly Wage	C. Hourly Rate with Benefits (Column B x 1.5)	D. Percent of Collection Time Completed by Each Occupation	E. Weighted Average Hourly Cost (Column C x Column D)
Lawyers 23-1011	\$41.01	\$61.52	70%	\$43.06
Legal Secretaries 43-6012	\$20.24	\$30.36	30%	\$9.11
Totals			100%	\$52.17

Table 12-2
Protests (43 CFR 1610.6-2)
Estimated Hourly Cost for Governments

A. Position and Occupational Code	B. Mean Hourly Wage	C. Hourly Rate with Benefits (Column B x 1.5)	D. Percent of Collection Time Completed by Each Occupation	E. Weighted Average Hourly Cost (Column C x Column D)
Conservation Scientists 19-1031	\$26.52	\$39.78	10%	\$3.98
Lawyers 23-1011	\$41.01	\$61.52	80%	\$49.22
Legal Secretaries 43-6012	\$20.24	\$30.36	10%	\$3.04
Totals			100%	\$56.24

Table 12-3 shows the estimated per-hour cost for businesses and associations, and Table 12-4 shows the estimated per-hour cost for individuals and households. The mean hourly wages for Tables 12-3 and 12-4 were determined using national Bureau of Labor Statistics data at http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_nat.htm.

The benefits multiplier of 1.4 is supported by information at <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm>.

Table 12-3
Protests (43 CFR 1610.6-2)
Estimated Hourly Cost for Businesses and Associations

A. Position and Occupational Code	B. Mean Hourly Wage	C. Hourly Rate with Benefits (Column B x 1.4)	D. Percent of Collection Time Completed by Each Occupation	E. Weighted Average Hourly Cost (Column C x Column D)
Conservation Scientists 19-1031	\$30.97	\$43.36	10%	\$4.34
Lawyers 23-1011	\$64.17	\$89.84	70%	\$62.89
Legal Secretaries 43-6012	\$21.96	\$30.74	10%	\$3.07
Life Science Teachers, Post-Secondary (Professors) 25-1040	\$41.71 ¹	58.39	10%	\$5.84
Totals			100%	\$76.14

¹Estimated from annual salary assumed over 2,080 hours

Table 12-3
Protests (43 CFR 1610.6-2)
Estimated Hourly Cost for Individuals and Households

A. Position and Occupational Code	B. Mean Hourly Wage	C. Hourly Rate with Benefits (Column B x 1.4)	D. Percent of Collection Time Completed by Each Occupation	E. Average Hourly Cost (Column C x Column D)
All Occupations 00-0000	\$22.71	\$31.79	100%	\$31.79

Tables 12-4 through 12-7, below, show our estimates of the annual hour and hour-related cost burdens for each information collection activity. The estimated hourly wage was calculated as shown in Tables 12-1, 12-2, 12-3, and 12-4.

Table 12-4
Consistency Requirements (43 CFR 1610.3-2(b))
Estimates of Annual Hours

A. Type of Response	B. Number of Responses	C. Hours Per Response	D. Total Hours (Column B x Column C)	E. Dollar Equivalent (Column D x \$52.17)
Consistency Requirements (43 CFR 1610.3-2(b))	27	15	405	\$21,129

Table 12-5
Protests (43 CFR 1610.6-2)
Estimates of Annual Hours for Governments

A. Type of Response	B. Number of Responses	C. Hours Per Response	D. Total Hours (Column B x Column C)	E. Dollar Equivalent (Column D x \$56.24)
Protests / Governments (43 CFR 1610.6-2)	16	15	240	\$13,498

Table 12-6
Protests (43 CFR 1610.6-2)
Estimates of Annual Hours for Businesses and Associations

A. Type of Response	B. Number of Responses	C. Hours Per Response	D. Total Hours (Column B x Column C)	E. Dollar Equivalent (Column D x \$76.14)
Protests / Businesses and Associations (43 CFR 1610.6-2)	56	15	840	\$63.958

Table 12-7
Protests (43 CFR 1610.6-2)
Estimates of Annual Hours for Individuals and Households

A. Type of Response	B. Number of Responses	C. Hours Per Response	D. Total Hours (Column B x Column C)	E. Dollar Equivalent (Column D x \$31.79)
Protests / Individuals and Households (43 CFR 1610.6-2)	32	15	480	\$15.259

Table 12-8 shows the total estimated hour and cost burdens for this collection of information, based on the data shown in Tables 12-1 through 12-7.

**Table 12-8
Estimates of Total Annual Hour and Cost Burdens**

A. Type of Response	B. Number of Responses	D. Total Hours	E. Dollar Equivalent (Column D x Applicable Hourly Cost)
Consistency Requirements (43 CFR 1610.3-2(b))	27	405	\$21,129
Protests / Governments (43 CFR 1610.6-2)	16	240	\$13,498
Protests / Businesses and Associations (43 CFR 1610.6-2)	56	840	\$63,958
Protests / Individuals and Households (43 CFR 1610.6-2)	32	480	\$15,259
Totals	131	1,965	\$113,844

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual non-hour cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden already reflected in item 12.)

- * **The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life) and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information (including filing fees paid for form processing). Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.**
- * **If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.**

- * **Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.**

The proposed rule would not impose non-hour burdens. No filing fees are associated with the proposed rule. Respondents would incur no annual capital or start-up costs to prepare for or respond to the proposed information collection activities. Respondents would not need to purchase any computer software or hardware to comply with this information collection.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

The Governors' consistency review begins when the BLM provides the proposed resource management plan and final EIS to the Governor(s) of any State(s) that the plan would affect. During the next sixty days, the Governor may identify any inconsistencies between State and local plans and the proposed resource management plan. BLM personnel work with the Governor to resolve any identified inconsistencies. This process ensures that BLM has satisfied the FLPMA section 202(a)(9) requirement that the BLM keep apprised of State, local, and tribal land use plans and assists in resolving, to the extent practical and consistent with Federal law, inconsistencies between Federal and non-Federal government plans.

Concurrently with the Governor's consistency review, the BLM provides a thirty-day period during which protests of the proposed plan may be filed by members of the public, i.e.:

- Governments;
- Businesses and associations; and
- Individuals and households.

Protests may be filed only by those with an interest that may be adversely affected by the approval of the proposed resource management plan and also participated in the planning process. The BLM Director consults with expert staff and then issues a decision on the protest. That decision serves as the final decision of the Department of the Interior (DOI), and is not subject to an administrative appeal.

The BLM estimates that these information collection activities will result in 1,518 hours of Federal Government time and a dollar equivalent of \$74,254.

Tables 14-1 and 14-2 show the BLM's estimates of the hourly cost burdens to the Federal government in processing information submitted in accordance with the proposed rule. The hourly cost to the Federal Government is based on U.S. Office of Personnel Management data at

https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/pdf/2016/GS_h.pdf or, for the Executive Schedule, <https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/pdf/2016/EX.pdf>. The benefits multiplier of 1.5 is implied by information at <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm>.

**Table 14-1
Estimated Hourly Federal Wage Cost for Consistency Requirements (43 CFR 1610.3-2(b))**

A. Position	B. Pay Grade	C. Hourly Pay Rate	D. Hourly Rate with Benefits (Column C x 1.5)	E. Percent of the Information Collection Completed by Each Occupation	F. Weighted Average Cost Per Hour (Column D x Column E)
Administrative Assistant	GS-9, Step 1	\$20.52	\$30.78	5%	\$1.54
Planner	GS-11, Step 1	\$24.83	\$37.25	20%	\$7.45
State Planning and Environmental Coordinator	GS-13, Step 1	\$35.38	\$53.07	65%	\$34.50
State Director	Executive Schedule, Level III	\$81.92 (Annual pay / 2080 hours per year, i.e., \$170,400 / 2080)	\$122.88	10%	\$12.29
Totals				100%	\$55.78

Table 14-2
Estimated Hourly Federal Wage Cost for Protests (43 CFR 1610.6-2)

A. Position	B. Pay Grade	C. Hourly Pay Rate	D. Hourly Rate with Benefits (Column C x 1.5)	E. Percent of the Information Collection Completed by Each Occupation	F. Weighted Average Cost Per Hour (Column D x Column E)
Writer/Editor	GS-9, Step 1	\$20.52	\$30.78	5%	\$1.54
Protest Expeditor	GS-11, Step 1	\$24.83	\$37.25	15%	\$5.59
Planning Analyst	GS-12, Step 1	\$29.76	\$44.64	35%	\$15.62
Planning Analyst	GS-13, Step 1	\$35.38	\$53.07	35%	\$18.57
State Planning and Environmental Coordinator	GS-13, Step 1	\$35.38	\$53.07	8%	\$4.25
Planning and NEPA Branch Chief	GS-14, Step 1	\$41.81	\$62.72	1%	\$0.63
Assistant Director	Executive Schedule, Level III	\$81.92 (Annual pay, i.e., \$170,400 / 2080 hours per year)	\$122.88	1%	\$1.23
Totals				100%	\$47.43

Tables 14-3 and 14-4 show the BLM's estimate of the annual cost burdens to the Federal government in collecting and processing information submitted in accordance with the proposed rule.

Table 14-3
Estimated Annual Cost to the Federal Government for Consistency Requirements

A. Type of Response	B. Number of Responses	C. Hours per Response	D. Total Hours (Column B x Column C)	E. Dollar Equivalent (Column D x \$55.78)
Consistency Requirements (43 CFR 1610.3-2(b))	27	10	270	\$15,061

**Table 14-4
Estimated Annual Cost to the Federal Government for Protests**

A. Type of Response	B. Number of Responses	C. Hours per Response	D. Total Hours (Column B x Column C)	E. Dollar Equivalent (Column D x \$47.43)
Protests / Governments (43 CFR <u>1610.6-2</u>)	16	12	192	\$9,107
Protests / Businesses and Associations (43 CFR <u>1610.6-2</u>)	56	12	672	\$31,873
Protests / Individuals and Households (43 CFR <u>1610.6-2</u>)	32	12	384	\$18,213
Totals	104		1,248	\$59,193

**Table 14-5
Estimated Total Annual Cost to the Federal Government**

A. Type of Response	B. Number of Responses	C. Total Hours	E. Dollar Equivalent (Column C x Applicable Hourly Cost)
Consistency Requirements (43 CFR 1610.3-2(b))	27	270	\$15,061
Protests (43 CFR 1610.6-2)	104	1,248	\$59,193
Totals	131	1,518	\$74,254

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments in hour or cost burden.

The new information collection activities in the proposed rule are program changes. With the exception of the proposed provision that would require protests to be made publicly available, they are ongoing. They are also necessary in order to comply with FLPMA, The BLM is submitting this information request in order to obtain a new control number and correct the omission of a control number from the planning regulations as originally promulgated.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

The BLM would not publish the results of the information collected.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

Since these information collection activities are non-forms, then the BLM would not need to display the expiration date of the OMB approval. However, the OMB control number will be displayed in the regulatory text of the final rule.

18. Explain each exception to the topics of the certification statement identified in "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions."

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.