

Comment	USCIS Response
<p>Please move Part 5 information about parents to the first or second page. This needs to be addressed towards the beginning of the application since the applicant may not even be using the correct form and figuring this out on page 1 or 2 is much better than when the application has been 25% complete.</p>	<p>Thank you for the comment. USCIS is incorporating the language at the top of the form for clarification.</p>
<p>Please use the blank space on page 7 to add more trip information/chart info. Since the space is there it should be used so as to not create unnecessary additions.</p>	<p>Form has been reformatted, spacing is based on the need to keep certain data elements together. Applicant may provide additional information at the end of the form.</p>
<p>Please create a button that can be clicked on to add additional children, similar to the old form. 4 children spots is not enough.</p>	<p>Applicant may provide additional information at the end of the form.</p>
<p>Part 11 #46 I believe that all selective service numbers contain a dash. it would be great for dash to be a part of the box</p>	<p>Data elements are formatted to allow for information to be properly taken into system. No changes made based on this comment.</p>
<p>It's possible that N-400 applicant doesn't have an A number. It would be much clearer to have a checkbox saying that the applicant doesn't have a number or add an instruction what should that applicant do.</p>	<p>Generally, most applicants do have an A-number which is required to review the immigration record. Additional guidance is available in the instructions and Naturalization Guide.</p>
<p>Item 7 "Date You Became a Permanent Resident" should have an annotation "(if applicable)". For applicants that are not LPRs. The instructions state "USCIS may reject your application if you do not provide the date you became a Permanent Resident". This might be confusing to applicants that are applying on basis of qualifying military service and should be reworded to include the possibility that the applicant is not an LPR.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. Adding "If applicable" may confusing other applicants and may lead to rejection of applications. Information regarding members of the military is included within the Instructions and the Guide to Naturalization.</p>

<p>In Part 11: Item 7 "A. Have you ever not filed a Federal, State, or local tax return since you became a Permanent Resident?" and "B. If "Yes," did you consider yourself to be a "non-U.S. resident"? Is confusing to applicants that are not LPRs. This questions should allow for a situation when the applicant is not an LPR (for example an non immigrant engaged in employment) and still has to file a tax return as an non resident.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. USCIS will consider adding additional guidance for this question in a future release of the N-400 and other forms. Additional Information included within the Guide to Naturalization.</p>
<p>In Part 11: Item 8 "Have you called yourself a "non-U.S. resident" on a Federal, State, or local tax return since you became a Permanent Resident?" is confusing to applicants that are not LPRs. It should be annotated that this question is only applicable to LPRs.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. USCIS will consider adding additional guidance for this question in a future release of the N-400 and other forms. Additional Information included within the Guide to Naturalization.</p>

<p>THIS FORM SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED OR RENEWED. AMERICA IS OVERWHELMED WITH ILLEGAL IMMIGRANT SNEAKS AND OTHERS WHO UNLAWFULLY COME HERE. IN NO WAY SHOULD ANY OF THESE LAWBREAKERS BE CONSIDERED FOR CITIZENSHIP. IT IS OBVIOUS THEY COME HERE TO ENRICH THEMSELVES AND TO RIP OFF AMERICAN CITIZENS. LOOK AT THE LADY MARRYING TEN ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS BECAUSE SHE WANTED THE MONEY FROM THE MARRIAGE TO MAKE THEM LEGAL. ALL OF THOSE HUMAN SLIME SHOULD BE JAILED, FINED AND THEN PROMPTLY DEPORTED. I SEE NO REASON WHY THOSE WHO SNEAK IN HERE ARE NOT FINED \$100,000 FOR THE COSTS OF DEPORTING THEM. THAT SHOULD BE ON THEIR RECORD SO THAT THEY NEVER SNEAK IN HERE AGAIN BECAUSE THE GOVT WILL GET AFTER THEM FOR THESE COSTS. I SEE NO REASON WHY AMERICAN CITIZENS WHO SET UP WELFARE PROGRAMS FOR THEIR OWN CITIZENS HAVE TO HAVE THOSE PROGRAMS RAIDED BY ILLEGAL IMMIGRANT LAWBREAKERS WHO FILE FOR FREE MEDICAL CARE, FREE SCHOOLING AND FREE EVERYTHING, WHILE AMERICAN KIDS AND FAMILIES GO DOWN THE DRAIN AND GET NO HELP AT ALL. THE PROGRAMS ARE BEING OVEREXTENDED BY THESE FOREIGN HUMAN SLIME WHO ARE OUT TO ENRICH THEMSELVES. SEND THEM BACK TO THEIR OWN COUNTRY. WE DON'T WANT THEM HERE. WE DON'T WANT THE OPEN ENROLLMENT THAT HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR THESE PROGRAMS. WE DON'T WANT THE FAT BILLS FOR THE US STATE DEPT AND USCIS FOR SERVICES FOR THESE ILLEGAL SLIME IMMIGRANT LAWBREAKERS. WE WANT AMERICA FOR AMERICAN CITIZENS. THAT IS ENOUGH. LET THEM FIND ANOTHER COUNTRY TO INVADE. LET THEM INVADE BRAZIL OR SOMEPLACE ELSE. NOT AMERICA.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. This does not request action on the form for which comment was requested, and no action is taken.</p>
<p>A comment was received that discussed the following main point, that is copied from the letter: "We recommend that USCIS amend the "Father" and Mother" language in Part 5 of Form N-400..."</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment. USCIS is reviewing this type of change to its forms. USCIS will be considering this modification to a future release of the N-400 and other forms.</p>
<p>For value of time calculations, average hourly wages are often used to measure opportunity cost both during and after work hours. Mean wages in the United States are \$14.62 per hour based on data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics¹. If this is consistent with respondent wages, the expected time cost of the form for respondents alone is \$95,272,721.</p>	<p>The wage rate per the 2014 Bureau of Labor Statistics is listed in Question 12 of the Supporting Statement as is the associated total cost.</p>
<p>A question raised the calculation of the costs to respondents in regards to attorney fees.</p>	<p>USCIS has included that cost as part of overall total listed. The total has been increased.</p>
<p>A question raised the calculation of the costs to respondents in regards to interpreter fees.</p>	<p>USCIS has included that cost as part of overall total listed. The total has been increased.</p>

<p>A question raised the calculation of the time burden for the collection of information.</p>	<p>Based on the increase in form length due primarily to the addition of attestation and certification language, plus the inclusion of time burden for the interview and the use of the Form M-476 to assist in completing the form, USCIS has increased the time estimate as listed in Question 12 of the Supporting Statement.</p>
<p>A question raised the inability of respondents to file electronically</p>	<p>USCIS is working on making the N-400 available for electronic filing.</p>
<p>A comment raised the increased length of the form and its instructions</p>	<p>The length increase is due to the addition of required attestation language and also due to formatting.</p>
<p>A comment was received regarding the addition of the attestation and certification language that is being added to all USCIS forms</p>	<p>USCIS has previously responded to these comments and has separately included the response to those comments</p>