

NEH Panelist Survey

Introduction to this Survey: Traditionally, the NEH has done the bulk of its peer review panels in-person. That is, panelists travel to Washington and participate in a face-to-face meeting. Recently, we have begun to experiment with remote panels, including some conducted via telephone conference call and some via a video-conferencing system.

As a panelist who has served on both types of panels (in-person and remote) we are keen to get your frank opinions about the strengths and weaknesses of each and understand how we can improve the panelist experience.

To that end, we would be grateful if you would respond to the following questions, which we expect to take about fifteen minutes.

Thank you!

OMB #: 3136-0140, Exp: 12/31/2018

Public Burden Statement

The Office of Management and Budget requires federal agencies to supply information on the time needed to complete forms and also to invite comments on the paperwork burden. NEH estimates that the average time to complete this survey is fifteen minutes per response. This estimate includes time for reviewing instructions, gathering the information needed, and completing and reviewing the survey.

Please send any comments regarding the estimated completion time or any other aspect of this survey, including suggestions for reducing the completion time, to Brett Bobley at bbobley@neh.gov, or to National Endowment for the Humanities, Attn: Office of Digital Humanities 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20506. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB number.

1. Your remote panel was of what type?	
	\$
2. Prior to the panel discussion, what appeals there were any negatives, what were they?	d to you about participating in an in-person panel in DC?
	d to you about participating in an in-person panel in DC?

	ny negatives, what w					
4. Did the panel formathan the other?	it (in person vs. remo	te) signal to yo	ou that one re	view was mor	e important to the	NEI
•						
If Yes, please explain.						
5. Did you prepare dif	ferently for an in-pers	on panel than	for a remote	panel?		
\$						
If Yes, please explain.						

In-Person Panel t t	Remote Panel
\$	•
	•
•	•
•	•
•	•
\$	\$
e in-person discussion? What, if ar	nything, hindered the in-person
e remote discussion? What, if anyt	hing, hindered the remote discussion
	ein-person discussion? What, if a

* 10. One goal of a panel, in addition to adjudicating apwork in the humanities and at the NEH. For example, about the grant process or help panelists and NEH steither of the panel methods (in person vs. remote) be	we hope that a panel may help a junior scholar learn aff refresh their knowledge of humanities fields. Do
11. Do you have any suggestions for how NEH could	improve either remote or in person panels?