SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSIONS

Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.
 Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection.
 Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

Currently, a large percentage or American's workers are covered by participant-directed 401(k)-type plans. Workers in these plans are responsible for making their own investment decisions; therefore, they need information about the individual and comparable performance of the designated investment alternatives available to them under their employers' plans furnished in a format useful to workers, particularly information on investment choices including associated fees and expenses.

The Department became concerned that participants and beneficiaries might not have adequate access to or might not be considering information critical to making informed decisions about the management of their retirement accounts. To address this issue, the Department published a final regulation under ERISA section 404(a), with conforming amendments to the regulations under ERISA section 404(c)¹, that requires plan fiduciaries to disclose plan- and investment-related fee and expense information to participants and beneficiaries in all participant directed individual account plans (e.g., 401(k)-type plans) for plan years that began on or after January 1, 2010.²

The final rule contains the following information collections, which are third party disclosures from plan fiduciaries to participants and beneficiaries in participant-directed individual account plans:

Plan-related Information—29 CFR 2550.404a-5(c). The final rule requires three sub-categories of Plan-related information to be provided to participants and beneficiaries. The first sub-category is General Plan Information, which includes how participants may give investment instructions or exercise proxy voting or tendering rights, restrictions on transferring account assets among investment alternatives, and identification of the plan's designated investment alternatives and designated investment managers. (29 CFR 2550.404a-5(c)(1)). This information must be provided by the time a participant becomes eligible to participate in the plan, and afterwards at least annually. Material changes to this information must

¹ Under ERISA §404(c) fiduciaries of pension plans that allow participants to exercise control of their individual accounts are not responsible for losses resulting from a participant's exercise of such control. In 1992, DOL issued a regulation describing requirements that plans must meet in order for this section to apply. Among other things, this regulation details the disclosures that §404(c) plans must make to participants in order to afford them a reasonable opportunity to control their accounts. However, the fiduciary relief provided by §404(c) is elective and about 40% of participant directed plans chose not to comply with §404(c).

² 29 CFR 2550.404a-5.

be disclosed at least 30 days but no more than 90 days before the effective date of the change except for unforeseen events or circumstances beyond the plan administrator's control. Plans may make these disclosures in the summary plan description (SPD).

The second sub-category of Plan-related information is Administrative Expense Information, which refers to explanations of any fees and expenses for general plan administrative services (e.g., legal, accounting, recordkeeping) charged to individual accounts and the basis for allocating such charges among the accounts (e.g., pro-rata, per capita). (29 CFR 2550.404a-5(c)(2)). This information must be provided by the time a participant becomes eligible to participate in the plan, and afterwards at least annually. At last quarterly, plans must furnish statements of the aggregate dollar amount charged to each participant's account for these expenses during the previous quarter. Plans may make the initial and annual disclosures in the summary plan description (SPD) and the quarterly information may be included in the plan's quarterly benefit statements.

The third sub-category of Plan-related information is Individual Expense Information, which describes expenses assessed against accounts based on the actions taken by individual participants or beneficiaries. This would include charges for processing participant loans and qualified domestic relations orders. (29 CFR 2550.404a-5(c)(3)). Information describing these charges must be furnished before or upon a participant's eligibility and annually thereafter. Plans must provide quarterly statements identifying and showing the dollar amounts of each expense actually charged to an account. Plans may make the initial and annual disclosures in the summary plan description (SPD) and the quarterly information may be included in the plan's quarterly benefit statements.

Investment-related Information—29 CFR 2550.404a-5(d). The rule also requires plan administrators to disclose three sub-categories of investment-related information to participants and beneficiaries on or before their date of eligibility, which relates to the plans designated investment alternatives.³ The first subcategory of information is information required to be provided automatically. (29 CFR 2550.404a-5(d)(1)). For each designated investment alternative, the plan must disclose specified identifying information, past performance data, comparable benchmark returns, fee and expense information, and an Internet website address that is sufficiently specific to lead participants and beneficiaries to specified supplemental information for each investment alternative.

The latest information available to the plan must be furnished annually. Material changes to this information must be disclosed at least 30 days but no more than 90 days before the effective date of the change except for unforeseen events or circumstances beyond the plan administrator's control.

³ The requirement to disclose the information on or before the date of plan eligibility may be satisfied by furnishing the most recent annual disclosure (and any material modifications) furnished to participants and beneficiaries.

Investment-related information must be furnished in a chart or similar format designed to help participants compare the plan's investment alternatives across each category of information. (29 CFR 2550.404a-5(d)(2)). To facilitate compliance, the rule includes a model chart that may be used by plan fiduciaries to satisfy this requirement.

The second sub-category of investment-related information is Post-Investment Information. Following a participant's investment in an alternative, the plan administrator must provide any materials it receives regarding voting, tender or similar rights in the alternative ("pass-through materials") to the extent such rights are passed through to the participant or beneficiary. (29 CFR 2550.404a-5(d)(3)).

The third sub-category of investment-related information is Information to be provided upon Request. (29 CFR 2550.404a-5(d)(4)). Participants may request the plan to provide prospectuses, financial reports, as well as statements of valuation and a list of assets held by an investment alternative.

The rule describes the timeframes and acceptable format for providing the disclosures.

2014 Direct Final Rule

On July 30, 2012, the Department's Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) issued Field Assistance Bulletin 2012-02R (FAB 2012-02R) providing guidance on thirty-eight frequently asked questions. Q&A 35 clarified that, for most plans, including calendar year plans, the first initial disclosures under the new regulation were required no later than August 30, 2012. FAB 2012-02R did not, however, specifically address the deadline for subsequent annual disclosures.⁴

In Field Assistance Bulletin 2013-02, issued July 22, 2013, the Department made clear that that the regulation requires annual disclosures to be made no more than one year exactly (*e.g.*, 365 days) after the prior annual disclosures. Specifically, FAB 2013-02, in relevant part, states "[f]or example, a plan administrator that furnished the first required chart on August 25, 2012, must furnish the next comparative chart no later than August 25, 2013." This interpretation was intended to prevent inconsistencies, delays, and possible manipulation of the timing of annual disclosures. It also was responsive to the views expressed by some plan administrators, which contrary to EBSA's intent, interpreted "at least once in any 12-month period" to allow the furnishing of the annual disclosures, for example, on January 1 of one year and December 31 of the following year, thus allowing for approximately 24 months in between disclosures. At the same time, however, EBSA was concerned that the requirement that disclosures be made no more than one year exactly from the prior annual disclosures (as

 $^{^4}$ FAB 2012-02R supersedes FAB 2012-02 issued on May 7, 2012. Changes in the superseding bulletin did not affect Question 35.

interpreted in FAB 2013-02) might impose undue administrative burdens on plans. Consequently, FAB 2013-02 solicited public comments on whether EBSA should amend the regulation to provide plan administrators with more flexibility as to when they must furnish the annual disclosures. For example, instead of a permanently fixed annual deadline set at one year exactly from the last annual disclosure, EBSA requested comments on whether the deadline should have some degree of elasticity, such as a 30-day or 45-day window from the one-year anniversary of the last annual disclosure.

Based on comments received in response to the FABs, on March 19, 2015, the Department published a direct final in the Federal Register ((80 FR 14301) that replaces the definition contained in paragraph (h)(1) of the current regulation with a new definition that provides a buffer requested by the commenters. The current regulatory language states that the term *at least annually thereafter* "means at least once in any 12-month period, without regard to whether the plan operates on a calendar or fiscal year basis." The direct final rule replaces "12-month period" with "14-month period." Thus, the definition, as amended by this rulemaking, states that the term *at least annually thereafter* "means at least once in any 14-month period, without regard to whether the plan operates on a calendar year or fiscal year basis." It is the Department's view that this definition achieves the correct balance by ensuring that participants and beneficiaries will receive annual disclosures on a consistent and regular basis, and without unwarranted delays inbetween disclosures, while at the same time offering plan administrators some flexibility.

As part of the Direct Final rule, the Department submitted an ICR revision under the emergency PRA clearance procedures contained in 5 CFR 1320.13. OMB approved the ICR revision on May 5, 2015 through November 30, 2015. The Department is now requesting a three-year extension of OMB's approval of the ICR.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The information collections under this ICR are not for the use of the Department or any other federal agency; they are mandated third-party disclosures. The information will be used by participant and beneficiaries in ERISA-covered

⁵ FAB 2013-02 also provided a one-time "re-set" opportunity under which EBSA, as an enforcement matter, would treat a plan administrator as satisfying the "at least annually thereafter" requirement of the regulation if the administrator furnished certain annual disclosures no later than 18 months from the prior annual disclosures. This temporary relief was granted to plan administrators so that the annual deadline for furnishing comparative charts and other annual disclosures under the regulation could be aligned with the furnishing of other participant notices and disclosures. FAB 2013-02 is not affected by the direct final regulation. Thus, to the extent it is otherwise available, an administrator does not lose the re-set relief in FAB 2013-02 for the second annual disclosure (described as the "2014 comparative chart" in FAB 2013-02) as a result of the direct final regulation.

participant directed individual account plans to make informed decisions regarding the investment of assets held in their individual accounts. The Department will not collect the information required to be disclosed by the proposal.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration for using information technology to reduce burden.

The Department's separate regulation at 29 CFR 2520.104b-1(c) permits plan administrators to use electronic media to make disclosures required under Title I of ERISA, provided certain conditions are met. Plan administrators may rely on that rule to use electronic communications methods for any required disclosures, including the information collection requirements of this ICR. Moreover, in response to comments regarding the proposed regulation, the Department amended the final rule to provide a more flexible electronic disclosure standard by allowing plan administrators to satisfy the notice requirement by relying on the Department electronic media disclosure rule or the guidance issued by the Department of the Treasury and Internal Revenue Service at 26 CFR § 1.401(a)-21 relating to the use of electronic media.

In estimating the burden of this ICR, the Department has assumed, as further described below, that 38 percent of plans will make the required information disclosures through electronic means. Where any of the requisite disclosures cannot be prepared in electronic form, they will have to be distributed as hard copies. Moreover, plan fiduciaries may have to supply paper copies of the information to participants whom they cannot reach electronically or to those who do not affirmatively consent to receiving documents electronically.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

The information collections associated with the rule do not duplicate information available from any other source. In principal part, this information collection merely insures that existing relevant information is furnished appropriately to plan participants and beneficiaries. In fashioning the regulation, the Department took account of other similar federal and state requirements in order to reduce or eliminate duplication of effort.

The Department consulted with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) to avoid including duplicative, overlapping, or conflicting requirements in the proposal. In general, the rule follows the SEC's disclosure regime for most

investments. The Department knows of no other relevant federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with the proposed regulations.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities describe any methods used to minimize burden.

The regulation does not provide special reduced requirements for small plans or small employers, because the information collections are designed specifically to protect the rights of participants and beneficiaries covered by participant-directed individual account plans. The Department believes that the information collections contained in the rule are as important to participants and beneficiaries in small plans (or associated with small employers) as they are to participants and beneficiaries in large plans (or associated with large employers), because participants and beneficiaries in small plans need the same amount and quality of information regarding their investments and investment alternatives as participants and beneficiaries in large plans in order to make informed decisions about the management of the retirement assets in their accounts.

Small business owners who offer their employees pension plans with participant-directed individual accounts may not have the resources to analyze plan fees themselves or to hire an analyst for that purpose. However, in the likely case that small business owners use service providers for plan administration purposes, some of the costs of complying with the new requirements will be borne by the service provider who might be able to distribute them to a large number of clients. While the rule could conceivably discourage some employers from offering plans, the Department notes that the rule builds on existent disclosure requirements for section 404(c) plans.

While the Department did not adopt a delayed effective date for small plans as requested by a commenter on the proposal, it did adopt a delayed applicability date for the rule (plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2010), which should provide small and large plans with sufficient time to develop systems necessary for compliance.

6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

Conducting these information collections less frequently or not at all would deprive participants and beneficiaries in participant-directed individual account plans of easy access to information needed to make investment decisions that the participant or beneficiary believes will maximize the value of retirement savings. Moreover, in the aggregate, participants and beneficiaries would spend a considerable amount of time searching for this information.

The disclosures help a large number of plan participants by displaying investment-related information in a format that facilitates comparison of investment alternatives. This simplified format the regulations and FABs provide for make it easier and less time consuming for participants to find and compare information they need to effectively manage their retirement accounts. The Department believes that these disclosures benefit plan participants and beneficiaries directly by helping them to pick the lowest cost comparable investment alternatives offered under their plans. Wiser selections, in turn, increase accounts' investment returns and strengthening retirement savings. As participants and beneficiaries become more sophisticated investors, their behavior will create more competition among the providers of the investment alternatives, which could drive down fund fees. In addition, plan fiduciaries may use the increased disclosures to scrutinize fees in order to select less expensive comparable investment alternatives under the plans. All these benefits depend on the timeliness and frequency of the information collection; the less frequent the disclosures, the less ability participants will have to determine how best to allocate their investments.

- 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:
 - requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
 - requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
 - requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
 - requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
 - in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;
 - requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
 - that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
 - requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

None.

8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years -- even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

The Department solicited comments on the revised information collection, as required by 5 CFR 1320.8 (d) in the direct final rule published in the Federal Register on March 19, 2015 (80 FR 14301). Two positive comments were received that did not suggest changes to the rule or ICR submitted to OMB. Therefore, the rule was finalized on May 5, 2015.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

Not applicable.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

Not applicable.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

None.

1. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

- Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.
- If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13.
- Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 13.

The Department has made the following assumptions in order to establish a reasonable estimate of the paperwork burden associated with this ICR:

- Based upon Form 5500 data from the 2013 Plan Year,⁶ 518,282 participant-directed individual account plans with 69,895,000 participants will produce and distribute the required disclosures;
- On an annual basis, 14 percent of plans will be new and the remaining 86 percent of plans will be existing plans;
- Plans will distribute 38 percent of disclosures electronically using existing systems in accordance with the Department's standards for electronic communication of required information under 29 CFR 2520.104b-1(c). Therefore, no cost has been attributed to the electronic distribution of information;
- Plans will use existing in-house resources to conduct compliance review, create and distribute disclosures, create the website, and adjust the IT systems necessary to fulfill the requirements of this rule;
- The tasks associated with the ICR⁷ will be performed by clerical personnel at an hourly rate of \$30.42,8 legal professionals at an hourly rate of

⁶ The most recent year available.

⁷ The Department's estimated 2015 hourly labor rates include wages, other benefits, and overhead are calculated as follows: mean wage from the 2013 National Occupational Employment Survey (April 2014, Bureau of Labor Statistics http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ocwage.pdf); wages as a percent of total compensation from the Employer Cost for Employee Compensation (June 2014, Bureau of Labor Statistics http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.t02.htm); overhead as a multiple of compensation is assumed to be 25 percent of total compensation for paraprofessionals, 20 percent of compensation for clerical, and 35 percent of compensation for professional; annual inflation assumed to be 2.3 percent annual growth of total labor cost since 2013 (Employment Costs Index data for private industry, September 2014 http://www.bls.gov/news.release/eci.nr0.htm).

⁸ Secretaries, Except Legal, Medical, and Executive (43-6014): \$16.35(2013 BLS Wage rate)/0.675(ECEC ratio) *1.2(Overhead Load Factor) *1.023(Inflation rate) ^2(Inflated 2 years from base year) = \$30.42

\$129.94, accountants at an hourly rate of \$71.38, and IT professionals at an hourly rate of \$79.67.

Plan-Related Information

The rule requires all plans to provide General Plan Information annually and Administrative and Individual Expense Information quarterly.

The Department assumes that every year, new plans will have in-house legal staff spend 30 minutes conducting compliance review and developing the General Plan Information disclosure for a total of 36,000 hours at an equivalent cost of \$4.7 million, while clerical staff will spend 30 minutes gathering documents and providing administrative support for the legal staff for a total of 36,000 hours at an equivalent cost of \$1.1 million. Existing plans will have in-house legal staff spend 15 minutes conducting compliance review and updating the General Plan Information disclosure for a total of 111,000 hours at an equivalent cost of \$14.5 million, while clerical staff will spend 15 minutes gathering documents and providing administrative support for the legal staff for a total of 111,000 hours at an equivalent cost of \$3.4 million. The General Plan Information disclosure will be sent to all participants (69.9 million participants) and 62 percent of the disclosures (43.3 million disclosures) will be sent by mail. Clerical staff is assumed to spend, on average, two minutes per disclosure to copy and mail this information for a total of 1.4 million hours at an equivalent cost of \$43.9 million.

The Department assumes that Administrative and Individual Expense Information will be included as part of required quarterly statements. Calculating the Expense Information will be performed by outside service providers, and as such, has been included in the cost section in question 13. All other costs associated with this required disclosure are included in the hour and cost burden calculations for producing and distributing quarterly statements, which fall under another ICR.

Investment-Related Information

The rule requires all plans to provide, annually, a comparative chart containing specified identifying information, past performance data, comparable benchmark returns, fee and expense information, and a link to a website regarding investment options. The rule also requires all plans to create and maintain a website with investment disclosures. Further, the rule requires plans to provide post-investment "pass through" disclosure materials to participants' investments in an alternative investment. Finally, the rule requires plans to provide a variety of disclosure materials upon request.

⁹ Legal Professional (23-1011): \$63.46(2013 BLS Wage rate) /0.69(ECEC ratio) *1.35(Overhead Load Factor) *1.023(Inflation rate) ^2(Inflated 2 years from base year) = \$129.94

¹⁰ Accountants and Auditors (13-2011): \$34.86(2013 BLS Wage rate) /0.69(ECEC ratio) *1.35(Overhead Load Factor) *1.023(Inflation rate) ^2(Inflated 2 years from base year) = \$71.38

¹¹ Computer Programmer (15-1131): \$38.91(2013 BLS Wage rate) /0.69(ECEC ratio) *1.35(Overhead Load Factor) *1.023(Inflation rate) ^2(Inflated 2 years from base year) = \$79.67

The Department assumes that in-house accountants will spend 5 hours per new plan creating comparative charts and 4 hours per existing plan updating comparative charts for a total of 2.1 million hours at an equivalent cost of \$153.2 million. The comparative chart will be sent to all participants (69.9 million participants) and 62 percent of the disclosures (43.3 million disclosures) will be sent by mail. Clerical workers are assumed to spend, on average, two minutes per disclosure to copy and mail this information for a total of 1.4 million hours at an equivalent cost of \$43.9 million.

The Department assumes that in-house IT staff will spend 3.5 hours per new plan creating, and updating quarterly, a website that meets the requirements of this rule, while in-house IT staff will spend 2 hours per existing plan making quarterly updates of the website. The total hourly burden for IT staff will be approximately 1.1 million hours at an equivalent cost of \$91.3 million.

Because the "pass through" disclosures are only required to be given post-investment to investors choosing alternative investments, the Department assumes that only plan participants in plans with employer securities will receive these disclosures. These disclosures will be sent to all participants in plans with employer securities (14.4 million participants) and 62 percent of the disclosures (8.9 million disclosures) will be sent by mail. Clerical workers are assumed to spend, on average, two minutes per disclosure to copy and mail this information for a total of 298,000 hours at an equivalent cost of \$9.1 million.

Finally, the Department estimates that each plan will receive one request for information per year. Each information request will require 1 hour of clerical time to prepare the request and 2 minutes of clerical time to copy and mail the information. Assuming that 62 percent of requests will be mailed, approximately 529,000 hours of clerical burden at an equivalent cost of \$16.1 million will result.

Summary

In summary, creating, preparing, and distributing these require disclosures will result in 7.3 million hours of burden annually at an equivalent cost of \$381.1 million.

2014 Direct Final Rule

As discussed under item 1 above, the current regulatory language states that the term *at least annually thereafter* "means at least once in any 12-month period, without regard to whether the plan operates on a calendar or fiscal year basis." The direct final rule replaces "12-month period" with "14-month period." Thus, the definition, as amended by this rulemaking, states that the term *at least annually thereafter* "means at least once in any 14-month period, without regard to whether the plan operates on a calendar year or fiscal year basis." The Department does not expect this amendment to have an impact on the hour burden

associated with the ICR, because it solely determines when the disclosures are distributed but does not affect the content of the disclosures.

Time Burden Summary Table

Activity	Number of Respondents	Frequency	Total Annual	Time Per Response	Total Annual	Hourly Rate*	Monetized Value of
	respondents		Responses	(Hours)	Burden (Hours)	Time	Respondent Time
New Plan							
Compliance							
Review							\$4.7
(Legal)	72,559	1	72,559	0.5	36,000	\$129.94	million
New Plan							
Document							
Gathering	72.550		50 550	0.5	20,000	d00.40	\$1.1
(Clerical)	72,559	1	72,559	0.5	36,000	\$30.42	million
Existing Plan							
Compliance Review							\$14.5
(Legal)	445,723	1	445,723	0.25	111,000	\$129.94	million
Existing Plan	443,723	1	443,723	0.23	111,000	\$123.34	HIIIIIOII
Document							
Gathering							\$3.4
(Clerical)	445,723	1	445,723	0.25	111,000	\$30.42	million
Production	443,723	1	440,720	0.23	111,000	ψ50.42	immon
and							
Distribution of							
General Plan							
Information					1.4		\$43.9
(Paper Copies)	518,212	83.55	43,300,000	0.03	million	\$30.42	million
Production							
and							
Distribution of							
General Plan							
Information							
(Electronic							
Copies)	518,212	51.25	26,600,000	0	0	\$30.42	\$0
Administrative							
and Individual				_ ,	,		
Expense	F10 212	F20 F	270 500 000	Burden accounted for in Question 13 and in			
Information	518,212	539.5	279,580,000				Other ICRs
New Plan Comparative							
Comparative							\$25.9
(Accountant)	72,559	1	72,559	5	363,000	\$71.38	million
Existing Plan	72,333	1	72,333	<u> </u>	303,000	\$/1.50	111111011
Comparative							
Chart					1.8		\$127.3
(Accountant)	445,723	1	445,723	4	million	\$71.38	million
Production	110,120		,	-		4: -:	
and							
Distribution of							
Comparative							
Chart (Paper					1.4		\$43.9
Copies)	518,212	83.55	43,300,000	0.03	million	\$30.42	million
Production	518,212	51.25	26,600,000	0	0	\$30.42	\$0
and							
Distribution of							
Comparative							

Chart (Electronic Copies) New Plan Website (IT Staff) 72,559 4 290,236 0.88 254,000 \$79.67 million S71.0 S20.2 Staff) 72,559 4 290,236 0.88 254,000 \$79.67 million S71.0 Staff) 445,723 4 1,782,892 0.5 891,000 \$79.67 million S71.0 S71	(C)							
Copies New Plan Website (IT Staff)								
New Plan Website (IT Staff) 72,559 4 290,236 0.88 254,000 \$79.67 million								
Website (IT Staff) 72,559 4 290,236 0.88 254,000 \$79.67 million Existing Plan Website (IT Staff) 445,723 4 1,782,892 0.5 891,000 \$79.67 million Production and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Paper Copies) 518,212 17.17 8,900,000 0.03 298,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0								
Staff								#20.0
Existing Plan Website (IT Staff)		5 0 5 50		200 226	0.00	25 4 000	# # 0 6 #	
Website (IT Staff) 445,723 4 1,782,892 0.5 891,000 \$79.67 million Production and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Paper Copies) 518,212 17.17 8,900,000 0.03 298,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 <td>Staff)</td> <td>72,559</td> <td>4</td> <td>290,236</td> <td>0.88</td> <td>254,000</td> <td>\$79.67</td> <td>million</td>	Staff)	72,559	4	290,236	0.88	254,000	\$79.67	million
Staff)	Existing Plan							
Production and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Paper Copies) 518,212 17.17 8,900,000 0.03 298,000 \$30.42 million milli								
and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Paper Copies) 518,212 17.17 8,900,000 0.03 298,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Clistribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated \$538,11		445,723	4	1,782,892	0.5	891,000	\$79.67	million
Distribution of								
"Pass Through" Disclosures (Paper Copies) 518,212 17.17 8,900,000 0.03 298,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1								
Through" Disclosures (Paper Copies) 518,212 17.17 8,900,000 0.03 298,000 \$30.42 million								
Disclosures (Paper Copies) 518,212 17.17 8,900,000 0.03 298,000 \$30.42 million								
Production and Distribution of General Production and Distribution of General Production and Distribution of General Production on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 \$15.8 \$15.								
Production and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1								
and Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated		518,212	17.17	8,900,000	0.03	298,000	\$30.42	million
Distribution of "Pass Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million	Production							
"Pass Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1	and							
Through" Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated	Distribution of							
Disclosures (Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 0 \$30.42 \$0								
(Electronic Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$381.1 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1	Through"							
Copies) 518,212 10.56 5,500,000 0 \$30.42 \$0 Information on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$381.1 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1	Disclosures							
Information On Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million	(Electronic							
on Request (Clerical) 518,212 1 518,212 1 518,000 \$30.42 million Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1	Copies)	518,212	10.56	5,500,000	0	0	\$30.42	\$0
Clerical 518,212	Information							
Clerical 518,212	on Request							\$15.8
and Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated	(Clerical)	518,212	1	518,212	1	518,000	\$30.42	million
Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000	Production							
Distribution of Information on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000	and							
on Request (Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1	Distribution of							
(Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$326,000 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1	Information							
(Paper Copies) 518,212 0.62 321,335 0.03 11,000 \$30.42 \$326,000 Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$326,000 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1	on Request							
Production and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1		518,212	0.62	321,335	0.03	11,000	\$30.42	\$326,000
and Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1		•		·				,
Distribution of Information on Request (Electronic Copies) 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1								
Information								
on Request (Electronic 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1								
(Electronic Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1								
Copies) 518,212 0.38 196,920 0 0 \$30.42 \$0 Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1								
Unduplicated 7.3 \$381.1		518,212	0.38	196,920	0	0	\$30.42	\$0
				,			7	
		518,212		713,900,000				

2. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12.)

As explained in question 12 above, the rule requires plan-related and investment-related information to be disclosed to participants and beneficiaries covered by participant directed individual account plans. The Department developed estimates for the universe of plans, participants and beneficiaries affected by these information collections. Additional costs in this Question 13 relate solely to the additional costs that arise from determining administrative and individual fees charge against participants' accounts, printing and distributing the required disclosures, and establishing and maintaining the plans' websites. The annual cost burden is calculated as follows:

Plan-Related Information

The Department assumes that plans will send 69.9 million copies of the General Plan Information to plan participants and beneficiaries, which will contain an average of 10 pages. Paper and printing costs are expected to be 5 cents per page and mailing costs are expected to be \$0.93 per mailed disclosure. It is assumed that 38 percent of the required plan information will be delivered electronically for a de minimis cost. The mailed copies result in a cost burden of \$62.0 million.

Plans will also have to determine the administrative and individual fees that will be charged directly against participants' accounts, which will be included in the plans' quarterly benefit statements. The Department estimates a cost burden of approximately \$18.0 million for new plans to establish information systems or accounting practices that will collect, track and report the actual dollar amounts charged to the individual accounts and \$38.7 million for existing plans to update systems. But a distribution of the individual accounts and \$38.7 million for existing plans to update systems.

Investment-Related Information

Disclosing investment related information leads to material costs, if the materials are given out by hand or mailed. As with the disclosure of the General Plan Information, it is assumed that 38 percent of the disclosures will be sent electronically with no associated cost burden. Paper and printing costs are assumed to be 5 cents per page.

The Department assumes that plans will send 69.9 million copies of the Comparative Chart to plan participants and beneficiaries, which will contain an average of three pages. As this information is required to be sent on an annual basis the Department assumes it will be sent with the General Plan Information. Mailing costs are already accounted for in the calculation of the cost burden for the General Plan Information. The resulting annual cost burden for materials is \$6.5 million.

Plans also are required to create and maintain a website. Having a plan website might require plans to rent server space at an estimated cost of \$265 annually per plan. The estimated annual cost of renting the server space is approximately \$137.3 million.

With regard to post-investment pass-through disclosures, it is assumed that this information would primarily be sent to those participants holding company

¹² Because these data will be included in quarterly benefit statements, no materials cost has been assessed for this requirement.

¹³ According to a GAO report (GAO-03-661T, "Mutual Funds: Information on Trends in Fees and Their Related Disclosure,: March 12, 2003, p. 14), which measures the cost of the disclosures of the actual dollar amount of mutual fund investment expenses on a participant level, it cost \$1 per account to generate these disclosures in 2001 and \$0.35 per account to maintain compliance. Using the Consumer Price Index to inflate these values, the costs would be \$1.38 and \$0.48 respectively in 2015. The Department estimates that approximately 1/3 of these costs would be comparable to the costs of complying with this rule.

securities. This results in approximately 14.4 million participants receiving disclosures each year. One disclosure is assumed to be, on average, ten pages long, with mailing costs of \$0.71 per disclosure. This results in an annual cost burden of \$10.8 million.

Finally, each plan is expected to receive one information request per year. Information requests are assumed to be 20 pages in length and come with mailing costs of \$0.93 per disclosure. Of these information requests, 38 percent are assumed to be distributed electronically at de minimis cost, while the remaining 321,000 requests will result in a printing and mailing cost of \$620,000.

Summary

It is estimated that the total cost burden of these information collections are \$274.0 million.

2014 Direct Final Rule

As discussed under item 1 above, the current regulatory language states that the term *at least annually thereafter* "means at least once in any 12-month period, without regard to whether the plan operates on a calendar or fiscal year basis." The direct final rule replaces "12-month period" with "14-month period." Thus, the definition, as amended by this rulemaking, states that the term *at least annually thereafter* "means at least once in any 14-month period, without regard to whether the plan operates on a calendar year or fiscal year basis." The Department does not expect this amendment to have an impact on the cost burden associated with the ICR, because it solely determines when the disclosures are distributed but does not affect the content of the disclosures.

Cost Burden Summary Table

Activity	Number of	Average Cost	Total Cost
	Responses	per Response	
General Plan Information (Paper)	43,300,000	\$1.43	\$62.0 million
General Plan Information (Electronic)	26,600,000	\$0.00	\$0
Administrative and Individual Fees (New Plans)	39,100,000	\$0.46	\$18.0 million
Administrative and Individual Fees (Existing	240,400,000	\$0.16	\$38.7 million
Plans)			
Comparative Chart (Paper)	43,300,000	\$0.15	\$6.5 million
Comparative Chart (Electronic)	26,600,000	\$0.00	\$0
Website	518,212	\$265	\$137.3 million
Post-Investment Pass-Through Disclosure (Paper)	8,900,000	\$1.21	\$10.8 million
Post-Investment Pass-Through Disclosure	5,500,000	\$0.00	\$0
(Electronic)			
Information on Request (Paper)	321,000	\$1.93	\$620,000
Information on Request (Electronic)	197,000	\$0.00	\$0
Unduplicated Totals			\$274 million

3. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead,

printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

There is no cost to the Federal Government associated with this information collection.

4. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14.

This submission reflects updates in the number of plans affected, wage rates, and postage rates. All of these inputs increased, which created increased burden estimates.

5. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation, and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

Not applicable.

6. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

Not applicable.

7. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submission.".

Not applicable; no exceptions to the certification statement.