Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average **30 minutes** per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is voluntary. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20210-4537 or email ICDocketMgr@ed.gov and reference the OMB Control Number 1845-0045

| 00 0 | this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, 4537 or email ICDocketMgr@ed.gov and reference the OMB Control Number | er 1845- |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 0045. | | |
| | | |
| b | r concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this for es, 830 First Street, N.E., Room 44F1, Washington, D.C. 20202. | m, write |
| Name of Institution: | | |
| Your School's OPE ID: | | |
| | | |

LOGISTICS & SUPPORT

1. For each of the statements below please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements.

| | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly Agree | Not Applicable |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
| About the U.S. Department of Education | | | | | |
| The Department's webinars provided adequate | | | | | |
| and accurate information on the experiment(s) | П | П | П | П | |
| prior to signing the Program Participation | | | | | |
| Agreement (PPA) amendment to participate. | | | | | |
| Questions and communications sent to the | | | | | |
| Department's Experimental Sites mailbox were | П | П | П | П | |
| addressed in a reasonable amount of time and | | | | | |
| helpful. | | | | | |
| The Frequent Asked Questions (FAQs) issued by | | | _ | | |
| the Department were easy to understand and | | | | | |
| helpful. | | | | | |
| The process to secure Experiment 2 program | П | | П | П | |
| approval was clear and straightforward. | | | | | |
| About the evaluation | | | | | |
| The Department's webinars provided adequate | | | | | |
| and accurate information on the <u>evaluation</u> and | П | П | П | П | |
| its requirements prior to signing the PPA | | | | | |
| amendment to participate. | | | | | |
| I understand my role and the expectations for my | П | | П | П | |
| institution's participation in this evaluation. | <u> </u> | | ш | | |
| The training provided by the Department's | _ | | _ | _ | |
| contractor on how to use the random assignment | | | | | |
| system was clear and useful. | | | | | |
| Given my institution's resources, the level of | | | | | |
| collaboration between the Department, its | П | П | П | П | |
| contractor, and members of my organization on | | | | | |
| this project is appropriate | | | | | |
| The benefits from this project are worth the time | _ | | _ | | _ |
| and resources my organization is investing to | | | | | |
| participate. | | | | | |

PARTICIPATING PROGRAMS

2. How did your school determine whether identified programs meet local or regional workforce needs, as required for eligibility to participate in Experiment 1 and / or Experiment 2? Select all that apply. *Experiment 1 extends Pell Grant eligibility to students with bachelor's degree, who enrolled in vocational or career programs. Experiment 2 extends Pell Grant eligibility to students enrolled in certain short-term training programs.*

| | Exp 1 | Exp 2 | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|---|
| Local Employers (e.g. local industry, occupation groups, Chamber o | f Commerce, etc.) | | |
| Discussed current skill gaps of workforce with local employers to | | П | |
| strategically identify programs | | | |
| Discussed skill needs for new/emerging processes, projects, or | П | | |
| technology with employers to identify programs | | | |
| Discussed workforce needs with new employers to identify | П | | |
| programs | | | |
| Solicited input from employers on which programs would satisfy | П | | |
| their training needs | | | |
| Reviewed data and/or employment projections from employers | | | |
| Reviewed local employment listings | | | |
| Other? | | | |
| Local Workforce Agency (e.g. WIA, one-stop, etc.) | | | |
| Discussed current skill gaps with a local workforce agency | | | |
| representative to identify programs | | | |
| Discussed skill needs for new/emerging employers or industries | | | |
| with a local workforce representative | | | |
| Solicited input from local workforce representatives on which | | | |
| programs would satisfy employer needs | | | |
| Reviewed data and/or employment projections from my local | | | |
| workforce agency | | | |
| State Workforce Agency | | | |
| Discussed current skill gaps with a state workforce agency | | | |
| representative to identify programs | | | |
| Discussed skill needs for new/emerging employers or industries | | | |
| with a state workforce representative | | | |
| Solicited input from a state workforce representative on which | | | |
| programs would satisfy employer needs | Ш | | |
| Reviewed data and/or employment projections from state | | | |
| workforce agency | | | |
| U.S. Department of Labor (e.g. BLS, ETA) | | | |
| Reviewed regional or local data and/or employment projections | | | |
| from DOL | | | _ |
| Institutional Resources | 1 | | |
| Discussed programs informally or on an ad hoc basis with | | | |
| program/other school staff | | | |
| Formally met with or held a meeting with program/school staff to | | | |

| | Exp 1 | Exp 2 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| identify programs | | |
| Reviewed employability statistics of different programs of study | | |
| Community Based Organizations (CBOs) | | |
| Discussed programs informally or on an ad hoc basis with staff from other CBOs | | |
| Formally met with or held a meeting with CBO staff to identify programs | | |
| Other? | | |

3. How, if at all, did your school modify the program(s) that are participating in the Pell Grant Experiments? Select all that apply.

| | Exp 1 | Exp 2 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| An existing program offered prior to the experiment—same duration/ hours, content, intensity—despite being ineligible for Pell | | |
| A condensed version of a longer program—same content and intensity, shorter duration—that <i>is</i> already Pell Grant eligible | | |
| A condensed version of a longer program—same content and intensity, shorter duration—that <i>is not</i> already Pell Grant eligible | | |
| A truncated version or segment of a longer program—fewer topic areas covered with the same intensity—that <i>is</i> Pell Grant eligible | | |
| A truncated version or segment of a longer program—fewer topic areas covered with the same intensity—that <i>is not</i> Pell Grant eligible | | |
| A less-intense version of a longer program—same topic areas covered with less depth—that <i>is</i> Pell Grant eligible | | |
| A less-intense version of a longer program—same topic areas covered with less depth—that <i>is not</i> Pell Grant eligible | | |
| A completely new program | | |

4. What strategies did your school use to inform/make prospective students aware of programs participating in the Pell Grant experiment(s) versus other programs offered at your school? Select all that apply.

| | Outreach Approach | Outreach Approach Typically Used <u>for</u> <u>School/ Other</u> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outreach within your institution | <u>for Experiments</u> | <u>Programs</u> |
| Posted information to school website | П | П |
| Sent email announcements to general groups of students | | П |
| Sent email announcement to targeted groups of students, | П | П |
| specify: | | |
| Sent mailings to general groups of students | | |
| Sent mailings to targeted groups of students | | |
| On-campus distribution of print media (e.g. school newspaper, fliers, course offering guide, etc.) | | |
| Left information sheets with registrar staff | | |
| Left information sheets with faculty/staff of participating programs | | |
| Left information sheets with other student support staff | | |
| Held info sessions or made presentations at your institution | | |
| Met with program staff to identify prospective students | | |
| Provided information in-person, directly to students after | | П |
| determining eligibility | | |
| Outreach outside your institution | | |
| Left information sheets with local workforce agency | | |
| Left information sheets with local employer(s) | | |
| Left information sheets with other community based organizations, specify: | | |
| Held info sessions or made presentations for local workforce agency | | |
| Held info sessions or made presentations for local employer(s) | | |
| Held info sessions or made presentations for other CBO, specify: | | |
| Provided information to local employers so that they're able to systematically make referrals | | |
| Provided information to local workforce agencies so that they're able to systematically make referrals | | |
| Provided information to other CBOs so that they're able to systematically make referrals | | |
| Collaborated with other non-workforce community based organizations, specify: | | |
| Other, specify | | |

PARTICIPATING STUDENTS

5. Across all programs at your school, please estimate what percentage of students participating in each experiment is new (first time applicant) to the college, versus a returning or continuing student. Your best estimate is fine.

| | Exp 1 (%) | Exp 2 (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| A new student—has never taken classes at your institution before | | |
| participating in either experiment | | |
| A returning student—has previously taken classes at your | | |
| institution but was not enrolled when he/she was identified as | | |
| eligible for an experiment | | |
| A continuing student—was enrolled in a program of study or just | | |
| completing one, when he/she was identified as eligible for an | | |
| experiment | | |
| Other, specify | | |