**Attachment B. NOE Data Collection Instrument Table**

| National Outcomes Evaluation Data Collection Activities | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Instrument | Domains | Data Elements | State/Tribal | Campus | Method |
| PSI  *State/Tribal*  *Campus* | * Program implementation * Budget | * Different strategies implemented and products distributed * Populations of focus for each strategy * Total amount of GLS funds * Percent funds allocated by activity type | October 2006 – continuing   * Program evaluation staff * All grantees | October 2006 – continuing   * Program evaluation staff * All grantees | Web survey |
| TASP  *State/Tribal*  *Campus* | * Suicide prevention training * Trainee and training characteristics | * Trainee role/setting * Aggregate count of number of trainings * Number and roles of training participants * Evidence-based programs (curriculum type) * Training setting (online/in-person), & ZIP * Length of training * Booster training, Training of Training * Behavioral rehearsal/role play * Resources/materials provided | October 2006 – continuing   * Program staff/evaluator from all grantees | October 2006 – continuing   * Program staff/evaluator from all grantees | Web survey |
| TUP-S  3 and 6 Month  *State/Tribal* | * Trainee characteristics * Training application * Identification and referral of youths * Self-efficacy to identify and refer youths | * Trainee demographics * Self-efficacy to identify/refer at-risk youth * Awareness/knowledge of risk factors * Perceptions of training * Intended and applied use of training * Identifications/referrals since training * Type/source of referral * Primary setting to identify youths * Use of phone and or online tools/apps—resources/materials provided at training * Behavioral rehearsal/role-play * Did not refer, provided services directly * Previous suicide prevention training * Participation in booster training * Quality of relationships with youths * Prior contact with someone suicidal * Sharing information | October 2010 – continuing   * Random sample of trainees from all States/Tribes * Annually 2,000 trainees at 3 months & 600 trainees at 6 months |  | Phone survey |
| TUP-S Campus  3 Month  *Campus* | * Trainee characteristics * Identification and referral of youths * Self-efficacy to identify and refer youths | * Trainee demographics * Self-efficacy to identify/refer at-risk youth * Awareness/knowledge of risk factors * Perceptions of training * Intended and applied use of training * Identifications/referrals since training * Type/source of referral |  | January 2014 – OMB Year 1   * Random sample of 500 trainees from Cohort 7 and 8 Campus grantees | Phone survey |
| TUP-S RCT  Baseline & 12 Month  *State/Tribal* | * Trainee characteristics * Training application * Identification and referral of youths * Self-efficacy to identify and refer youths | * Trainee demographics * Self-efficacy to identify and refer at-risk populations * Awareness/knowledge of risk factors * Perceptions of training * Intended and applied use of training * Identifications and referrals of at-risk populations since training * Type/source of referral * Primary setting to identify youths * Use of phone and or online tools/apps—resources/materials provided at training * Behavioral rehearsal/role-play inclusion * Previous suicide prevention training * Participation in booster training * Quality of relationships with youths * Prior contact with someone suicidal | Proposed new; *expected launch FY2016 Q1*   * Sample of 10 State/Tribal (cohort 9 and 10) grantees * Total of 1,332 participants |  | Phone survey |
| EIRF-I  *State/Tribal* | * Suicide risk (ideation, attempt) * Service referral * Service receipt | * Individual-level data on youth at risk * Demographic of youth * Date and setting of identification Confirmation of risk * Type of referral | October 2006 – continuing   * All State/Tribal grantees (for youth identified through GKT or screenings) * Program staff/evaluator |  | Web survey; existing data abstraction |
| EIRF-S  *State/Tribal* | * Characteristics of screening activities * Suicide risk (number identified) | * Aggregate-level data on number of screenings by grant program * Consent information (number distributed, returned, permitted screening) * Screening tool used * Unduplicated count of youth initially screened positive * Number of youth confirmed positive | October 2006 – continuing   * All State/Tribal grantees * State/Tribal grantee staff * Mental health providers for referred youth * Existing data source abstraction |  | Web survey |
| SBHF Data Abstraction  *Campus* | * Service utilization Suicide risk | * Student use of behavioral health services (including counts of specific services) * Campus use of standardized screenings (and screening tool) * Linkages to community providers * Follow-up support * Student suicide attempts & completions |  | October 2006 – continuing   * All grantees; one response from each program annually in Spring | Web survey |
| RNS  *State/Tribal* | * Network collaboration and development * Continuity of Care | * Agency/organization type * Services provided * Training exposure * Assessment of network collaboration * Linkages to other agencies * Barriers and facilitators to collaboration | October 2006 – OMB Year 1   * Grant year 2 for Cohort 8 grantees * One respondent per agency |  | Web survey using  snowball sampling |
| BHPS  *State/Tribal* | * Utilization of electronic health records (EHRs) * Follow-up practices * Provider training * Goal 8 and 9 implementation | * Agency/organization/respondent type * Type of clients served * Use of EHRs * Suicide prevention and care * Gatekeeper training of staff * Trainings of evidence-based treatment with providers * Assessment of staff self-efficacy and training adherence * Screening and assessment practices * Follow-up care and referral practices * Collaboration with GLS Suicide Prevention Program grantee * Annual data on screenings, assessments, care provision, and monitoring of at-risk patients | Annually upon OMB approval   * All Cohort 9 and 10 State/Tribal grantees * Administrators and supervisors from GLS-partnering behavioral health provider agencies * One to 10 respondents from each GLS-partnering behavioral health provider agency |  | Web survey |
| SMSS *Campus* | * Exposure to prevention activities * Suicide risk | * Exposure to campus prevention activities * Suicidal ideation history * Suicide attempt history |  | April 2014 – OMB Year 1   * Cohort 7 year 3 of grant; 100 respondents per grantee | Text message survey |