**Attachment B. NOE Data Collection Instrument Table**

| National Outcomes Evaluation Data Collection Activities |
| --- |
| Instrument | Domains | Data Elements | State/Tribal | Campus | Method |
| PSI*State/Tribal**Campus* | * Program implementation
* Budget
 | * Different strategies implemented and products distributed
* Populations of focus for each strategy
* Total amount of GLS funds
* Percent funds allocated by activity type
 | October 2006 – continuing * Program evaluation staff
* All grantees
 | October 2006 – continuing * Program evaluation staff
* All grantees
 | Web survey  |
| TASP*State/Tribal**Campus* | * Suicide prevention training
* Trainee and training characteristics
 | * Trainee role/setting
* Aggregate count of number of trainings
* Number and roles of training participants
* Evidence-based programs (curriculum type)
* Training setting (online/in-person), & ZIP
* Length of training
* Booster training, Training of Training
* Behavioral rehearsal/role play
* Resources/materials provided
 | October 2006 – continuing * Program staff/evaluator from all grantees
 | October 2006 – continuing * Program staff/evaluator from all grantees
 | Web survey  |
| TUP-S 3 and 6 Month*State/Tribal* | * Trainee characteristics
* Training application
* Identification and referral of youths
* Self-efficacy to identify and refer youths
 | * Trainee demographics
* Self-efficacy to identify/refer at-risk youth
* Awareness/knowledge of risk factors
* Perceptions of training
* Intended and applied use of training
* Identifications/referrals since training
* Type/source of referral
* Primary setting to identify youths
* Use of phone and or online tools/apps—resources/materials provided at training
* Behavioral rehearsal/role-play
* Did not refer, provided services directly
* Previous suicide prevention training
* Participation in booster training
* Quality of relationships with youths
* Prior contact with someone suicidal
* Sharing information
 | October 2010 – continuing * Random sample of trainees from all States/Tribes
* Annually 2,000 trainees at 3 months & 600 trainees at 6 months
 |  | Phone survey  |
| TUP-S Campus3 Month*Campus* | * Trainee characteristics
* Identification and referral of youths
* Self-efficacy to identify and refer youths
 | * Trainee demographics
* Self-efficacy to identify/refer at-risk youth
* Awareness/knowledge of risk factors
* Perceptions of training
* Intended and applied use of training
* Identifications/referrals since training
* Type/source of referral
 |  | January 2014 – OMB Year 1* Random sample of 500 trainees from Cohort 7 and 8 Campus grantees
 | Phone survey |
| TUP-S RCT Baseline & 12 Month *State/Tribal* | * Trainee characteristics
* Training application
* Identification and referral of youths
* Self-efficacy to identify and refer youths
 | * Trainee demographics
* Self-efficacy to identify and refer at-risk populations
* Awareness/knowledge of risk factors
* Perceptions of training
* Intended and applied use of training
* Identifications and referrals of at-risk populations since training
* Type/source of referral
* Primary setting to identify youths
* Use of phone and or online tools/apps—resources/materials provided at training
* Behavioral rehearsal/role-play inclusion
* Previous suicide prevention training
* Participation in booster training
* Quality of relationships with youths
* Prior contact with someone suicidal
 | Proposed new; *expected launch FY2016 Q1** Sample of 10 State/Tribal (cohort 9 and 10) grantees
* Total of 1,332 participants
 |  | Phone survey  |
| EIRF-I*State/Tribal* | * Suicide risk (ideation, attempt)
* Service referral
* Service receipt
 | * Individual-level data on youth at risk
* Demographic of youth
* Date and setting of identification Confirmation of risk
* Type of referral
 | October 2006 – continuing* All State/Tribal grantees (for youth identified through GKT or screenings)
* Program staff/evaluator
 |  | Web survey; existing data abstraction |
| EIRF-S *State/Tribal* | * Characteristics of screening activities
* Suicide risk (number identified)
 | * Aggregate-level data on number of screenings by grant program
* Consent information (number distributed, returned, permitted screening)
* Screening tool used
* Unduplicated count of youth initially screened positive
* Number of youth confirmed positive
 | October 2006 – continuing* All State/Tribal grantees
* State/Tribal grantee staff
* Mental health providers for referred youth
* Existing data source abstraction
 |  | Web survey  |
| SBHF Data Abstraction*Campus* | * Service utilization Suicide risk
 | * Student use of behavioral health services (including counts of specific services)
* Campus use of standardized screenings (and screening tool)
* Linkages to community providers
* Follow-up support
* Student suicide attempts & completions
 |  | October 2006 – continuing* All grantees; one response from each program annually in Spring
 | Web survey  |
| RNS*State/Tribal* | * Network collaboration and development
* Continuity of Care
 | * Agency/organization type
* Services provided
* Training exposure
* Assessment of network collaboration
* Linkages to other agencies
* Barriers and facilitators to collaboration
 | October 2006 – OMB Year 1* Grant year 2 for Cohort 8 grantees
* One respondent per agency
 |  | Web survey usingsnowball sampling |
| BHPS*State/Tribal* | * Utilization of electronic health records (EHRs)
* Follow-up practices
* Provider training
* Goal 8 and 9 implementation
 | * Agency/organization/respondent type
* Type of clients served
* Use of EHRs
* Suicide prevention and care
* Gatekeeper training of staff
* Trainings of evidence-based treatment with providers
* Assessment of staff self-efficacy and training adherence
* Screening and assessment practices
* Follow-up care and referral practices
* Collaboration with GLS Suicide Prevention Program grantee
* Annual data on screenings, assessments, care provision, and monitoring of at-risk patients
 | Annually upon OMB approval * All Cohort 9 and 10 State/Tribal grantees
* Administrators and supervisors from GLS-partnering behavioral health provider agencies
* One to 10 respondents from each GLS-partnering behavioral health provider agency
 |  | Web survey |
| SMSS *Campus* | * Exposure to prevention activities
* Suicide risk
 | * Exposure to campus prevention activities
* Suicidal ideation history
* Suicide attempt history
 |  | April 2014 – OMB Year 1* Cohort 7 year 3 of grant; 100 respondents per grantee
 | Text message survey |