Paperwork Reduction Act Submission

Please read the instruction before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this forms, contact your agency's Paperwork Reduction Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the Supporting Statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 Seventeenth St. NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Agency/Subagency Originating Request: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Public and Indian Housing, Real Estate Assessment Ce	2. OMB Control Number: a. 2577-0246 b. None
 3. Type of information collection: (check one) a. New Collection b. X Revision of a currently approved collection c. Extension of a currently approved collection d. Reinstatement, without change, of previously approved collection for which approval has expired e. Reinstatement, with change, of previously approved collection for which approval has expired f. Existing collection in use without an OMB control number For b-f, note item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions. 	4. Type of review requested: (check one) a. X Regular b. Emergency - Approval requested by c. Delegated 5. Small entities: Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? Yes X No 6. Requested expiration date: a. X Three years from approval date b. Other (specify)
7. Title: Public Housing Operating Subsidy –Appeals	
8. Agency form number(s): (if applicable N/A 9. Keywords: Housing, public housing, operating subsidy	
10. Abstract: To "appeal of the amount of operating subsidy on any one of the permitte 11. Affected public: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. Individuals or households	12. Obligation to respond: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. P Voluntary b. X Required to obtain or retain benefits
13. Annual reporting and recordkeeping hour burden: a. Number of respondents b. Total annual responses Percentage of these responses collected electronically c. Total annual hours requested d. Current OMB inventory e. Difference (+,-) f. Explanation of difference: 1. Program change: 2. Adjustment: -440	14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden: (in thousands of dollars) Do not include costs based on the hours in item 13. a. Total annualized capital/startup costs b. Total annual costs (O&M) c. Total annualized cost requested d. Current OMB inventory e. Difference f. Explanation of difference: 1. Program change: 2. Adjustment:
15. Purpose of Information collection: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. P Application for benefits e. X Program planning or management f. Research c. General purpose statistics g. X Requilatory or compliance d. Audit	a. Recordkeeping b. Third party disclosure
Does this information collection employ statistical methods? Yes X No	gency contact: (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this libralistion) ame: Kevin Gallagher hone: 202-402-4192

19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9.

Note: The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320/8(b)(3). appear at the end of the instructions. The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collections of information that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It uses plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention periods for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
 - (i) Why the information is being collected;
 - (ii) Use of the information;
 - (iii) Burden estimate;
 - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, or mandatory);
 - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
 - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to collected (see note in item 19 of the instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of these provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Date:	ature of Program Official:
24.0.	Attack of Fregueni Cincian
	Ozdinec Denuty Assistant Secretary
	e of Public Housing and Voucher Programs
	The state of the s
	n Ozdinec, Deputy Assistant Secretary e of Public Housing and Voucher Programs

Signature of Senior Officer or Designee:	Date:
v	
^	
Colette Pollard, Departmental Reports Management Officer,	
Office of the Chief Information Officer	

Supporting Statement for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

A. Justification

1. Section 9(a) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437q) authorizes the Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development to make annual contributions for the operation of low-income housing projects in the form of operating subsidy. The 1937 Act limits eligibility for public housing to low-income families and caps the public housing rents at 30 percent of a family's income. Accordingly, public housing agencies (PHAs) rely on the HUD operating subsidies, rather than rental income, to cover a significant amount of the costs associated with operating their public housing units.

On October 21, 1998, the Congress enacted the Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998 (P.L. 105-276, approved October 21, 1998) (referred to as the "Public Housing Reform Act"). The Public Housing Reform Act made sweeping changes to HUD's public and assisted housing programs. Among other changes, section 519 of the Public Housing Reform Act amended section 9 of the 1937 Act. Section 9, as amended, establishes an operating fund for the purpose of making assistance available to PHAs for the operation and management of public housing (see **Exhibit A**). Section 9(f) requires that the operating fund assistance to be made available be determined pursuant to a formula.

In September 2005 the Department implemented a final Operating Fund Program rule (see **Exhibit B**) developed through negotiated rulemaking. This rule provides that PHAs may appeal subsidy amounts under certain specified circumstances. Currently, there are four different permitted grounds of appeal (see Item 2, below) and the appeal procedures and requirements for each of these four grounds of appeals are set forth in the rule. All appeals require the submission of supporting documentation to HUD.

2. Under the Operating Fund Program rule, PHAs may elect to file an appeal of their subsidy amounts. When they do so, they must meet the appeal requirements set forth in subpart G of the rule. The four grounds on which PHAs can now appeal pursuant to 24 CFR § 990.245: (a) streamlined appeal; (c) appeal for specific local conditions; (d) appeal for changing market conditions; and (e) appeal to substitute actual project cost data. (See Response to #15 below regarding appeals which were previously permitted under 990.245(b) for formula income for economic hardship.) Appeals under 990.245 (a) and (c) must be submitted once annually. Accordingly, any changes to subsidy based on these grounds of appeal are only effective for one year. Appeals under (a) and (c) must be submitted for new projects in a PHA's inventory within one year of the applicable date of full availability. Finally, appeals under (c) and (e) are subject to HUD's review of an independent cost assessment of PHA properties, which results are binding on the PHA regardless of the new funding level. The rule does not establish frequency, timing or special supporting documentation requirements for appeals under (d).

A PHA that elects to file an appeal must submit the following to HUD for review:

- Cover letter from the Executive Director requesting the appeal and stating grounds under which the appeal is filed;
- Supporting documentation for each ground; and
- Any required assessment, per the provisions of the operating fund final rule.
- 3. As stated in Item 2, above, requests for appeals are voluntary. PHAs that do elect to appeal may submit their appeal request and all required documents electronically by email or they may send a hard copy by US Mail or other carrier.
- 4. Financial Management Division is not aware of any duplication of efforts to collect this data. Any data already submitted to HUD will not be requested again.
- 5. The information being collected has no significant impact on small businesses or other small entities.

- 6. HUD requests program funds annually in the Departmental Budget. The operating subsidy funds are obligated to the PHAs annually, as reflected on the operating budget, in accordance with the formula in the Operating Fund Program rule.
- 7. There are no special circumstances that require the collection of information to be inconsistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR § 1320.6.
- 8. This information collection was announced in the *Federal Register*, Volume 81; No. <u>3 XX</u>; Page <u>510 XX</u> on <u>January XXXX, 6, 2016 XXXXX</u>. The public was given until <u>March 7, 2016 XXXXX, XXXX</u> to comment. HUD received no comments.
- 9. No payments or gifts are provided to respondents.
- 10. Assurance of confidentiality is neither provided nor needed because PHA budgets are passed at public Board of Commissioner meetings.
- 11. No sensitive questions are being asked.
- 12. The burden hour calculations for preparation and submission of an appeal are shown by the type of appeal that PHAs may file. The calculations assume that for certain of the permitted grounds of appeal more time is needed to complete the appeal submission because they require more information and data.

Appeal Total Annual Burden Hour Estimates for PHAs									
Grounds of Appeal Under § 990.245	Number of Respondents	X	Frequency of Response	Total Responses	X	Est. Hours	=	Total Annual Burden Hours	
(a) Streamlined appeal	3		1	3		9		27	
(c) Appeal for specific local conditions	1		1	1		12		12	
(d) Appeal for changing market conditions	100		1	100		20		2000	
(e) Appeal to substitute actual project cost data	1		1	1		10		10	
Totals	105			105				2049	

The estimated annual cost to respondents to appeal subsidy are provided in the table below and assume an Analyst's annual salary of \$123,406 at a GS-14/5 level rate (Salary Table 2016-GS) or an hourly rate of \$59.13.

Total Estimated Annual Costs to Respondents								
	Number of Respondents Hours			Hourly Rate		Annualized Cost		
For all appeals	105	2049		\$ 59.13		\$ 121,157		

13. For appeals under 24 CFR §§ 990.245 (c) specific local conditions, and (e) appeals to substitute actual project cost data, PHAs are required to acquire, and pay the cost of, an "independent cost assessment" of its projects. A PHA must submit this independent cost assessment to HUD as part of the PHA's appeal for review by a professional who will be procured by HUD. Data shows that annually 1 PHAs submits an appeal that requires an independent cost assessment under (c) and 1PHA submits appeal that requires an independent cost assessment under (e), for a total of 5 appeals for the prior three years (see Item 12, above). In the chart below the cost to PHAs is shown by the average annual number of PHAs that paid for the cost of an independent cost assessment and the estimated annual hours.

Estimated Annual Cost to PHAs for the Independent Cost Assessment – Contract Support										
No. of	No. of	Freq. of	Estimated	Total Annual	v	Hourly		Total		
PHAs	Respond.	Responses	Hours	Burden Hours	Λ	Rate	_	Annual Cost		
2	2	1	55	110		\$100.00		\$11,000		

14. The estimated annualized costs to the federal government for the collection for PHA operating fund appeals is based on the 2016 general pay schedule for a GS-14/5 rate (an average salary for a financial analyst), which is \$59.13 per hour.

Estimated Annual Cost to the Federal Government – Staff									
PHA Action	Number of Respondents	Frequency of Responses	Estimated Hours	Total Annual Burden Hours	X	Hourly Rate	III	Total Annual Cost	
Appeals	105	1	15	1575		\$59.13		\$93,130	

Grounds of				
Appeal				
§990.245 (a), and (d)				
and (d)				
§990.245 (c)				
§990.245 (c) and (e)				
Total				

Total Estimated Annual Cost to the Federal Government for Appeals								
Government Contractor Cost + Government Staff Cost = Total Annual Cos								
0		\$93,130		\$93,130				

- 15. This proposed information collection was revised due to the reduced number of respondents from 127, as approved in 2013, to 105. As a result of the reduction in respondents, the total number of burden hours were reduced from 2,489 to 2,049. The annual salary information and the data submission information were updated based on the 2016 General Schedule Pay Scale.
- 16. This information will not be published.
- 17. HUD is not seeking approval to not display the expiration date of the OMB approval. A <u>Federal Register</u> notice will be published to announce the new OMB approval number expiration date once OMB reviews and approves the collection. **Exhibit D** is the OMB Disclosure statement.

18. There are no exceptions to the certification statement identified in item 19 of the OMB 83-I.

C. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods

N/A