# SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION 9000-xxxx, Prohibition on Contracting with Corporations with Delinquent Taxes or a Felony Conviction

#### A. Justification.

#### 1. Administrative requirements.

This interim FAR rule implements sections 744 and 745 of Division E of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015 (Pub. L. 113-235)(and similar provisions in subsequent appropriations acts) to prohibit entering into a contract with any corporation with any delinquent Federal tax liability or a felony conviction, unless the agency has considered suspension or debarment of the corporation and has made a determination that this further action is not necessary to protect the interests of the Government. This representation is included in all solicitations and requires all offerors to complete at least annually in the System for Award Management when responding to Federal solicitations.

It also implements section 523 of Division B of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015 (Pub. L. 113-235)(and similar provisions in subsequent appropriations acts). This section prohibits award of any contract in an amount greater than \$5,000,000, unless the offeror affirmatively certifies that it has filed all Federal tax returns required during the three years preceding the certification; has not been convicted of a criminal offense under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and has not, more than 90 days prior to certification, been notified of any unpaid Federal tax assessment for which the liability remains unsatisfied, unless the assessment is the subject of an installment agreement or offer in compromise that has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service and is not in default, or the assessment is the subject of a nonfrivolous administrative or judicial proceeding. certification will not be included in the annual representations and certifications, because it has a very limited application.

#### 2. Uses of information.

This information will be used in the source selection process, when awarding contracts using funds appropriated under the applicable acts.

- 3. Consideration of information technology. Information technology has been applied to the maximum extent possible. The Representation and Certification will be included in annual representations and certifications in the System for Award Management, to facilitate electronic completion.
- 4. **Efforts to identify duplication**. This requirement is being issued under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), which has been developed to standardize Federal procurement practices and eliminate unnecessary duplication.
- 5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other entities, describe methods used to minimize burden. The burden applied to small businesses is the minimum consistent with applicable laws, executive orders, regulations, and prudent business practices.
- 6. Describe consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently. The interim rule allows the offeror to submit this information to the System for Award Management, and then only requires updating on an annual basis (unless the information changes), if continuing to submit offers in response to Government solicitations. This is the minimum submission feasible. All representations and certifications must be updated at least annually. The contracting officer must have current information available, when evaluating an offer in response to a Government solicitation.
- 7. **Special circumstances for collection**. Collection is consistent with guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.6.
- 8. **Efforts to consult with persons outside the agency**. Under the procedures established for development of the FAR, agency and public comments were solicited and each comment addressed before finalization of the text. A notice published in the *Federal Register* at XX FR XXX, on XXX XX, 2015. XXX respondents submitted comments on the information collection requirements of the interim rule.
- 9. Explanation of any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or guarantees. No payment or gift will be provided to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors under their contracts.
- 10. **Describe assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents**. This information is disclosed only to the extent

consistent with prudent business practices and current regulations.

### 11. Additional justification for questions of a sensitive nature. No sensitive questions are involved

## 12 & 13. **Estimated total annual public hour and cost burden**. The estimated cost to the public for the compliance plan is as follows:

52.209-XX Representation: Number of respondents¹ Responses per respondent Total annual responses Hours per response Total hours Cost per hour² Total annual cost to respondents\$1,	x 1.01 355,520 x .1 35,552 x \$42
52.209-YY Certification:  Number of respondents <sup>3</sup> Responses per respondent  Total annual responses  Hours per response  Total hours  Cost per hour <sup>4</sup> Total annual cost to respondents	440 x 3 1320 x .1 132 x \$42 \$ 5,544
Total annual responses	356,840 <u>x .1</u> 35,684 <u>x \$42</u> 1,498,728

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The number of respondents is based on SAM data – the number of active "contractor" registrants in SAM. This representation is included in the System for Award Management (SAM) annual representations and certifications. Therefore, submission is required only once per year, unless the offeror's circumstances change (estimated at .01).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on a rate equivalent to a GS-12, Step 3 or \$30.81/hour (from the 2012 OPM GS Salary Table), added overhead at 36.25 percent (the OMB-mandated burden rate for A-76 public-private competitions, rounded to 36 percent), and rounded the average wage to the nearest whole dollar, or \$42/hour.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The number of respondents is based on FPDS data for FY 2013 – the number of unique vendors that received awards valued at greater than \$5 million from DOC, DOJ, and NASA, plus 10 percent that may have submitted offers but did not receive an award. This representation is not included in the System for Award Management (SAM) annual representations and certifications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Based on a rate equivalent to a GS-12, Step 3 or \$30.81/hour (from the 2012 OPM GS Salary Table), added overhead at 36.25 percent (the OMB-mandated burden rate for A-76 public-private competitions, rounded to 36 percent), and rounded the average wage to the nearest whole dollar, or \$42/hour.

14. **Estimated cost to the Government**. The time estimates are based on receiving, reviewing and analyzing the information submitted by the contractor.

The estimated cost to the Government is estimated as follows:

Total annual responses	356,840
Hours per response	<u>x .5*</u>
Total hours	178,420
Cost per hour	<u>x \$42</u>
Total annual estimate of burden\$	7,493,640

\*This is a weighted average of the negligible amount of time to review negative representations, and the occasional more substantial amount of time required if a respondent indicates a problem with regard to felony conviction or delinquent taxes.

- 15. Explain reasons for program changes or adjustments reported in Item 13 or 14. This submission requests a new OMB approval for an information collection requirement in the FAR.
- 16. Outline plans for published results of information collections. Results will not be tabulated or published.
- 17. **Approval not to display expiration date**. We do not seek approval not to display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection.
- 18. **Explanation of exception to certification statement**. There are no exceptions to the certification accompanying this Paperwork Reduction Act submission.
- B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods.

Statistical methods are not used in this information collection.