## ATTACHMENT K-T EDIT INSTRUMENT (TRACKED-CHANGES)

Mathematica Ref. No. 40158.B33



# Examining Data Informing Teaching (EDIT) Measure Draft Instrument Package

August 2015

Teacher:	Rater:    _
Date:	
Focal Child 1:	Document review:
Focal Child 2:	Start time:    :    AM/PM
	End time:   _ :    AM/PM
List of artifacts received (Place a "(B)" in front of artifacts if background to current performance and progress):	they were collected more than 2 months ago and are

This collection of information is voluntary and will be used to refine the EDIT measure. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 180 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 0970-0355 and it expires 03/31/ <del>2015</del> <u>2018</u> .

#### 1. HOLISTIC RUBRIC FOR "SELECTING THE ASSESSMENT TARGET"

Assessment targets are linked to meaningful outcomes (that is, a skill, knowledge, or behavior that a child needs to be successful, now or in the future). The targeted behavior is developmentally appropriate (for example, (1) looking at combinations of 5 with manipulatives rather than asking children to solve written equations, or (2) asking children to identify which spoken word is unlike the others in a series of 5 words rather than to compose their own rhyming poem). To meet Head Start and other early childhood education policy requirements, the targets include assessment of key domains related to school-readiness: language and literacy development, cognition and general knowledge, approaches to learning, physical well-being and motor development, and social and emotional development. The targets are generalizable in that the skill, knowledge, or behavior can be demonstrated across settings. The targeted skills or behaviors are those in which children of this age typically make progress within the program year (that is, change is expected in the current year). The targets (1) address areas that are taught in the classroom curriculum, and (2) are defined and measured based on observable behaviors. Targets can be assessed universally (that is, for all children), or evidence of individualization is clear with appropriate accommodations made as necessary for specific children to demonstrate progress.

Sources: Documents (end-of-year goals, assessment objectives or protocols, curriculum/instructional sequence), observations, teacher interview.

Note:	For holistic rubrics, even-numbered ratings should be assigned to teachers who exceed the criteria for the preceding rating but do not yet meet all criteria for the subsequent rating. Unless a change or increase is specified, a given rating on a holistic rubric encompasses all criteria for the previous rating.

## 2. ANALYTIC RUBRIC AND RATINGS FOR "SELECTING AND IMPLEMENTING THE ASSESSMENT METHOD"

Observation or Assessment Method. Teachers decide how they will gather information about the assessment target (learning objective or behaviors). The method of data collection should focus on observable behaviors, be a fair measure of what children know and can do (including linguistic and cultural appropriateness), and offer the opportunity to easily collect information frequently enough that comparisons across time can be made. Over time, the assessment method should provide information about whether the child generalizes the skills, knowledge, and behaviors and so should provide information from more than one context. The frequency of data collection supports reliable and valid interpretation of child progress.

Sources: Documents (assessment objectives or protocols, assessment manual, assessment schedules and plans, description of assessment), observations, interview.

	1	3	5	7	ENTER RATING
A	The evidence collected is not aligned with assessment target(s).	The evidence collected is occasionally aligned with the assessment target(s).	The evidence collected is sometimes aligned with the assessment target(s).	The observed evidence collected is always aligned with the assessment target(s).	RATING:
В	Assessments not implemented frequently enough to examine child progress.	Only 1 or 2 assessment targets are assessed more than once per child.	3 or more assessment targets are assessed more than once per child, and frequency of assessments is usually greater when instruction is more intensive.	Assessment information is collected frequently enough to examine child progress (at least three times per reporting period) or change over time. Frequency of data collection is usually greater when instruction is more intensive.aligns with the intensity of instruction.	RATING:
С	Assessment tasks do not provide information about different levels of the knowledge, skill, or behavior of interest.	For some targets, the assessment task differentiates between varying levels of quality OR independence over time, e.g., by breaking down the task into steps and/or requiring the child to complete the task in different contexts.	For most targets, the assessment task differentiates between varying levels of quality OR independence over time, e.g., by breaking down the task into steps and/or requiring the child to complete the task in different contexts.	For <u>all</u> targets, the assessment task clearly differentiates between varying levels of quality OR independence over time, e.g., by breaking down the task into steps and/or requiring the child to complete the task in different contexts.	RATING:
D	All assessments take time away from instruction and practice (>10 minutes).	Assessments typically take time away from instruction and practice (>10 minutes).	Some assessments occur in the context of instructional activities OR in a way that allows teacher to efficiently obtain information about child's knowledge/skill/behavior in a	Assessments typically occur in the context of instructional activities OR in a way that allows teacher to efficiently obtain information about child's knowledge/	RATING:

	1	3	3		5		7	ENTER RATING
					clear task (such as naming pictures, letters, or shapes).		skill/behavior in a clear task (such as naming pictures, letters, or shapes).	
NOTE: COMPLETE '2E' FOR CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL LEARNING NEEDS OR DUAL LANGUAGE LEARNERS.								
Е	Assessment tasks are not valid for child (in terms of language, culture, temperament, and/or ability); teacher does not make needed accommodations or adaptations for children with special learning needs or Dual Language Learners.	te n ir n	For at least one assessment target, eacher assesses in nultiple ways, including making needed accommodations or adaptations.		For <u>some</u> assessment targets, teacher assesses in multiple ways, including making needed accommodations or adaptations.		When appropriate teacher assesses target in multiple ways. Teacher documents accommodations or adaptations used to ensure that the child understands the task.	RATING:
F	Goals of the observed task are not consistently clear even to the observer.	C c c b b for	Goals of the observed task are clear to observers, but the tasks may not be described to the ocal child(ren).	) A	Most of the observed assessment tasks are familiar to the focal child(ren) or are clearly described.		All the observed assessment tasks are familiar to focal child(ren) OR teacher ensures focal child(ren) understands tasks before beginning assessment.	RATING FOR  FOCAL CHILD 1:  RATING FOR  FOCAL CHILD 2:
G	In the observed assessments, teacher typically does not devote sufficient attention to focal child(ren) while implementing observation or assessment.	a te fi	n the observed assessments, eacher typically is requently interrupted when assessing the ocal child(ren).		assessments, teacher typically focuses on the focal child(ren) being assessed with only small interruptions.		In the observed assessments, teacher typically devotes sufficient attention to focal child(ren) while implementing observation or assessment.	RATING FOR FOCAL CHILD 1:  RATING FOR FOCAL CHILD 2:
Н	When using a standard task, does not implement in a standard way and does not note changes in administration.	s ir s d	When using a standard task, sometimes mplements in a standard way and sometimes documents the deviations from standard administration, if		When using a standard task, usually implements in a standard way. Consistently documents any deviations.		When using a standard task, implements in a standard way (with fidelity).  Documents if concerned about valid response, if applicable.	RATING FOR FOCAL CHILD 1:  NOT APPLICABLE  CANNOT RATE

	1	3	5	7	ENTER RATING
		applicable.			RATING FOR- FOCAL CHILD 2:
					<del>□ NOT</del> <del>APPLICABLE</del>
					<del>□ CANNOT</del> <del>RATE</del>
╽└					

							CANNOT RATE
	TINGS urces: Docu	Indicate how characteristic each item is. (Note: check the appropriate category.)  ments (assessment					<u>OAIIIOT NATE</u>
		objectives or protocols, assessment manual, assessment schedules and plans, description of assessment), observations, interview.	NOT AT ALL (ALMOST NEVER)	MINIMALLY CHARACTERIS TIC (SOMETIMES EVIDENT)	STRONGLY CHARACTERIS TIC (FREQUENTLY EVIDENT)	EXTREMELY CHARACTERIS TIC (ALMOST ALWAYS EVIDENT)	
	Assessme familiar co	ents typically occur in a ontext	1 □	2	з 🔲	4 🔲	0
	in area of	nonitors child's progress individualization with at eces of evidence (data	1 D	2□	з 🗆	4 🗆	υ <u> </u>
	instruction that allows child's cur	chers individualize  I, they collect information  Is them to see whether the  I rent rate of progress is  In his or her prior rate of	ı 🗆	2□	3 □	4 🗆	0 D
	monitor ch individuali	ontinues to periodically nild's progress in area of zation even after first nproved progress	1□	2	з 🗆	4 🗆	<u> </u>
М		mily or household help collect assessment n	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗌	0
	<u>F(</u>	OR VIDEO-BASED OBSER\	/ATIONS O	BSERVED ASSI	ESSMENTS ONI	LY	
		locuments child performance or collects ple	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🔲	
		SOUI (Assessor, please re	RCES OF E		<del>ratings)</del>		

	When teachers implement ongoing assessments, they need to document child progress objectively, accurately,									
		ly, and with relevant contextual information. E: Documents (portfolios, checklists, anecdo		observations, inter	view.					
		RATINGS	FOR FOCA	L CHILDREN						
		how characteristic each item is. (Note: che e ratings for each children.	eck the appro	priate category.) If	this differs by child	d please note				
			NOT AT ALL (ALMOST NEVER)	MINIMALLY CHARACTERISTIC (SOMETIMES EVIDENT)	STRONGLY CHARACTERISTIC (FREQUENTLY EVIDENT)	EXTREMELY CHARACTERISTIC (ALMOST ALWAYS EVIDENT)				
A		Documentation provides relevant information about the <i>general</i> assessment context, supports, and timing	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆				
В		Documentation includes <i>child-</i> <i>specific</i> context, including types of prompts and supports.	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4				
С		The teacher is consistent in how behavior is documented across time points (e.g., provides a similar amount of background information. <i>Make a note if consistently poor</i> ).	1 🗆	2□	з 🗆	4 🗆				
D		The documentation can be understood without the need to ask the teacher questions beyond clarifying shorthand codes.	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆				
Ĺ		coues.	1		<u> </u>					

**RATINGS** *Indicate how characteristic each item is. (Note: check the appropriate category.)* **FOCAL CHILD 1 FOCAL CHILD 2** NOT AT MINIMALLY STRONGLY EXTREMELY NOT AT MINIMALLY STRONGLY EXTREMELY CHARACTERISTIC (FREQUENTLY CHARACTERISTIC CHARACTERISTIC CHARACTERISTIC CHARACTERISTIC CHARACTERISTIC ALL (ALMOST ALL (ALMOST (FREQUENTLY (ALMOST ALWAYS (SOMETIMES (ALMOST ALWAYS (SOMETIMES NEVER) EVIDENT) EVIDENT) NEVER) EVIDENT) EVIDENT) EVIDENT) EVIDENT) Documentation includes information about the child's strengths 1 2 3 4 <sub>1</sub> 2 3 4 Documentation includes errors and misconceptions. <sub>1</sub> 2 3 4 <sub>1</sub>  $\square$ 2 з 🔲 4 G Documentation includes child's responses/perfor mance during individual learning plan activities 2 3 3 1 4 1 2 4 Documentation is objective, describing what happened rather than making subjective comments and judgments 3 1 2 3 4 1  $_2\Box$ 4 Documentation is an intentional and efficient process, with method selected when planning instruction 2 3 1 2 3 <sub>1</sub> 4 4 **SOURCES OF EVIDENCE** (Assessor, please record sources used to inform ratings) Type of evidence **MARK ALL THAT APPLY** Portfolios (samples of children's work, photos, and other teacher documentation) □ Checklists ⊟—Anecdotal records ──Other (Specify):—

## 4. HOLISTIC RUBRIC, RATINGS, AND CHECKLIST FOR "ORGANIZING THE INFORMATION COLLECTED"

The teacher's organization of the documentation should facilitate interpretation and communication with families and team members, impose a minimal burden on teachers, and provide consistent, reliable data entry.

Sources: Documents (documentation from assessment, data display), teacher interview.

#### **CHECKLIST**

	YES	NO
The teacher views/organizes the data to compare a child's performance to a developmental expectation or benchmark for growth.	1 🗆	0
The teacher views/organizes the data to compare a child's progress to a developmental expectation or benchmark for growth.	1 🗆	0 🗆
The teacher views/organizes the data to compare a child's performance to that of other children in the class	1 🔲	o 🗆
The teacher views/organizes the data to make it easy to understand the <b>current level</b> of the child's skill within reporting periods for individualization goals.	1 🔲	o 🗆
The teacher organizes the data to look at a child's <b>progress</b> on individualized goals within a reporting period, looking at change based on at least 3 pieces of evidence (note: could be a comparison of 3 interim preliminary scores, work samples, or anecdotal records on a particular objective across time within a reporting period)	1 □	о 🗆
The system for organization is efficient. (For computer systems, answer will typically be "yes" if teacher is easily able to access and enter information. Note computer application or describe below.)	1 🔲	o 🔲
Teacher presents/organizes the data to communicate to parents about the child's strengths and weaknesses	1 🗆	o 🗆
The organization lets the teacher organizes the information to look at performance by class for one or more assessment targets at a single timepoint	1 🗆	о 🗆
The <u>teacher organizes the information to organization lets the teacher</u> look at progress by class for one or more assessment targets across multiple timepoints	1 🗆	o 🗆
The <u>teacher organizes the information to organization lets is such that the teacher look</u> at performance by subgroup for one or more assessment targets at a single timepoint	1 🗆	o 🔲
The <u>teacher organizes the information to organization lets the teacher</u> look at progress by subgroup for one or more assessment targets across multiple timepoints	1 🔲	o 🔲
The <u>teacher organizes the information to organization lets the teacher</u> look at performance by domain <u>for the class or a subgroup</u> for one or more assessment targets at a single timepoint	1 🗆	o 🗆
The <u>teacher organizes the information to organization lets the teacher</u> look at- <u>a child's</u> progress by domain <u>within reporting periods</u> ( <u>multiple for one or more</u> assessment targets across multiple timepoints)	<sub>1</sub> 🔲	o 🗆
The organization lets the teacher look at performance by child for one or more assessment targets at a single timepoint	1-	<b>⊕</b> □

L												
RU	RUBRICCHECKLIST											
_										YES	NO	
<u>N</u>	N Teacher may useuses visual displays to depict child progress by date for at least one target.											
		Tagghar indicates wh	on a new instruction	al atrat	ogy or indivi	dual laarnin	n plop	ic implemente	, d	1	<u>0</u>	
<u>C</u>		Teacher indicates wh	en a new instruction 	ai strate	<u>egy or indivi</u> T	<u>uuai ieamin</u>	<u>g pian</u>	<u>is impiemente</u>	<u>ea.</u>	1	0 📗	
		1	3		5		7			ENTER- RATING		
6		Teacher does not- organize ongoing- assessment- information but- simply collects the- information to use- for required- reporting.	Teacher organizes documentation by child AND domain target.		documentation by		et ta st of in kr	reacher organizes- locumentation by shild, domain, arget, AND date to support examination of change over time- n-specific skills, snowledge, and				
							Te wi	eacher may us sual displays to pict child regress by date then a new structional rategy or dividual learnian is aplemented.	<del>:0-</del> <del>e.</del> <del>es-</del>	RATING: _		
∟ RA	TIN	NGS										
				_	T AT ALL DST NEVER)	MINIMAL CHARACTER (SOMETIN EVIDEN	RISTIC MES	STRONGLY CHARACTER!S (FREQUENT EVIDENT)	STIC LY	EXTREME CHARACTER (ALMOST AL EVIDEN	RISTIC WAYS	
		The teacher views/organizes data in a way that makes it easy to understand the current level of the child's skill compared to prior checkpoints/reporting periods			1 🗆			з 🗆		4 🗆		
5		If the assessment system organizes the data, the teacher uses the system with fidelity—for example, the teacher enters information within a week. Make notes on the time frame.			1 🗆	2		з 🗌		4 🗆		
₹ <mark>5</mark>		If using a teacher-devel the teacher files or ente regular weekly basis.			1 🗆	2		3 🗆		4 🔲		

SOURCES OF EVIDENCE  (Assessor, please record sources used to inform ratings)

#### 5. HOLISTIC AND ANALYTIC RUBRICS AND CHECKLIST FOR "INTERPRETING THE DATA"

Teachers draw on multiple sources of information in making decisions about the current skills, knowledge, or behavior of children and the progress they are making. The teachers use objective, reliable data and consider alternative explanations for children's behavior. Teachers consider the pattern of data and set hypotheses about children's development and instructional needs.

Source: Documents, teacher interview (with questions about the video).

	_			_	
	1		3	5	7
A	Bases ratings on impressions or memory.  No evidence to support interpretation.		Bases most ratings on single piece of information (that is, taken at a point in time or single event).  Evidence is too scanty to support interpretation.  OR  Some evidence supports interpretation whereas other evidence does not.	Bases most ratings/ inferences on at least two pieces of information (can be from a single context or task).  Evidence supports interpretation for most assessment targets, but evidence for some interpretations is based on inference rather than objective data.  Considers alternative explanations of observed skill/behavior, including the amount of support available to the child.  Considers child's performance and progress relative to typical development or progress of peers.  Teacher examines more than one data point in between checkpoints for at least one target per child (such as preliminary ratings).	Bases most ratings/inferences on multiple pieces of information collected in more than one context or task.  Evidence is objective and supports interpretation.  Considers context of observed skill/behavior.  Considers child's strengths and interests as well as challenges.  Tests some alternative explanations of observed skill/behavior.  Considers child's performance and progress relative to typical development and progress of peers.
		<del>(</del>	SOURCES OF Assessor, please record sour		

Infrequent examination of data (does not examine child's records and make ratings at reporting periods).  BY AND SOURCES OF EVIDENCE  (Assessor, please record sources used to inform rating)  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE  (Assessor, please record sources used to inform rating)									
Courrent performance criteria, but does not consider the child's records and make ratings at reporting periods.   Courrent performance criteria, but does not consider the child's rate of progress.   Courrent performance periods, not just between reporting periods.   Courrent performance is still below age level.   Identifies when current performance is above age level.   Identifies when current performance is above age level.   RATING:	$\dashv$	1	3		5		7		
(Assessor, please record sources used to inform rating)  ECKLIST  YES NO  Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE	33	examination of data (does not examine child's records and make ratings at	data in relati performance but does not the child's ra	ion to e criteria, t consider	(not just current performance) within reporting periods, not just between reporting periods.  Uses data to identify areas of learning where progress is slower than expected and where it is faster than		current rate of progress has accelerated be expectation excurrent perform is still below a level.  Identifies whe current rate of progress has a or stopped excurrent perform the perform the perform the perform the performance of progress has a constant performance the performance of the progress has a constant performance the performance of the progress has a constant performance the performance of the progress has a constant performance the performance of the progress has accelerated by the progress has	eyond ven if mance ge n slowed en if mance	RATING:
(Assessor, please record sources used to inform rating)  ECKLIST  YES NO  Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE									
Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE			(1000000			m ro	atio a)		
Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE			( <del>//330330</del>	1, picase reco	<del>ora sources asea to inion</del>	11 10	<del>ung)</del>		
Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE									
Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE									
Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE									
Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE									
Teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data.  Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE	EC	CKLIST							
Teacher involves the other teachers/staff in interpreting and understanding the data.  SOURCES OF EVIDENCE								YES	NO
SOURCES OF EVIDENCE		Teacher involves th	ne family in interp	reting and und	derstanding the data.			1 🗆	0 🗆
SOURCES OF EVIDENCE		Teacher involves th	ne other teachers	/staff in interp	reting and understanding	the	e data.	1 🗆	0 🗆
									<u> </u>
(Assessor, prease record sources used to inform rating)			(100000			rm i	rating)		
			(ASSUSS	or, piease rec	<del>cora sources asea to inio</del> i	<del>         </del>	<del>aung)</del>		
	<u>-</u>								
	_ _ _								
	_ 								
	=								

## 6. HOLISTIC RUBRIC, CHECKLIST, AND RATING FOR "FORMULATING INSTRUCTIONAL DECISIONS"

Based on the interpretation of the data and any hypothesis set, teachers plan instructional activities and strategies to support increased or continued growth. They plan instructional groups intentionally to support children's development (e.g., partnering children to provide a good language model for a child who needs to talk more, targeted instruction of small groups of children with a similar need). Instruction considers what data suggest about children's current developmental status and progress by, for example, providing more independent practice in areas where a child is successful, testing hypotheses about the types of support children need, or considering whether children generalize skills across tasks.

Sources: Documents (data display, assessment records, lesson plans), teacher interview (with questions about the video).

#### **RUBRIC**

	1	3	5	7
A	Instruction may be designed to be interesting and engaging, but it does not draw on what is learned from the data or follow a logical plan for developing child(ren)'s skills.	Instructional decisions follow curriculum recommendations.  Instruction includes more than one small group or individual lesson/planned interaction.  Instructional grouping is based mainly on social and behavioral indicators (such as friendships or avoiding	Instructional groups and activities are designed intentionally using data to support child(ren)'s development.  Instruction follows a logical sequence to increase child(ren)'s skills across time.  Instructional decisions consider the evidence of current developmental status for each individual	Instructional decisions include modifications and adaptations based on the evidence of child development including patterns of progress across time and consideration of alternative explanations for child performance.  Instructional decisions consider child(ren)'s interests and strengths as well as areas for
		problem pairs).	child.  Some instructional decisions draw on evidence-based or professionally recommended strategies.  Instructional decisions provide more intensive instruction in areas where child(ren) lag behind developmental expectations for growth.  Considers child's progress relative to typical development and progress of peers.	growth.  Considers child's progress relative to typical development and progress of peers.  Instructional decisions provide more intensive instruction in areas where child(ren) lagbehind developmental expectations for growth.  Reaches out to external resources as needed.  Most or all instructional decisions draw on evidence-based or professionally recommended strategies.

HEC	KLIST				
thei	re evidence of varied approaches to individual	ization that are re	esponsive to the o	data?	
	SELECT ALL THAT APPLY	DOES NOT OCCUR	OCCURS AND NOT DOCUMENTED	OCCURS AND DOCUMENTED	ENTER RATINGCanno trate (USE GUIDE BELOW TO INFORM SCORE)
В	Prompting or questioning strategies	o 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	<u>0 □RATING:</u>
С	Additional practice	o 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	<u>0 □rating:</u>
D	Grouping strategies (homogeneity for a specific learning need)	о 🗆	1 🔲	2 🔲	<u>0 □RATING:</u>
E	Peer supports (heterogeneity)	o 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗌	<u>0 □RATING:</u>
F	Adult supports	о 🗆	1 🗆	2 🔲	<u>0 □RATING:</u>
G	Environmental supports (e.g., physical positioning of child)	о 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	<u>0 □RATING:</u>
Н	Other adaptations or modifications designed to meet specific child needs (Specify):	o 🗆	1 🗆	2 🗆	O_RATING:
	(Assessor, please re	RCES OF EVIDE		<del>gs)</del>	
	R EACH STRATEGY USED FOR INDIVIDUALIS	ZATION, <u>Please</u> l	RATE_OVERAL	L THE TEACHE	R'S USE OF
1	No evidence in the data to suggest a need	d for the modificat	ion/adaptation		
2	Some evidence suggesting a need, but no teacher did not try different strategies (allo something different)				
3	Evidence that the child needed the support	rt and is either ma	t <del>king progress or t</del>	he teacher is con	tinuing to try
4	Evidence that the teacher is using the date those that are not effective in supporting the suppo		ns about the succ	ess of strategies	and changes

### **RATING**

Rate overall the teacher's use of strategies.

<u>1</u>	2	3	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	ENTER RATING
No evidence in the data to suggest a need for the individualization.	Some evid suggesting but no evid suggesting is supporting child's progrand teacher not try different to look for the strategies trying some different).	a need, ence that it not the gress or did erent (allow a l period change y before	Evidence that the child needed the support and is either making progress or the teacher is continuing to try different strategies.	Evidence that the teacher is using the data to make decisions about the success of strategies and changes those that are not effective in supporting the child.	RATING:

#### 7. HOLISTIC RUBRIC FOR "APPLYING INSTRUCTIONAL DECISIONS AND INDIVIDUALIZING"

The approach is responsive to the data (and progress) and the interpretation of the data. The teacher collects further evidence to evaluate whether the instructional approach is valid for meeting the targeted instructional need for this child (or these children). That is, the teacher notes instructional changes and assesses progress to evaluate the success of those changes. The teacher may use flexible evidence-based (or professionally recommended) strategies, such as using purposeful small groups, offering different questions or different levels of prompts, offering more practice for a child in a particular area throughout the day, adapting and modifying the activity, and using different instructional approaches (such as varied levels of visual or auditory cues or individual-versus-group interaction). The teacher may provide peer, adult, and environmental supports. Whenever possible, the teacher incorporates and builds on children's strengths and interests when individualizing.

Sources: Documents (lesson plans, plans for individualized instruction), observations, interview.

1	3	5	7
Provides standard instruction for every child—that is, does not make any changes for any child (no evidence of individualization or differentiation).	Increases opportunities for practice for children with weaknesses identified in the data.  Shows evidence of an intentional adaptation for at least one child.  Uses as least one evidence-based or professionally recommended practical Teacher collects data about child response to instruction for at least one timepoint for individual plans.	Increases or varies opportunities for practice for some children with weaknesses identified in the data.  Uses some varied instructional strategies that are evidence-based or professionally recommended.  Provides support for emerging skills identified in the data, recognizing when children need a challenge.  Teacher changes instruction if child is not demonstrating improvement or greater progress  Classroom instructional team has a shared knowledge about goals and instructional strategies for each child.  Preacher plans and collects at least 23 pieces of evidence about how each child responds to individualized goals and instruction.	Increases or varies opportunities for practice for most children with weaknesses identified in the data.  Uses varied instructional strategies that are evidence-based or professionally recommended to build on strengths and mitigate weaknesses.  Incorporates child interests and experiences.  Plans and collects at least 3 pieces of evidence about how each child responds to individualized goals and instruction.  Collects data on effects of individualization strategies to determine influence on child's growth.  Is reflective about success of instructional approaches.  Organizes and reviews data (within reporting periods or checkpoints) to examine the effect of the individualization, and changes approach if the growth is not improving (that is, flat or negative).
	SOU	CES OF EVIDENCE	

(Assessor, please record sources used to inform rating)



	ADDITIONAL RATINGS CHARACTERISTICS (RUBRICS SUPPLEMENT)							
D.	MPRID:    _   _   _   _   _   _   _   _							
R	ATER NAME:							
	GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR RATING CHARACTERISTICS Rate how characteristic the statement is of this teacher/classroom.							
	1	Not At All (almost never)	You did not see this at all, or it was seen only once or twice and it is a behavior/type of documentation that usually occurs frequently in classrooms.					
	2	Minimally characteristic (sometimes evident)	Rate here if you see it occasionally and it is appropriate at many other times, or you see it happen sometimes, and it is only partially present (for example, some information about context on a few pieces of documentation but never complete documentation) or present only for a single assessment target/learning objective.					
	3	Strongly characteristic (frequently evident)	Rate as 3 if something happens frequently and across domains (as appropriate), but does not occur at all the appropriate times. Also rate here for something that would normally be 'low frequency' but is evident at many of the appropriate times or in most of the appropriate documents.					
	4	Extremely characteristic (almost always evident)	Something that happens at appropriate times and in appropriate documents across multiple domains. If something happens frequently but is missing in some appropriate documents or observations, then code as 'strongly characteristic' rather than 'extremely characteristic'.					

		SELECT ONE PER ROW			
		NOT AT ALL (ALMOST NEVER)	MINIMALLY CHARACTERISTIC (SOMETIMES EVIDENT)	STRONGLY CHARACTERISTIC (FREQUENTLY EVIDENT)	EXTREMELY CHARACTERISTIC (ALMOST ALWAYS EVIDENT)
	The teacher's plan for data collection assures that all children are assessed periodically across domains	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗌
	The teacher follows a plan/schedule for collecting assessment data each month that assures data is collected on each child across more than one domain	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
	The teacher's data collection plan identifies certain instructional targets on which specific children get more frequent assessment (areas of individualization)	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
	The teacher monitors child progress in their area of individualization with at least 3 pieces of evidence for each child's area of individualization reporting period (approximately each quarter)	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
	Every child is assessed in at least one area of individualization	1 🗆	2	з 🗌	4 🔲
	Teacher collects information that allows him/her to see whether child's current rate of progress is higher than the child's <b>rate</b> of progress prior to a change in instruction	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
	The teacher uses data to examine differences in rates of progress relative to major changes in instruction (organizes it and interprets the change that does or does not occur relative to changes in instruction)	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
	Teacher continues to periodically monitor child's progress on the skill or behavior targeted for individualization even after first signs of improved progress	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
	The teacher involves the family in helping to collect assessment information (mayberestrict to areas of individualization?—though I think not)	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
.0	The teacher plans and collects assessment data (that is, documentation of child behavior/performance) in an efficient manner so that s/he minimizes time away from interacting with children	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
.1	The documentation includes some description of errors, misconceptions, or early steps towards a learning goal	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4
.2	The teacher examines the data in different ways (by child across domains, by domain or learning objectives across subgroups and children) to understand the child's performance in different ways	ı 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗌

ALL (ALMOST (SOMETIMES) (FREQUENTLY (ALMOST A EVIDER)  The teacher is able to articulate both the strengths and weaknesses of individual children  The teacher can support inferences about strengths and weaknesses with evidence from the assessment data  Documentation is objective, describing actual behaviors rather than subjective comments  The teacher clearly communicates both strengths and challenges of the child with the family, providing evidence for each of these  The teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data across multiple domains*  *For item 17, rate 4, if evidence of family involvement is found in multiple domains and the teacher inviles family's interpretation of data to family (family as recipient of info); and (1), not at all [information of the interpretion or there is no communication with family].  This supplemental set of ratings was piloted only in the final pretest classrooms. Additional pretesting is recommended before integrating it into the EDIT ratings and rubrics.  An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of				1	ONE PER ROW	Г
strengths and weaknesses of individual children  The teacher can support inferences about strengths and weaknesses with evidence from the assessment data  Documentation is objective, describing actual behaviors rather than subjective comments  The teacher clearly communicates both strengths and challenges of the child with the family, providing evidence for each of these  The teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data across multiple domains and the teacher invites family's interpretation documentation; 3, if at least one domain or objective involves family as partner [rather than recipient of info]: 2, if teacher pinterpretation of data to family family as recipient of info]; and (1), not at all [information for families does not provide understanding the data data across multiple domains and the teacher invites family's interpretation of the communication of data to family family as recipient of info]; and (1), not at all [information for families does not provide understanding the data detaexplain.—what led to the interpretation; or there is no communication with family].  This supplemental set of ratings was piloted only in the final pretest classrooms. Additional pretesting is recommended before integrating it into the EDIT ratings and rubrics.			(ALMOST	(SOMETIMES	(FREQUENTLY	EXTREMELY CHARACTERISTIC (ALMOST ALWAYS EVIDENT)
strengths and weaknesses with evidence from the assessment data  Documentation is objective, describing actual behaviors rather than subjective comments  The teacher clearly communicates both strengths and challenges of the child with the family, providing evidence for each of these  The teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data across multiple domains*  \$^\$\text{For item 17}\$, rate 4, if evidence of family involvement is found in multiple domains and the teacher invites family's interpretatiod documentation; 3, if at least one domain or objective involves family as partner [rather than recipient of info]: 2, if teacher printerpretation of data to family [family as recipient of info]; and (1), not at all [information for families does not provide—understand data explain.—what led to the interpretation; or there is no communication with family].  This supplemental set of ratings was piloted only in the final pretest classrooms. Additional pretesting is recommended before integrating it into the EDIT ratings and rubrics.  An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of	stren	igths and weaknesses of individual	1 🗆	2	3 🗆	4 🔲
actual behaviors rather than subjective comments  1	stren	igths and weaknesses with evidence	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🔲
strengths and challenges of the child with the family, providing evidence for each of these  The teacher involves the family in interpreting and understanding the data across multiple domains  and the teacher invites family's interpretation documentation; 3, if at least one domain or objective involves family as partner [rather than recipient of info]: 2, if teacher printerpretation of data to family [family as recipient of info]; and (1), not at all [information for families does not provide understand dataexplain.—what led to the interpretation; or there is no communication with family].  This supplemental set of ratings was piloted only in the final pretest classrooms. Additional pretesting is recommended before integrating it into the EDIT ratings and rubrics.  An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of	actua	al behaviors rather than subjective	1 🗆	2	3 🗆	4 🔲
interpreting and understanding the data across multiple domains and the teacher invites family's interpretation of the total to family [family as recipient of info]; and (1), not at all [information for families does not provide understand dataexplain — what led to the interpretation; or there is no communication with family].  This supplemental set of ratings was piloted only in the final pretest classrooms. Additional pretesting is recommended before integrating it into the EDIT ratings and rubrics.  An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of	stren the fa	igths and challenges of the child with amily, providing evidence for each of	1 🗆	2	з 🗆	4 🗆
documentation; 3, if at least one domain or objective involves family as partner [rather than recipient of info]: 2, if teacher printerpretation of data to family [family as recipient of info]; and (1), not at all [information for families does not provide understandataexplain—what led to the interpretation; or there is no communication with family].  This supplemental set of ratings was piloted only in the final pretest classrooms. Additional pretesting is recommended before integrating it into the EDIT ratings and rubrics.  An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of	inter	preting <del>and understanding</del> the data	1 🗆	2 🗆	з 🔲	4 🔲
	This					retesting is
information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for collection is $0970-0355$ and it expires $03/31/20152018$ .		on unless it displays a currently val	id OMB cont			