

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT
FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION
OMB Number: 1845-0113**

Table of Contents

A. Justification..... 1

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary..... 1

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used..... 2

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology;..... 2

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication..... 2

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden..... 3

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently..... 3

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:..... 3

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB..... 4

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification..... 4

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy..... 4

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private..... 5

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information..... 5

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information..... 7

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government..... 8

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments..... 9

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used..... 10

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate..... 10

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act..... 10

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.

Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a hard copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information, or you may provide a valid URL link or paste the applicable section¹. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, make note of the sections or changed sections, if applicable.

The Higher Education Opportunity Act, Pub. L. 110-315, added provisions to the Higher Education Act, as amended (HEA) in sections 760 and 766 that enable eligible students with intellectual disabilities to receive Federal Pell Grant (Pell), Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant (FSEOG), and Federal Work Study (FWS) funds if they are enrolled in an approved program.

Beginning with the 2011-2012 award year, the data began being submitted electronically through a module in the eCampus-Based system at <http://cbfisap.ed.gov>.

The program is continuing to grow with 34 institutions currently participating. Additional institutions have filed applications.

The data collected is used by the Department of Education (the Department) to monitor program effectiveness and accountability of fund expenditures. The data is used in conjunction with institutional program reviews to assess the administrative capability and compliance of the applicant. There are no other resources for collecting this data. Sections of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, that govern these programs can be found at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/library.html>.

The legislative authority governing the application for the distribution of these funds is:

- Pell Grant funds is 20 U.S.C. 1070a (34 CFR 690.12);*
- FWS funds it is 42 U.S.C. 2752 (34 CFR 673.3);*
- FSEOG funds it is 20 U.S.C. 107b-3 (34 CFR 673.3).*

¹ Please limit pasted text to no longer than 3 paragraphs.

The legislative authority governing the annual report on the uses made of funds provided under the previous sections is (20 U.S. C. 1094) enacted October 3, 1980, P.L. 96-374, sec. 451(a), 94 Stat. 1451; amended April 7, 1986, P.L. 99-272, sec. 16034, 100 Stat.356; amended October 17, 1986, P.L. 99-498, sec. 487(a), 100 Stat. 1486.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used.

Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The data reported reflects the relative institutional extent of participation in the program, the number of students enrolled in the program at each institution and the number of students receiving funds from each of the Pell, FSEOG and FWS programs. The data is used to assess the program effectiveness and accountability of fund expenditures under the previously cited authority. In addition, the data will be used in conjunction with institutional program reviews to help assess the administrative capability of the applicant and enforce compliance.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology;

e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden.

Beginning with the 2011-2012 award year, the data began being submitted electronically through a module in the eCampus-Based system at <http://cbfisap.ed.gov>.

The first year of data collection occurred for the 2010-2011 award year with expenditure reports due September 30, 2011. There were only seven institutions participating with approved programs so an OMB-approved form was not required. In order to collect the data in the short time allotted, the form was distributed to the participating institutions and hardcopy reports were returned to the Department by the deadline date. The number of applications increased to 10 participating institutions in 2011-2012 award year, to 16 in the 2012-2013 award year. There are currently 34 institutions participating. Additional institutions have filed applications. The higher number of participants required an OMB-approved data collection. Having added a Comprehensive Transition Program for Students with Intellectual Disabilities (CTP-Intellectual Disabilities) module to the already stable and existing eCampus-Based System allowed the Department to lessen the burden to both institutions and the Federal Government.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication.

Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

There is no duplication of data. The data is not collected in any other system or format.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

The collection of this information does not involve small businesses or other small entities.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently.

Also describe any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

If the collection were done less frequently, reporting requirements for the specific programs would not be met.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- *requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;*
- *requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;*
- *requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;*
- *requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;*
- *in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;*
- *requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;*

- *that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or*
- *requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.*

There are no special circumstances inconsistent with these guidelines.

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB.

Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

The data is requested from participating institutions with approved programs. Sixty-day and 30-day Federal Register Notices are published to allow public comment. There were no comments on the 60-day filing. This filing is part of the 30 day comment period.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

There are no payments or gifts to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.² If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data.

The Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

This collection does not include information of a sensitive nature.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information.

The statement should:

- *Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector – businesses or other for-profit, private sector – not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. All narrative should be included in item 12. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for*

² Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.

- **If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in the ROCIS IC Burden Analysis Table. (The table should at minimum include Respondent types, IC activity, Respondent and Responses, Hours/Response, and Total Hours)**
- **Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.**

There are a total of 34 respondents. The Office of Postsecondary Education has done everything possible to reduce the burden.

Est. No. of Respondents		Est. Avg. Person Hours per Respondent - Reporting	Est. Avg. Person Hours per Respondent - Record Keeping	Total Hours
Public Institutions	25	1	1	50
Proprietary & Private Institutions	9	1	1	18
Total	34	1	1	68
		Average Salary of Respondents		Total Salary Cost of all Respondents
		\$26.90 per hour		\$1,829 (Total hours x Salary)

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information.

(Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)

- *The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.*
- *If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.*
- *Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12*

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost : \$0

Total Annual Costs (O&M) : \$0

Total Annualized Costs Requested : \$0

The nature of business for the participating institutions is such that purchases of equipment and provision of services that are required for completion of their expenditure submissions are a part of their customary and usual business practice. They are the type of equipment and services normally necessary to successfully operate any institution of higher education. Therefore, no special equipment or services are required for providing data.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.

Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

The matrix below describes the separate costs for CTP-Intellectual Disabilities.

CTP-Intellectual Disabilities Publication and Distribution Costs	
CTP-Intellectual Disabilities is incorporated into the eCampus-Based System web operations. There are no separate operations and maintenance or publication and distribution costs.	
Total	\$0
CTP-Intellectual Disabilities Operations & Maintenance Contract Costs	
<p>Collabralink 8405 Greensboro Drive Suite 1200 Falls Church, VA 22102</p> <p>The current annual O&M costs for the eCB system include the FISAP and Reallocation forms¹ as well as the TCLI Directory², Work Colleges Application and Expenditure forms³, and the CTP-Intellectual disabilities form⁴.</p> <p>¹The FISAP and Reallocation Form is a separate data collection with OMB control number 1845-0030 ²The TCLI Directory is a separate data collection with OMB control number 1845-0077. ³The number of schools participating in the Work Colleges program is static at 7 participants. There is no OMB control number for this data collection. ⁴The CTP form is a separate data collection with OMB control number 1845-0113.</p> <p>Note: Annual O&M Contract cost - \$1,473,339 = monthly cost \$122,778 allotted as: FISAP (8 months) = \$982,226 Reallocation (1 month) = \$122,778 TCLI Directory (1 month) = \$122,778 Work Colleges (1 month) = \$122,778 CTP-Intellectual Disabilities (1 month) = \$122,778</p>	
Total CTP-Intellectual Disabilities O&M Costs (1 month)	\$122,778.26

CTP-Intellectual Disabilities Staffing Operations and Overhead Costs	
A staff of 8.5 FTE's is required for the operation of the campus-based programs and the eCB system. This includes the FISAP and Reallocation forms as well as the TCLI Directory, Work Colleges Application and Expenditure forms, and the CTP-Intellectual disabilities form as footnoted above. The types of program staff include managers, accountants, financial management specialists, technicians, systems analysts, and clerical staff. The total amount of annual salaries devoted to eCampus-Based activities is \$1,354,102.	
Using the Salary Table 2014-DCB Effective January 2014, the average hourly wage of the current staff composition is \$51.02.	
Note:	
The total amount of annual salaries devoted eCB activities is divided as:	
Reallocation (3 staff @ 120 hrs ea x \$46.94) = \$18,366.92	
TCLI Directory (1 staff @ 80 hrs x \$46.94) = \$4,081.54	
Work Colleges (1 staff @ 160 hrs x \$46.94) = \$8,163.08	
CTP-Intellectual Disabilities (1 staff @ 160 hrs x \$46.94) = \$8,163.08	
FISAP (Total salaries less Reallocation, TCLI Directory, Work Colleges, CTP-Intellectual Disabilities) = \$1,402,249.38	
CTP-Intellectual Disabilities Yearly Staff Costs	\$8,163.08
CTP-Intellectual Disabilities Yearly Overhead Costs	
A standard budget estimate of 16% was used to determine the overhead costs of staff operations including such items as equipment, utilities, work materials, etc. (\$8,163.08 x 16% = \$1,201.73)	
Yearly Overhead Costs	\$1,306.09
Total Staff and Overhead Costs to the Federal Government	\$9,469.17

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments.

Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

This is a request for an extension of the current information collection. There have been no changes in the underlying regulations. The increase in burden is due to the expansion of the number of participating

institutions. The annual reporting and record keeping hour burden has not changed from the current OMB inventory of 2 hours per respondent.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used.

Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

This information collected in CTP-Intellectual Disabilities is not collected for statistical publication.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

The Department is not seeking this approval.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

There are no exceptions to the certification statement.