

FERC-516B (OMB Control No.: TBD)
as implemented by the Proposed Revisions in Docket No. RM16-1-000
RIN: 1902-AF15
(updated 3/24/2016)

Supporting Statement for
**FERC-516B, Electric Rates Schedules and Tariff Filings,
in the proposed revision in RM16-1**

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) requests that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) review the information collection requirements in the Proposed Revisions of information collection under Dockets RM16-1-000 and contained within the FERC-516B information collection.

NOTE: The FERC-516B is a temporary information collection number, to facilitate a timely submission to OMB of the proposed revisions in RM16-1. These changes related to Docket RM16-1 (described here) would normally be included in FERC-516 (OMB Control No. 1902-0096), however an unrelated Final Rule (in Docket No. RM15-2; ICR No. 201511-1902-005¹) was pending OMB review when this ICR (201601-1902-002) on RM16-3 was submitted to OMB on 1/7/2016. (Only one item per OMB Control No. may be pending OMB review at a time.) Long-term, we plan to move the requirements and related burden in Docket RM16-1 to FERC-516.

**1. CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAKE THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION
NECESSARY**

Transmission providers require reactive power to control system voltage for efficient and reliable operation of an alternating current transmission system. At times, transmission providers need generators to either supply or consume reactive power. Starting with Order No. 888, which included provisions regarding reactive power from generators as an ancillary service in Schedule 2 of the *pro forma* Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), the Commission issued a series of orders intended to ensure that sufficient reactive power is available to maintain the reliability of the electric grid.

Starting with Order No. 2003, the Commission adopted standard procedures and a standard agreement for the interconnection of large generation facilities, the *pro forma* Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (LGIA), which included the reactive power requirement. The Commission recognized in Order No. 2003-A that the *pro forma* LGIA was “designed around the needs of large synchronous generators and that generators relying on newer technologies may find that either a specific requirement is inapplicable or that it calls for a slightly different approach” because such generators “may have unique electrical characteristics.” Therefore, the Commission exempted wind generators from the reactive power requirement and added a blank

¹ ICR 201511-1902-005 (related to Docket RM15-2) was submitted to OMB on 12/1/2015 and concluded by OMB on 2/18/2016.

FERC-516B (OMB Control No.: TBD)
as implemented by the Proposed Revisions in Docket No. RM16-1-000
RIN: 1902-AF15
(updated 3/24/2016)

Appendix G to the *pro forma* LGIA as a placeholder for future interconnection requirements for newer technologies.

In June 2005, the Commission issued Order No. 661, establishing interconnection requirements in Appendix G to the *pro forma* LGIA for large wind generators. Recognizing that, unlike traditional synchronous generators, wind generators had to “install costly equipment” in order to maintain reactive power capability, the Commission in Order No. 661 preserved the exemption for large wind generators from the reactive power requirement unless the transmission provider shows, through a System Impact Study, that reactive power capability is required to ensure safety or reliability. The Commission explained that this qualified exemption from the reactive power requirement for large wind generators would provide certainty to the industry and “remove unnecessary obstacles to the increased growth of wind generation.”

In May 2005, the Commission issued Order No. 2006, in which it adopted standard procedures and a standard agreement for the interconnection of small generation facilities, the *pro forma* Small Generator Interconnection Agreement (SGIA). In Order No. 2006, the Commission completely exempted small wind generators from the reactive power requirement. The Commission reasoned that, similar to large wind generators, small wind generators would face increased costs to provide reactive power that could create an obstacle to the development of small wind generators. Additionally, the Commission reasoned that small wind generators would “have minimal impact on the Transmission Provider’s electric system” and therefore the reliability requirements for large wind generators that were eventually imposed in Order No. 661 were not needed for small wind generators.

2. HOW, BY WHOM, AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE THE INFORMATION IS TO BE USED AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT COLLECTING THE INFORMATION

The reforms proposed in this Proposal would amend the Commission’s standard generator interconnection agreements in accordance with section 35.28(f)(1) of the Commission’s regulations² to require that each public utility transmission provider amend its *pro forma* LGIA and *pro forma* SGIA to: (1) eliminate the exemptions for wind generators from the requirement to provide reactive power; and (2) require that all newly interconnecting non-synchronous generators, as well as all existing non-synchronous generators making upgrades to their generation facilities that require new interconnection requests, provide reactive power as a condition of interconnection, as of the effective date of the final revision. The reforms proposed are necessary to ensure that rates are just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential. The Proposal would require filings of *pro forma* LGIAs and *pro forma* SGIAs with the Commission. The Commission anticipates the reforms proposed in this Proposal, once implemented, would not significantly change currently existing burdens on an ongoing basis.

² 18 CFR 35.28(f)(1).

FERC-516B (OMB Control No.: TBD)
as implemented by the Proposed Revisions in Docket No. RM16-1-000
RIN: 1902-AF15
(updated 3/24/2016)

With regard to those public utility transmission providers that believe that they already comply with the reforms proposed in this Proposal, they could demonstrate their compliance in the filing required 90 days after the effective date of the final revision in this proceeding.

3. DESCRIBE ANY CONSIDERATION OF THE USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE THE BURDEN AND TECHNICAL OR LEGAL OBSTACLES TO REDUCING BURDEN

There is no specific use of information technology associated with these revisions. Commission staff expects to receive all filings via eTariff. FERC implemented its eTariff system (fully implemented in 2010) for the electronic filing of tariffs.

4. DESCRIBE EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION AND SHOW SPECIFICALLY WHY ANY SIMILAR INFORMATION ALREADY AVAILABLE CANNOT BE USED OR MODIFIED FOR USE FOR THE PURPOSE(S) DESCRIBED IN INSTRUCTION NO. 2

The Commission periodically reviews filing requirements concurrent with OMB review or as the Commission deems necessary to eliminate duplicative filing and to minimize the filing burden. This proposed revision is related to a Commission jurisdictional tariff. Therefore, the Commission would be the only entity requiring and collecting this information.

5. METHODS USED TO MINIMIZE THE BURDEN IN COLLECTION OF INFORMATION INVOLVING SMALL ENTITIES

FERC estimates that there are 11³ small entities applicable to this rule. FERC considers the impact of the rule to be very minimal, since the burden associated with this rule is only 7.5 hours per response. Commission staff has found no apparent way to minimize this burden for respondents.

6. CONSEQUENCE TO FEDERAL PROGRAM IF COLLECTION WERE CONDUCTED LESS FREQUENTLY

This collection cannot occur less frequently since it is a one-time filing to implement tariff changes. In a sense, to conduct these filings less frequently (i.e. not conducting them at all), the Commission would be unable to ensure that rates are just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential.

³ 8.33% of affected entities

FERC-516B (OMB Control No.: TBD)
as implemented by the Proposed Revisions in Docket No. RM16-1-000
RIN: 1902-AF15
(updated 3/24/2016)

7. EXPLAIN ANY SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES RELATING TO THE INFORMATION COLLECTION

The FERC-516 presents no special circumstances.

8. DESCRIBE EFFORTS TO CONSULT OUTSIDE THE AGENCY: SUMMARIZE PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE AGENCY'S RESPONSE

Each FERC activity that results in the revision of an information collection is published in the Federal Register thereby providing public utilities and licensees, state commissions, Federal agencies, and other interested parties an opportunity to submit data, views, comments or suggestions concerning the approved collections of data. The proposed rule was published in the Federal Register on 11/25/2015 (80 FR 73683).

9. EXPLAIN ANY PAYMENT OR GIFTS TO RESPONDENTS

There are no gifts or payments given to the respondents.

10. DESCRIBE ANY ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY PROVIDED TO RESPONDENTS

The data involved and affected by the RM16-1-000 proposed revisions is public. In general, for all submittals to the Commission, filers may submit specific requests for confidential treatment to the extent permitted by law; details are available in 18 C.F.R. Section 388.112.

11. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY QUESTIONS OF A SENSITIVE NATURE, SUCH AS SEXUAL BEHAVIOR AND ATTITUDES, RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, AND OTHER MATTERS THAT ARE COMMONLY CONSIDERED PRIVATE.

This collection does not include any questions of a sensitive nature.

12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

The estimated public reporting burden due to these proposed revisions in Docket RM16-1-000 follows.

FERC-516B (OMB Control No.: TBD)
as implemented by the Proposed Revisions in Docket No. RM16-1-000
RIN: 1902-AF15
(updated 3/24/2016)

Proposed Revisions in Docket RM16-1-000 (FERC-516B⁴)					
	Number of Applicable Registered Entities (1)	Number of Responses per Respondent (2)	Total Number of Responses (1)*(2)=(3)	Average Burden Hours & Cost Per Response (4)	Total Burden Hours & Total Annual Cost (3)*(4)=(5)
Conforming LGIA changes to incorporate proposed revisions	132	1	132	7.5 hours \$540	990 hours \$71,280
Conforming SGIA changes to incorporate proposed revisions	132	1	132	7.5 hours \$540	990 hours \$71,280
TOTAL			264	15 hours \$1,080	1,980 hours \$142,560

13. ESTIMATE OF THE TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

There are no start-up or other non-labor costs.

Total Capital and Start-up cost: \$0

Total Operation, Maintenance, and Purchase of Services: \$0

All of the costs in the proposed rule are associated with burden hours (labor) and described in Questions #12 and #15 in this supporting statement.

14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The estimated annualized cost to the Federal Government for FERC-516B as related to the requirements in the proposed revisions in RM16-1-000.

FERC-516B	Number of Employees (FTEs)	Estimated Annual Federal Cost
FERC-516B Analysis and Processing of filings	0.5	\$74,745
Paperwork Reduction Act Administrative Cost ⁵		\$5,193

4 The FERC-516B is a temporary information collection number. It was created to facilitate a quicker submission to OMB. The FERC-516 is currently occupied by the submission (for an unrelated Final Rule) contained in the ICR Reference Number 201511-1902-005 submitted on 12/1/2015.

5 The PRA Administrative Cost is a Federal Cost associated with preparing, issuing, and submitting materials

FERC-516B (OMB Control No.: TBD)
as implemented by the Proposed Revisions in Docket No. RM16-1-000
RIN: 1902-AF15
(updated 3/24/2016)

TOTAL		\$79,938
-------	--	----------

15. REASONS FOR CHANGES IN BURDEN INCLUDING THE NEED FOR ANY INCREASE

The proposed revisions in RM16-1-000 would require filings of *pro forma* LGIAs and *pro forma* SGIAs with the Commission. Commission staff anticipates the reforms proposed here, once implemented, would not significantly change currently existing burdens on an ongoing basis.

As mentioned before, the FERC-516B is a temporary information collection number. It was created to facilitate a quicker submission to OMB. The FERC-516 is currently occupied by the submission contained in the ICR Reference Number 201511-1902-005 submitted on 12/1/2015.

The table below represents the additional, one-time burden being added due to the proposed revisions RM16-1-000 within the FERC-516B temporary information collection number:

FERC-516B	Total Request	Previously Approved	Change due to Adjustment in Estimate	Change Due to Agency Discretion
Annual Number of Responses	264	0	0	264
Annual Time Burden (Hr)	1,980	0	0	1,980
Annual Cost Burden (\$)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

16. TIME SCHEDULE FOR PUBLICATION OF DATA

There are no tabulating, statistical or tabulating analysis or publication plans for the collection of information.

17. DISPLAY OF EXPIRATION DATE

The expiration dates are displayed in a table posted on ferc.gov at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/info-collections.asp>.

necessary to comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) for rulemakings, orders, or any other vehicle used to create, modify, extend, or discontinue an information collection. This average annual cost includes requests for extensions, all associated rulemakings (not just these proposed revisions), and other changes to the collection.

FERC-516B (OMB Control No.: TBD)
as implemented by the Proposed Revisions in Docket No. RM16-1-000
RIN: 1902-AF15
(updated 3/24/2016)

18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

The Commission does not use the data collected for this reporting requirement for statistical purposes.