

Document Title	Document Type	Document Detail
----------------	---------------	-----------------

Comment Submitted by Dinesh Kumar	PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS	http://www.regulations.gov/
--------------------------------------	-----------------------	---

Comment Submitted by Austen Brandford, Family Equality Council	PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS	http://www.regulations.gov/
---	-----------------------	---

Comment Submitted by Andy Thakar	PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS	http://www.regulations.gov/
-------------------------------------	-----------------------	---

Comment Submitted by Praveen Shukla	PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS	http://www.regulations.gov/
--	-----------------------	---

Comment

USCIS Response

I-140 extension should be allowed without change of a currently approved collection

This comment appears to support the extension of the currently approved collection without changes; thank you for your comment.

pdf letter regarding I-140 extension; requests changes to the instructions and gives suggestions on gender neutral terms to use instead

USCIS will consider the requested changes future revisions.

I-140 should be extensible (portable). Today highly skilled immigrants are suffering (and are not able to innovate) because of USCIS constraint of Non-Portability of I-140. People from different parts of worlds (ROW) gets Green Card within 1-year, but the Young dynamic educated technocrats from INDIA are made to stand in line for 1-2 decades for a green card.

This comment is outside the scope of the extension of this Form I-140 information collection. This is an extension of the currently approved information collection on Form I-140. In other words, the Form I-140 is not changing from the currently approved version.

Without I-140 extension (Portability) it's loss to everyone (Candidate, Employer and Country). So I am supporting I-140 extension.

I support I-140 to be employee specific and allow for its extension so employees can change jobs.

This comment is outside the scope of the extension of this Form I-140 information collection. The statutory framework established by Congress requires the filing of petitions by employers "desiring and intending to employ within the United States an alien entitled to classification under INA section 203(b)(1)(B), 203(b)(1)(C), 203(b)(2), or 203(b)(3). See INA section 204(a)(1)(F).