# 0910-0584 SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Terms of Clearance: None.

#### A. Justification

## 1. Circumstances Making the Collection of Information Necessary

FDA issued an order classifying the H5 (Asian lineage) diagnostic device into class II on February 3, 2006, establishing the special controls necessary to provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of that device and similar future devices. In accordance with section 360c(f)(2)(C) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, FDA codified this classification at 21 CFR 866.3332. The regulation refers to the special control guidance document, "Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Reagents for Detection of Specific Novel Influenza A Virus" (http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocument s/ucm078583.htm), which provides recommendations for measures to help provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness for Novel Influenza A Reagents, including the information collection described above.

This guidance refers to previously approved collections of information found in FDA regulations. These collections of information are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520). The collections of information in 21 CFR part 820 have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0073; the collections of information in 21 CFR 801 have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0485; and the collections of information in 21 CFR part 807 subpart E have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0120.

#### 2. Purpose and Use of the Information Collection

This guidance document recommends that sponsors obtain and analyze data postmarket to ensure the continued reliability of their device in detecting the specific novel influenza A virus that it is intended to detect, particularly given the propensity for influenza viruses to mutate and the potential for changes in disease prevalence over time. As updated sequences for novel influenza A viruses become available (from WHO, NIH, and other public health entities), sponsors of reagents for detection of specific novel influenza A viruses (in vitro diagnostic (IVD) manufacturers or federal agencies that develop and evaluate novel influenza tests) will collect this information, will compare them with the primer/probe sequences in their devices (laboratory testing as needed) and incorporate the result of these analyses into their Quality Management System, as required by 21 CFR 820.100(a)(1) Corrective and Preventive Action. Further, these analyses will be

evaluated against the device design validation and risk analysis required by 21 CFR 820.30(g), Design Validation, to determine if any design changes may be necessary. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?CFRPart=820

If there is a change in the prevalence of influenza caused by the specific novel influenza A virus that the sponsor's device is intended to detect, compared to the prevalence existing when the premarket clinical studies were conducted, the sponsor will collect data on the clinical performance of their device under the new prevalence conditions. Changes in prevalence may be obtained from national surveillance reports. The prevalence of infection with the specific novel influenza virus their device is intended to detect may change significantly with time, possibly affecting their device performance. The labeling of their device may need to be revised to reflect the new clinical performance data.

## 3. <u>Use of Improved Information Technology and Burden Reduction</u>

Companies are free to use whatever forms of information technology may best assist them in utilizing this guidance document. FDA estimates that 95% of the respondents will use electronic means to fulfill the agency's requirement or request.

## 4. Efforts to Identify Duplication and Use of Similar Information

As this is a guidance document, the firm need only show that its device meets the recommendations of the guidance or in some other way provides equivalent assurance of safety and effectiveness. There should be no duplicative information collection as a result of this guidance.

## 5. Impact on Small Businesses or Other Small Entities

This guidance document offers clinical investigators and sponsors (including small businesses) the possibility of using updated influenza viral sequences available through public health and research organizations such as WHO and NIH and incorporating this information into a process already in place, i.e., the Quality Management System. In vitro diagnostic manufacturers are expected to have a mechanism in place to monitor the performance of their devices to ensure that the device continues to meet its performance specifications over time. This guidance's recommendation for collecting postmarket data under new influenza prevalence conditions is a preventive action taken because of anticipated device failure under new conditions of use. Short forms are not applicable. FDA estimates that 95% of respondents are businesses.

FDA aids small business and manufacturers to comply with applicable statutes and regulations by providing guidance and information through the Division of Small Manufacturers, International, and Consumers Assistance (DSMICA). DISMICA provides workshops, on-site evaluations and other technical and nonfinancial assistance to small manufacturers. The Division also maintains a toll-free 800 telephone number and a website which firms may use to obtain regulatory compliance information.

## 6. Consequences of Collecting the Information Less Frequently

This guidance does not set a defined schedule for information collection. FDA expects the sponsor to compare the viral sequence updates with their device primer and probe sequences and incorporate the result of these analysis into their Quality Management System semi-annually (immediately before and immediately after the northern hemisphere influenza season). However, FDA cannot predict when the prevalence of influenza caused by the specific novel influenza A virus will change (as compared to the prevalence existing when the clinical evaluations described in the device premarket submission were conducted), which should lead the sponsor to collect data on the clinical performance of the device under the new prevalence conditions. There are no legal obstacles to reduce the burden.

# 7. Special Circumstances Relating to the Guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5

There are no special circumstances associated with this information collection.

8. Comments in Response to the Federal Register Notice and Efforts to Consult Outside the Agency

In accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d), FDA published a 60-day notice for public comment in the Federal Register of October 19, 2015 (80 FR 63230). No comments were received.

# 9. Explanation of Any Payment or Gift to Respondents

No payment or gifts will be provided to respondents to this information collection.

# 10. Assurance of Confidentiality Provided to Respondents

This information collection will be conducted by sponsors following FDA regulations for human subject protection. Data will be kept private to the fullest extent allowed by law.

## 11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

This information collection does not include any questions that are of a sensitive nature, such as, sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

## 12. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs

#### 12 a. Annualized Hour Burden Estimate

The requirements of this guidance impose a minimal burden on industry. FDA estimates that 10 respondents will be affected annually. Each respondent will collect this information twice per year; each response is estimated to take 15 hours. This results in a total data collection burden of 300 hours.

FDA estimates the burden of the collection of information described as follows:

Table 1Estimated Annual Recordkeeping Burden						
FD&C Act	No. of	No. of	Total Annual	Average	Total Hours	
Section	Recordkeeper	Records per	Records	Burden per		
	S	Recordkeepe		Recordkeepin		
		r		g		
513(g)	10	2	20	15	300	

#### 12b. Annualized Cost Burden Estimate

FDA estimates that cost associated with the information collection, including developing standard operating procedures and recordkeeping for each data collection, is \$510 (15 hours of work at \$34/Hr.). This results in a total cost to industry of \$10,200 (\$510 multiplied by 10 respondents times 2 records per recordkeeper).

Type of	Total Burden	Hourly Wage Rate*	Total Respondent
Respondent	Hours		Costs
Scientist	15	\$34	\$510

<sup>\*</sup>Approximate hourly wage rate is based on the Bureau of Labor and Statistics May 2014 National Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates for life, physical, and social science occupations (http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes\_nat.htm#19-0000).

# 13. <u>Estimates of Other Total Annual Costs to Respondents and/or Recordkeepers/Capital</u> Costs

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

#### 14. Annualized Cost to the Federal Government

Because the burden includes recordkeeping only, there are no annualized costs to the Federal Government as a result of the guidance.

# 15. Explanation for Program Changes or Adjustments

There are no program changes or adjustments to the information collection burden.

## 16. Plans for Tabulation and Publication and Project Time Schedule

The agency has no plans for publication of information from this information collection.

#### 17. Reason(s) Display of OMB Expiration Date is Inappropriate

FDA is not seeking exemption from displaying the expiration date of OMB approval.

## 18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

There are no exceptions to the certification.