

Instructions for this form are available at: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/forms/instr/57_103-TOI.pdf</u>

| Page 1 of 8 | |
|---|---|
| *required for saving | Tracking #: |
| Facility ID: | *Survey Year: |
| Facility Characteristics (completed by Infection Preve | entionist) |
| *Ownership (check one): | |
| \Box For profit \Box Not for profit, including church | □ Government |
| ☐ Military ☐ Veterans Affairs | Physician owned |
| | |
| If facility is a Hospital: | |
| *Number of patient days: | |
| *Number of admissions: | |
| | |
| For any Hospital: *Is your hospital a teaching hospital for physicians and/or | physicians-in-training? Yes No |
| If Yes, what type: Major | |
| | |
| *Number of beds set up and staffed in the following locati | ion types (as defined by NHSN): |
| a. ICU (including adult, pediatric, and neonatal le | |
| b. All other inpatient locations: | |
| | |
| Facility Microbiology Laboratory Practices (complete | d with input from Microbiology Laboratory Lead) |
| *1. Does your facility have its own on-site laboratory that | performs antimicrobial susceptibility testing? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No | |
| If No, where is your facility's antimicrobial susceptibility | y testing performed? (check one) |
| Affiliated medical center Commercial refe | orral laboratory Other local/regional, non-affiliated |
| Affiliated medical center Commercial refe | reference laboratory |
| | |
| *2. Does the laboratory use CLSI (formerly NCCLS) antin | nicrobial susceptibility standards? |
| □ Yes □ No | |
| | the laboratory used during the prior calendar year (i.e., the |
| survey year): M100- S | |
| | |
| | |
| | Continued >> |
| | nce system that would permit identification of any individual or institution is |
| | used only for the purposes stated, and will not otherwise be disclosed or dance with Sections 304, 306 and 308(d) of the Public Health Service Act |
| (42 USC 242b, 242k, and 242m(d)). Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to a | average 50 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing |
| instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining | g the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of |
| information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is n currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this bu | ot required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a irden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including |
| suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC, Reports Clearance Office CDC 57.103 (Front) Rev. 8, Release 8.5 | r, 1600 Clifton Rd., MS D-74, Atlanta, GA 30333, ATTN: PRA (0920-0666). |



| Page 2 of 8 | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Facility Microbiology Laborate | ory Practices (continued) | | | |
| *3. For the following organisms please indicate which methods are used for: | | | | |
| (1) primary susceptibility testing and | | | | |
| (2) secondary, suppleme | ental, or confirmatory testing (if perfo | rmed). | | |
| | not perform susceptibility testing, plea | ase indicate the met | hods used at the outside | |
| laboratory. | | | | |
| - | odes listed below the table. | | | |
| Pathogen | (1) Primary (2) | Secondary | Comments | |
| Staphylococcus aureus | <u> </u> | | | |
| Enterococcus spp. | <u> </u> | | | |
| Enterobacteriaceae | <u> </u> | | | |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | | | | |
| Acinetobacter spp. | | | | |
| 1 = Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion | 5.1 = MicroScan walkaway rapid | 10 = E test | | |
| 2 = Vitek (Legacy) | 5.2 = MicroScan walkaway convention | al 12 = Vancomycir | agar screen (BHI + vancomycin) | |
| 2.1 = Vitek 2 | 5.3 = MicroScan auto or touchscan | 13 = Other (desc | ribe in Comments section) | |
| 3.1 = BD Phoenix | 6 = Other micro-broth dilution method | Other micro-broth dilution method | | |
| 4 = Sensititre | 7 = Agar dilution method | | | |
| *4. Has the laboratory implemented the revised cephalosporin and monobactam breakpoints for Enterobacteriaceae recommended by CLSI as of 2010? *5. Has the laboratory implemented the revised carbapenem breakpoints for Enterobacteriaceae recommended by CLSI as of 2010? Yes No | | | | |
| *6. Does the laboratory perform | a special test for presence of carbap | enemase? | Yes 🗌 No | |
| If Yes, please indicate what is done if carbapenemase production is detected: (check one) | | | | |
| □ Change susceptible | carbapenem results to resistant | | | |
| 🗌 Report carbapenem | MIC results without an interpretation | ı | | |
| □ No changes are ma infection control pur | de in the interpretation of carbapene | ms, the test is used | for epidemiological or | |
| | <pre>/ performed to detect carbapenemas</pre> | e: (check all that ap | ply) | |
| | ☐ MBL screen | | | |
| □ Modified Hodge Tes | t 🗌 Carba NP | | | |
| 🗆 E test | Other (specify): | | | |
| | | | Continued >> | |



| Page 3 of 8 | · · · | | • | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Facility Microbiology Labo | ratory Practices (co | ntinued) | | |
| *7. Does the laboratory perfo negative bacilli? | orm colistin or polymy | xin B susceptibility t | esting for drug-resistar | nt gram 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| If Yes, please indicate m | ethods: (check all tha | t apply) | | |
| 🗌 Vitek (Legacy) | 🗌 MicroScan walka | away rapid | \Box Agar dilution me | thod |
| □ Vitek 2 | 🗌 MicroScan walka | away conventional | 🗌 E test | |
| BD Phoenix | 🗌 MicroScan auto | or touchscan | \Box Other (specify): | |
| □ Sensititre | □ Other micro-bro | th dilution method | | |
| *8. Does your facility have its | s own laboratory that | performs antifungal | susceptibility testing fo | or Candida species? |
| If No, where is your facili | ty's antifungal suscep | tibility testing perfor | rmed? (check one) | |
| \Box Affiliated medical c | enter | | 🗌 Commercial referra | l laboratory |
| □ Other local/regiona | al, non-affiliated refer | ence laboratory | \Box Not offered by my f | acility |
| 9. If antifungal susceptibility t (check all that apply) | testing is performed a | t your facility or an o | outside laboratory, wha | at methods are used? |
| \Box Broth macrodilution | Broth microo | dilution \Box Yeas | tOne colorimetric micro | odilution 🛛 E test |
| ☐ Vitek 2 card | 🗌 Disk diffusio | n 🗌 Othe | r (specify): | |
| *10. Is antifungal susceptibili sterile body sites (such clinician? Yes No If Yes, what antifungal d | as blood), without nee | eding a specific orde | er or request for susce | |
| □ Fluconazole □ | Itraconazole | □ Voriconazole | Caspofungin | |
| 🗌 Micafungin 🗌 | Anidulafungin | ☐ Flucytosine | □ Other | |
| *11. What is the primary testi laboratory where your fa | | | | ratory or the outside |
| 🗆 Enzyme immunoassa | ay (EIA) for toxin | | | |
| Cell cytotoxicity neut | ralization assay | | | |
| Nucleic acid amplification | ation test (NAAT) (e.g | I., PCR, LAMP) | | |
| □ Glutamate dehydroge | enase (GDH) antigen | plus EIA for toxin (2 | 2-step algorithm) | |
| □ GDH plus NAAT (2-s | tep algorithm) | | | |
| \Box GDH plus EIA for tox | in, followed by NAAT | for discrepant resul | lts | |
| \Box Toxigenic culture (<i>C</i> . | difficile culture follow | ed by detection of to | oxins) | |
| Other (specify): ("Other" should not be difficile tests; most met your laboratory or cond | hods can be categori | zed accurately by se | electing from the option | ns provided. Please ask |



| Page 4 of 8 |
|--|
| Facility Microbiology Laboratory Practices (continued) |
| *12. Does your facility produce an antibiogram (i.e., cumulative antimicrobial susceptibility report)? |
| □ Yes □ No |
| If Yes, is the antibiogram produced at least annually? |
| □ Yes □ No |
| If Yes, are data stratified by hospital location? |
| Yes No |
| If No, please identify any obstacle(s) to producing an antibiogram. (Check all that apply) |
| \Box The laboratory data are difficult to access |
| \Box Limited or no information technology tool for data analysis |
| \Box Limited personnel time for data analysis |
| \Box Limited personnel skills for data analysis |
| \Box Limited interest in an antibiogram from staff who prescribe antibiotics |
| Our institution does not have enough isolates of any or most species (i.e., < 30 isolates per species) to produce an antibiogram |
| Other (please specify): |
| |
| Infection Control Practices (completed with input from Hospital Epidemiologist and/or Quality Improvement Coordinator) |
| *13. Number of infection preventionists (IPs) in facility: |
| a. Total hours per week performing surveillance: |
| b. Total hours per week for infection control activities other than surveillance: |
| *14. Does the facility routinely place patients infected or colonized with MRSA in contact precautions when these patients are admitted? (check one) |
| \Box Yes, all infected or colonized patients |
| \Box Yes, only all infected patients |
| Yes, only those with certain characteristics that make them high-risk for transmission (e.g., wounds, diarrhea, presence of an indwelling device) |
| \Box Yes, only those admitted to high-risk settings (e.g., ICU) |
| □ No |
| \Box Not applicable: my facility never admits these patients |
| Continued >> |



| Page 5 of 8 |
|--|
| Infection Control Practices (completed with input from Hospital Epidemiologist and/or Quality Improvement Coordinator) |
| *15. Does the facility routinely place patients infected or colonized with VRE in contact precautions when these patients are admitted? (check one) |
| \Box Yes, all infected or colonized patients |
| \Box Yes, only all infected patients |
| Yes, only those with certain characteristics that make them high-risk for transmission (e.g., wounds, diarrhea, presence of an indwelling device) |
| \Box Yes, only those admitted to high-risk settings (e.g., ICU) |
| |
| \square Not applicable: my facility never admits these patients |
| *16. Does the facility routinely place patients infected or colonized with CRE in contact precautions when these patients are admitted? (check one) |
| \Box Yes, all infected or colonized patients |
| \Box Yes, only all infected patients |
| Yes, only those with certain characteristics that make them high-risk for transmission (e.g., wounds, diarrhea, presence of an indwelling device) |
| \Box Yes, only those admitted to high-risk settings (e.g., ICU) |
| |
| \square Not applicable: my facility never admits these patients |
| *17. Does the facility routinely place patients infected or colonized with ESBL-producing or extended spectrum cephalosporin resistant Enterobacteriaceae in contact precautions when these patients are admitted? (check one) |
| \Box Yes, all infected or colonized patients |
| \Box Yes, only all infected patients |
| Yes, only those with certain characteristics that make them high-risk for transmission (e.g., wounds, diarrhea, presence of an indwelling device) |
| \Box Yes, only those admitted to high-risk settings (e.g., ICU) |
| □ No |
| \square Not applicable: my facility never admits these patients |
| *18. Does the facility routinely perform screening testing (culture or non-culture) for CRE? |
| □ Yes □ No |
| If Yes, in which situations does the facility routinely perform screening testing for CRE? (check all that apply) |
| Surveillance cultures at admission of all patients |
| Surveillance cultures of epidemiologically-linked patients of newly identified CRE patients (e.g., roommates) |
| Surveillance cultures at admission of high-risk patients (e.g., admitted from LTAC or LTCF) |
| Surveillance cultures at admission of patients admitted to high-risk settings (e.g. ICU) |
| Other (please specify): |
| Continued >> |



| Page 6 of 8 |
|---|
| Infection Control Practices (continued) |
| *19. Does the facility routinely perform screening testing (culture or non-culture) for MRSA? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| If yes, in which situations does the facility routinely perform screening testing for MRSA? (check all that apply) |
| \Box Surveillance cultures at admission of all patients |
| \Box Surveillance cultures at admission of high-risk patients (e.g., admitted from LTAC or LTCF) |
| \Box Surveillance cultures at admission of patients admitted to high-risk settings (e.g. ICU) |
| \Box Surveillance cultures of pre-operative patients to prevent surgical site infections |
| □ Other (please specify): |
| *20. Does the facility routinely use chlorhexidine bathing on any patient to prevent transmission of MDROs in your facility? (Note: this does not include the use of chlorhexidine in pre-operative patients to prevent surgical site infections) |
| □ Yes □ No |
| *21. Does the facility routinely use topical chlorhexidine <u>and</u> intranasal mupirocin on any patients to prevent transmission of MRSA in the facility? (Note: this does not include the use of these agents in pre-operative patients to prevent surgical site infections) |
| □ Yes □ No |
| *22. Among patients with an MDRO admitted to your facility from another healthcare facility, please estimate how often your facility receives information from the transferring facility about the patient's MDRO status? |
| \square More than half of the time |
| \square About half of the time |
| \Box Less than half of the time |
| \square None of the time |
| \Box Not applicable: my facility does not receive transferred patients with an MDRO |
| Antibiotic Stewardship Practices |
| (completed with input from Physician and Pharmacist Stewardship Champions) |
| *23. Does your facility have a written statement of support from leadership that supports efforts to improve antibiotic use (antibiotic stewardship)? |
| □ Yes □ No |
| *24. Is there a leader responsible for outcomes of stewardship activities at your facility? |
| If Yes, what is the position of this leader: (check one) |
| \Box Physician \Box Co-led by both Pharmacist and Physician |
| Pharmacist Other (please specify): |
| Continued >> |



| Page 7 of 8 |
|--|
| Antibiotic Stewardship Practices (continued) |
| *25. Is there at least one pharmacist responsible for improving antibiotic use at your facility? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| |
| *26. Does your facility provide any salary support for dedicated time for antibiotic stewardship activities? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| |
| *27. Does your facility have a policy that requires prescribers to document an indication for all antibiotics in the medical record or during order entry? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| If Yes, has adherence to the policy to document an indication been monitored? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| |
| *28. Does your facility have facility-specific treatment recommendations, based on national guidelines and local susceptibility, to assist with antibiotic selection for common clinical conditions? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| If Yes, has adherence to facility-specific treatment recommendations been monitored? |
| 🗆 Yes 🔲 No |
| |
| *29. Is there a formal procedure for all clinicians to review the appropriateness of all antibiotics at or after 48 hours from the initial orders (e.g. antibiotic time out)? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| |
| *30. Do any specified antibiotic agents need to be approved by a physician or pharmacist prior to dispensing at your facility? |
| □ Yes □ No |
| |
| *31. Does a physician or pharmacist review courses of therapy for specified antibiotic agents and communicate results with prescribers (i.e., audit with feedback) at your facility? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| |
| *32. Does your facility monitor antibiotic use (consumption) at the unit, service, and/or facility wide? |
| 🗆 Yes 🛛 No |
| If Yes, by which metrics? (Check all that apply) |
| Days of Therapy (DOT) |
| □ Defined Daily Dose (DDD) □ Other (please specify): |
| If Yes, are facility- and/or unit- or service-specific reports on antibiotic use shared with prescribers? |
| |
| Continued >> |



Page 8 of 8

| Antibiotic Stewardship Practices (continued) | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|--|
| | Do presci rescribing | ibers ever receive feedback by the stewardship program about how they can improve their antibiotic \mathfrak{g}^{2} | |
| C | ☐ Yes | | |
| | Has your se? | stewardship program provided education to clinicians and other relevant staff on improving antibiotic | |
| [| Yes | | |