## **Project Outcome Assessment Survey**

Comments?

THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 6 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information.

Identifying Information (Pre-Filled by Federal Staff)
Evaluator's Name (First), (Last):
Other ANA Staff/Contractors Present at the Site Visit:
Evaluation Region: [DROP DOWN] East, West, Pacific, Alaska State: [DROP DOWN] AK, AL, AR, AS, AZ,
CA, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, GU, HI, IA, ID, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MO, MP, MS, MT, NC,
ND, NE, NH, NJ, NM, NV, NY, OH, OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VA, VT, WA, WI, WV, WY
Date of Evaluation:
Grantee Information (Pre-Filled by Federal Staff)
Grantee Name:
Grant Number:
Updated Grantee Contact Info (if Grantee Contact Info is not Correct in GrantSolutions):
Geo Designation:
Number of Program Specialists during Project Period:
Grant Category: [DROP DOWN MENU] Social Development, Economic Development, Governance,
Strengthening Families, Environmental Regulatory Enhancement, Language Preservation, Esther
Martinez, AFI (partnership), ADD (partnership)
Grant Sub-Category:
Original Project Duration:
Total Federal Funding Amount:
Grant History (Pre-Filled by Federal Staff)
Received TA:
Yes o No o
Comments?
Desaived Symplements
Received Supplements:
Yes o No o

Received Carry	vovers:
Yes o	No o
Comments?	
Received an LO	Œ:
Yes o	No o
Comments?	
Received an N	CE:
Yes o	No o
Comments?	
Pre-Visit Infor	mation (Pre-Filled by Federal Staff)
Background In	formation/General Comments
1. Objective W	ork Plan (Pre-Filled by Federal Staff)
1.1 Objective 1	Relevant Year(s); o Yr1 o Yr2 o Yr3 o Yr4 o Yr5
Objective	
.,	
Results (Outpu	ts)/Benefits (Outcomes) Expected:
Criteria for Eva	luating Results and Benefits Expected:

Deliverables
--------------

Product	Documentation Reviewed/Comments	
	I.	
<b>6</b>		
Comments		
1.2 If you could share some best practices from your	project with other ANA grantees, what would they	
be?	project with other / w// grantees, what would they	
2. Results (Outputs) and Benefits (Outcomes)		
2.1 Is the Three-Year End of Project Target still achie	vable and realistic?	
If not, how would you revise?		
2.2 Your project was designed to serve commun	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
serve this population? (Pre-Filled by Federal Staff fro	m application and confirm on-site)	
3. Partnerships		
3.1 Identify the top three partners who contributed	the most to the success of the project	
Partnership:	the most to the success of the project.	
Contributions: [DROP DOWN MENU] Technical exper	rtise/capacity building; Education; Market./media	
svc; Training (for proj. staff/beneficiaries; Volunteer	services; Data collect./resch; Office/classroom	
space provision; Food/catering service; Mgmt or lega	al serv; Financial assistance/leveraging resources;	
Community outreach; Client referral; Other		
Comments		

### 4. Beneficiaries

(Evaluator: use this section to capture information collected during interaction with beneficiaries/community members/partners including indirectly. If necessary ask grantee to identify beneficiaries and discuss impact.)

Beneficiary
4.1 Do you expect that the results of this project will affect your and/or your family's life?  Yes o No o
If yes, please describe.
4.2 What did you learn about your community by participating in this project?  Yes o No o
4.3 Do you think your life will change or that of your family when this project ends?  Yes o No o
4.4 Did you expect to gain or achieve something by participating in the project that didn't materialize? If so, what would have made a difference?  Yes o No o
4.5 Has this project helped connect you and your family with traditional practices, cultural strengths, or spiritual practices that were helpful to you and/or your child? If yes, please describe. Yes o No o

5. Project Benefits

5.1 Did this project support native-owned business? Yes o

- 5.2 How many?
- 5.3 Of the Native-owned businesses the project supported, what were the top 3 businesses by dollar amount?
- 5.4 Income Generated by business:
- 5.4.1 Did this project generate income?
- 5.4.1 List the income generated by this project:
- 5.5 What project outcomes would you like to sustain after ANA funds end, and what is needed to sustain such outcomes?
- 5.6 What obstacles are foreseen to project sustainability over the next 5 years?
- 5.7 Did the services provided appear to have beneficial effects on the project participants?
- 5.8 Do you think the positive results for these individuals can be traced to the project itself? What other factors could have contributed?

#### 6. Project Development

- 6.1 How was the project developed? Please describe how the community was involved in the development process.
- 6.2 Was the project's target population involved during project planning prior to the grant award?
- 6.3 Is there anything you would have done differently in planning your project?
- 6.4 What marketing or outreach methods did you use to bring attention to your project? (Check any that apply.)
  - o Brochures and Pamphlets
  - o Email
  - o Festivals and Community Events
  - o Networking (with Other Tribes, Agencies, Organizations, Businesses)
  - o Newspaper Advertisements
  - o Newspaper Coverage
  - o Onsite Community Outreach (knock on doors)
  - o Phone Calls
  - o Posting Information in Public Spaces
  - o Radio (includes PSAs and other programming)
  - o Regular Mail
  - o Social Media (such as Facebook, Twitter, Youtube, etc.);
  - o Tribal/Community Meetings
  - o Tribal/Organizational Publications or Newsletters
  - o TV (includes PSAs and other programming)
  - o Website
  - o Word of Mouth
- 6.5 In terms of marketing/outreach, what would you have done differently?
- 6.6 Did any members of the community and/or general public express opposition to the project?

#### 7. Project Challenges

7.1 Did you have any challenges that affected your ability to implement the project?

	_		
Rank	Challenge	Ability to	Comments
		Overcome	
		Challenge?	
	Staff turnover		
	Late start due to ANA notification		
	Late start due to hiring delays		
	Scope too ambitious		
	Geographic isolation/travel issues		
	Lack of expertise		
	Challenges with ANA processes		
	Challenges with OGM processes		
	Underestimated project cost		
	Underestimated personnel needs		
	Partnership fell through		
	Lack of community support (planning)		
	Lack of community support (implementation)		
	Other		
7.2 Pos	w many Project Directors/Principal Investigators st Award Training old the Project Director/Principal Investigator rec No o		
Why no	ot?		
, //			
7.2.2 D Yes o Why no	oid the financial staff member receive post-award  No o  ot?	d training?	
vviiy iii	ot:		

8. Program Specific
LANGUAGE 8.1L How did you determine the figures listed as increasing proficiency and/or fluency? (note to
evaluator: see ADR #s 6-9)
evaluator. see ADN #3 0-9)
8.2L Status of language prior to the project (evaluator: pre-fill from application)
8.2.1L Describe the current status of the language.
8.2.11 Describe the current status of the language.
ENVIRONMENTAL
8.1ERE What was the main focus of your project?(Check all that apply)
(Evaluator: Pre-fill and verify during visit)
☐ Data collection to establish baseline
☐ Data collection to monitor condition or trend
☐ Develop/implement management systems (GIS, enforcement)
☐ Develop regulations
☐ Develop Tribal Environmental Protection Act (TEPA)
☐ Environmental education
☐ Staff training/development
<ul><li>☐ Obtain funding from the Environmental Protection Agency</li><li>☐ Other</li></ul>
Li Other
8.2ERE Did you use EPA or other federal funds to meet your non-federal share for this grant?
☐ Yes – EPA
☐ Yes – Other federal agency (please specify)
□ No
☐ Was not aware this is allowed

8.3ERE Does your Tribe/organization receive funding from EPA, US Forest Service, or US Fish and Wildlife?
☐ EPA ☐ US Forest Service
☐ US Fish and Wildlife
□ Unknown
L CHRIOWII
8.3.1ERE If yes, what is it used for? How does your ANA ERE grant fit in with this funding?
CLICTAINIA DI E ENADI OVINAENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELODMENT CEDATECIES
SUSTAINABLE EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES
8.1SEEDS E Does the project track financial behavior of its beneficiaries?
Yes o No o
Comments:
<u> </u>
8.1.1 Does the project have a partnership with or access to public assistance services? If so, who are the partners?
Yes o No o
Comments:
Comments.
8.1.2SEEDS E (if so) Has there been a change in project participants use of public assistance programs
since the beginning of the project? If so, which ones?
Yes o No o
Comments:

8.1.3SEEDS E Do you track information on secondary beneficiaries? If yes, how many secondary
beneficiaries are you aware of?
Yes o No o
Comments:
What information do you track on secondary beneficiaries?
Comments:
Please allow two secondary beneficiaries to describe the benefits they received as a result of this
project.
Comments:
8.1.4SEEDS E Local median income (evaluator prefill from census data, and confirm on-site)
8.2 SEEDS E Did anyone obtain other employment as a result of this project?
Yes o No o
Comments:
8.3 SEEDS E (beneficiaries) Has this project been able to improve economic resiliency? If yes, how so?
Yes o No o
Comments:

8.4 SEEDS E If training was an aspect of this project, how many of the trainees are employed?

8.4.1 SEEDS E Of those employed, how many are in positions in the industry as a result of their training?
8.4.2 SEEDS E Are trainings going to continue and if not how will you sustain the benefits of training?
Yes o No o Comments:
8.4.3 SEEDS E As a result of training, did individuals receive certifications in that industry? If so, how many?  Yes o No o
Comments:
8.4.4 SEEDS E If individuals received a certification, did that enable them to increase their wages and job security?  Comments:
8.5 SEEDS E Employment Information 8.5.1Number of men employed? Number of employed ages 16-24? Number temporary or seasonal?  Number of employed ages 25+?

	· ·		ned? If so, how many? ned? If so, how many?
8.2SEEDS	B Business Expansion	(evaluator prefill nat	ure of expansion)
8.2.1SEED	S B		
Met			
Did not m	eet		
Exceeded			
Comment	s:		
		-	
8.3 Reven	ue/profit generated a	-	1
Year 1	Revenue	Profit	
Year 2			
Year 3			
Year 4			
Year 5			
	B Which of these orga	· ·	ır local community?
	Local chamber of con		
	Small Business Associ	ation	
	Tribal incorporation		
	Bank		
	Credit Union	nont Financial Institut	tion
U	Community Developr	nent rinanciai institu	uon
Comment	s:		

8.5SEEDS Of the businesses created, were any a member of those organizations? o Local chamber of commerce

o Bank
o Credit Union
o Community Development Financial Institution
Comments:
8.6 SEEDS B Have jobs been created as a result of this business creation/expansion? If so, how many?
Yes o No o
Comments:
8.7 SEEDS B Other investment
8.7.2 Are there other governmental funding contributing to business creation/development? If so, which
ones?
Yes o No o
Comments:
Comments.
NATIVE ASSET BUILDING INITIATIVE
8.1 NABI How was the experience managing a project that consists of two separate awards across two
program areas?
Comments:
8.2 NABI Tell us about your experience with ANA's TTA providers. Tell us about your experience with
OCS's TTA providers.
8.2.1 NABI Which experience did you find most helpful and why?

o Small Business Association

o Tribal incorporation

Comments:
8.3 NABI How was the experience of managing two budgets?
Comments:
8.4 NABI How did you adapt to community and cultural needs throughout the program?
Comments:
[LIST TOOLS FROM OTHER REPORTS/OPEN ENDED LIST]
8.5 NABI How did you monitor for quality and effectiveness of financial education trainings? How did
you monitor the relevance to participants and assess what they learned?
Comments:
8.6 NABI Has this project affected your organization or community's ability to offer financial services?
Yes o No o
If yes, how so?
8.7 NABI Has documenting earned income changed participants' financial behavior?

Yes o

No o

If yes, how so?		
8.8 NABI Were there limitations on infrastructure in the local community that affected the participants' abilities to purchase an asset?  Yes o No o  If yes, please describe.		
in yes, prease describe.		
8.9 NABI What are the most common reasons why individuals choose to not enroll in the program or finish the program?  Comments:		
8.10 NABI Of the individuals who attended trainings and did not go on to further participate, what were the reasons?  Comments:		
8.11 NABI What model of training and frequency was the most successful?  Comments:		
8.12 NABI Do you intend to continue to offer IDAs? If yes, where will you obtain funding to continue?		
Yes o No o If yes, how so?		

8.13 NABI Did this NABI program prepare you to apply for other IDA funding?
Yes o No o
If so, how did you find your partners?
8.14 NABI How many participants were successful in obtaining their initial goal? Please allow two beneficiaries to answer this question.
Comments:
8.15 NABI How did you encourage participants to follow through with the process? Were there external factors that also helped?
Comments:
8.16 NABI Were multigenerational interactions encouraged?
Yes o No o
What were the outcomes?
8.17 NABI If youth were involved?
Yes o No o
What were the outcomes?

8.15 NABI Participant Information Number of men participating?

Number of women participating? Number of participating ages 16-24? Number of participating ages 25+?

# 9. Project Specific

Question for Grantee (Evaluator: Include any project-specific questions for the grantee and community members here. These should be developed before the site visit and based upon your review of the grant file).

Question	Answer