SUPPORTING STATEMENT

FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a hard copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information, or you may provide a valid URL link or paste the applicable section¹. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, make note of the sections or changed sections, if applicable.

The National Institute on Disability Rehabilitation Research's (NIDRR) mission is to generate new knowledge and promote its effective use to improve the abilities of individuals with disabilities to perform activities of their choice in the community, and also to expand society's capacity to provide full opportunities and accommodations for its citizens with disabilities. Toward this end, NIDRR conducts comprehensive and coordinated programs of research and related activities to maximize the full inclusion, social integration, employment and independent living of individuals of all ages with disabilities. NIDRR's focus includes research in areas such as employment; health and function; technology for access and function; independent living and community integration; and other associated disability research areas.

NIDRR provides support to research and related activities in the area of disability through discretionary grants. These activities are authorized by the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, and the program regulations under 34 CFR Parts 350-360. NIDRR's Awards are made on the basis of competitively reviewed applications. This information collection (IC) consists of the grant application packages necessary for individuals and institutions to apply for NIDRR grants. If NIDRR does not collect this information from applicants, it could not comply with its legislative mandate and conduct expert peer reviews to make grant funding decisions.

The grant application package is necessary to standardize applications for the many programs in NIDRR with the least amount of burden to the applicants. Without the information contained in this application package, the Department would not be able to effectively comply with the legislative mandate and conduct expert peer reviews to make grant funding decisions. Applicants, including individuals and small businesses, may elect to apply for grants. The information required by the government is minimal. NIDRR discourages

¹ Please limit pasted text to no longer than 3 paragraphs.

elaborate or lengthy applications. Most applicants elect to submit far more information than NIDRR requires.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

NIDRR is unable to use the Department of Education's approved generic application package because of the program-specific selection criteria published in CFR 350.54, CFR 356.30, and CFR 359.31. Therefore, NIDRR must have its own application packages.

NIDRR uses these grant application packages to standardize applications for the many programs in NIDRR. This IC includes application packages for the following programs: 84.133A, Disability Rehabilitation Research Projects; 84.133B, Rehabilitation Research and Training Centers; 84.133E, Rehabilitation Engineering Research Centers; 84.133F, Research Fellowship; 84.133G, Field Initiated Project; 84.133N, Special Projects and Demonstrations for Spinal Cord Injuries Program; and 84.133P, Advanced Rehabilitation Research Training Project

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden.

The Department provides electronic access to forms and instructions for SF-424, ED 424 and ED 524 (OMB No. 1894-0004) to record much of the information required by P.L. 93-112. Some required information items are outside the scope of these forms. The Department uses Grants.gov APPLY and the e-Reader module of the U.S. Department of Education's e-Grants Web Portal processes for this program to expedite the application review and project awards. Concurrently, this electronic process is intended to reduce applicant burden.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

As stated in Item 3, the Department uses ED standard forms to collect basic descriptive applicant information. In addition, this package seeks to reduce burden and duplication by using program specific grant application packages.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

The collection of information does not impact small businesses or other small entities.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

The Department would not comply with the legislative mandate (P.L. 93-112) if this collection was not conducted each year.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
- requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
- requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
- requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
- in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;
- requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

At the discretion of the applicant, proprietary information may be included in an application. This would be for the purpose of explaining the proposed research and/or research and development activity. Confidentiality assurances and information protection mechanisms are explained in item 9.

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

The Department published a 30 Federal Register notice requesting public comment.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

There are no payments or gifts to respondents other than the remuneration of grantees.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.² If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentially of the data.

There are assurances of confidentiality provided to applicants using this data collection.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

<sup>The assurances in the ED grant application package are contained in:
Grant Application Preparation Instructions and Requirements {part A "Protection of Information in Applications;"</sup>

² Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

- Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector businesses or other for-profit, private sector not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. All narrative should be included in item 12. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.
- If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in the ROCIS IC Burden Analysis Table. (The table should at minimum include Respondent types, IC activity, Respondent and Responses, Hours/Response, and Total Hours)
- Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.

Estimates of Hour Burden for this Information Collection: Number of Applications: 655 Hours Needed to Complete: 200 Total Burden Hours: 131,000 Estimates of Annual Cost Burden to Respondents for this Information Collection: Preparation of Application (\$28.00 per hour X 200 burden hours) \$5,600 Postage and Printing (\$50.00 per application X 0 applications³) Estimated total average number of application, percentage and hours by individual programs. Average # % of 655 Estimated of Applications estimated # Hours Program Amount

³ NIDRR is requiring that all submissions be received electronic format unless a waiver is granted.

Revised: 02/01/2013

133A	125	19.23%	25,000	\$ 700,000
133B	30	3.85%	6,000	\$ 168,000
133E	30	4.62%	6,000	\$ 168,000
133F	75	11.54%	15,000	\$ 420,000
133G	350	53.85%	70,000	\$ 196,000
133N	35	5.38%	7,000	\$ 196,000
133P	10	1.53%	2,000	\$ 56,000
Total	655	100%	131,000	\$3,668,000

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)

- The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.
- If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.
- Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Co Total Annual Costs (O&M)	ost :	:
Total Annualized Costs Requested	:	

There are no other respondent costs beyond those provided under Item 12.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

OSERS, including NIDRR, has a contractor to assist program staff to organize, mail, contact peer reviewers for the grant competitions. Contractor Staff Time is based on approved contract. In FY 2010, the estimated cost for 20 competitions was \$757,262, which equals \$37,863 average contractor cost per competition. In FY 2011, the estimated cost for 17 competitions was \$71,270, which equals \$44,192 average contractor cost per competition. In FY 2012, the estimated cost for 16 competitions was \$673,751, which equals \$42,109 average contractor cost per competition. The total expense to the federal government for 1820-0027 is \$1,516,594.

NIDRR individual staff time for peer review is estimated at 20% of time. This includes: (1) Review of applications; (2) Recruitment of reviewers; (3) Review of peer reviewer material; (4) Actual competition; and (5) Pre-funding and competition slate preparation.

NIDRR uses an average of 5 to 7 reviewers per panel. The number of panels change based on the number of priorities and the number of applications received per priority competition. Electronic Peer Reviewer reimbursement is estimated at \$200.00 per day for 3 days of Peer Review and \$200.00 for one day preparation, to total \$800.00 per reviewer.

NIDRR has not done in-person peer review for the last 8 years.

BY PROGRAM COST FOR ELECTRONIC PEER REVIEW PROCESS(FY 2012)

Program	Number Of Competitions	Number of Panels	NIDRR Staff Time	Contractor Staff Time	Peer Reviewer Time
133A	7	12	\$240,816	\$128,268	\$ 48,000.00
133B	1	1	\$ 20,068	\$ 10,689	\$ 4,000.00
133E	2	2	\$ 40,136	\$ 21,378	\$ 8,000.00
133F	1	4	\$ 80,272	\$ 42,756	\$ 12,800.00
133G-1/2	2	15	\$301,020	\$160,335	\$120,000.00
133N	1	2	\$75,726	\$21,666	\$ 8,000.00
133P	1	2	\$ 40,136	\$ 21,378	\$ 8,000.00

OVERALL COST FOR ELECTRONIC PEER REVIEW PROCESS

NIDRR Staff Per Person	Contractor Staff Time	Peer Reviewer Time (5 reviewers)
\$842,856.00	\$448,951.00	\$224,800.00
	AVERAGE OVERALL COST FOR PEER REVIEW	PROCESS

(1 competition – 1 panel)

NIDRR Staff	Contractor	Peer Reviewer
Per Person	Staff Time	Time (5)
\$20,068.00	\$10,689	\$4,000.00

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

There are no program changes or adjustments from the previous information collection.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

All publications generated by NIDRR grantees are submitted and publicly available via the National Rehabilitation Information Center (NARIC) (www.naric.com).

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

OMB approval of this collection with the expiration date will be displayed.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

There are no exceptions to the Certification Statement.