Supporting Statement Holders or Containers Which Enter the United States Duty Free 1651-0035

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

Item 9803.00.50 under the Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States (HTSUS), codified as 19 U.S.C. 1202, provides for the duty-free entry of substantial holders or containers of foreign manufacture if duty had been paid upon a previous importation pursuant to the provisions of 19 C.F.R. 10.41b.

In order to comply with 19 CFR 10.41b, the owner of the holder or container is required to place the markings on a metal tag or plate containing the following information: 9801.00.10, HTSUS; the name of the owner; and the serial number assigned by the owner. In the case of serially numbered holders or containers of foreign manufacture for which free clearance under 9803.00.50 HTSUS is claimed, the owner must place markings containing the following information: 9803.00.50 HTSUS; the port code numbers of the port of entry; the entry number; the last two digits of the fiscal year of entry covering the importation of the holders and containers on which duty was paid; the name of the owner; and the serial number assigned by the owner.

This collection of information applies to the importing and trade community which is familiar with import procedures and with the CBP regulations.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

CBP uses this information to determine if importers, shippers or consignees are required to file entry documents the holders or containers.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

This is a container marking requirement and no information is submitted, so automated transmission of information would not be appropriate.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

This information is not duplicated in any other place or any other form.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

This information collection does not have an impact on small businesses or other small entities.

6. Describe consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently.

If this information was not required importers, shippers or consignees would be required to file entry documents which would be more burdensome for them then complying with this section of the CFR regarding making of containers or holders.

7. Explain any special circumstances.

This information is collected in a manner consistent with the guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Public comments were solicited through two Federal Register notices published on December 24, 2015 (Volume 80, Page 80380) on which no comments were received, and on March 15 (Volume 81, Page 13814) on which no comments have been received.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

There is no offer of a monetary or material value for this information collection.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and

the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

All data submitted and entered into ACE is subject to and protected by the Trade Secrets Act (18 U.S.C. 1905) and is considered confidential, except to the extent as otherwise provided by law. A PIA for the Automated Commercial Environment (ACE) dated July 31, 2015, and a SORN for the Import Information System, dated August 17, 2015 (Volume 80, Page 49256) will be included in this ICR. No assurances of confidentiality are provided to respondents.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information.

INFORMATION COLLECTION	TOTAL ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS	NO. OF RESPONDENT S	NO. OF RESPONSES PER RESPONDENT	TOTAL RESPONSES	TIME PER RESPONSE
Holders/ Containers Entering U.S. Duty-Free	90	20	18	360	15 minutes (.25 hours)

Public Cost

The estimated cost to the respondents is \$2651.40. This is based on the estimated burden hours (90) multiplied (x) the average loaded hourly rate for an importer (\$29.46)¹. CBP bases this wage on hourly wage data for the occupation from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), adjusted using a BLS wage rate multiplier.

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information.

¹ Sources: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Occupational Employment Statistics, "May 2014 National Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates, United States- Median Hourly Wage by Occupation Code." March 25, 2015. Available at http://www.bls.gov/oes/tables.htm. Accessed June 15, 2015; U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Employer Costs for Employee Compensation. *Employer Costs for Employee Compensation Historical Listing March 2004 – December 2015*, "Table 3. Civilian workers, by occupational group: employer costs per hours worked for employee compensation and costs as a percentage of total compensation, 2004-2015 by respondent type." June 10, 2015. Available at http://www.bls.gov/ncs/ect/sp/ecccgrtn.pdf. Accessed June 15, 2015; U.S.

There are no record keeping, capital, start-up or maintenance costs associated with this information collection.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal Government. Also provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

The estimated annual cost to the Federal Government associated with the review of these records is 3,354.6. This is based on the number of responses (360) that must be reviewed (x) the time to review and process each response (10 minutes or .166 hours) = 60 hours (x) the average hourly loaded rate of a general CBP employee (55.91) = 3,354.6.

CBP bases this wage on the salary and benefits of the national average of general, non-Officer/frontline CBP positions, which is a GS-12, Step 5. Source: Email correspondence with CBP's Office of Administration on June 25, 2015.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 12 or 13.

There has been no increase or decrease in the estimated annual burden hours previously reported for this information collection. There are no changes to the information collected.

16. For collection of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation, and publication.

This information collection will not be published for statistical purposes.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date, explain the reasons that displaying the expiration date would be inappropriate.

There is no form involved with this collection of information so it would not be appropriate to display the expiration date for OMB approval.

18. "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions."

CBP does not request an exception to the certification of this information collection.

B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods No statistical methods were employed.