

National Marine Fisheries Service

Economic Survey of Gulf of Mexico Dealers Associated With the Gulf of Mexico Grouper-Tilefish Individual Fishing Quota Program



PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT:
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching the existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspects of this burden to Larry Perruso National Marine Fisheries Service, 75 Virginia Beach Drive, Miami, Florida 33149. This reporting is authorized under 50 CFR 622.5(a)(1)(v). This survey is voluntary. Individual responses will not be released by NMFS. Personal information will not be disclosed, and will only be accessible to authorized personnel responsible for management and research of fisheries under the authority of NOAA. NMFS will retain control over the information and safeguard it from improper access, modification, and destruction, consistent with NOAA standards for privacy and electronic information. Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. The NMFS requires this information for the conservation and management of marine fishery resources. These data will be used to evaluate the economic effects of proposed regulations in the fishery.

The business at this address has been identified as a Gulf of Mexico grouper/tilefish dealer. This survey has been developed to evaluate the impacts of the grouper/tilefish IFQ (GT-IFQ) program on those Gulf of Mexico businesses whose activities involve the buying, processing and selling of grouper and tilefish. This survey is voluntary. QuanTech, the contractor conducting the study, will only share the survey data with NMFS. Only aggregate data will be released by NMFS to protect your personal and business identifying information. No data that could identify you or your business will be released.

Section 1: Background Information

1.	What year did this business at this address start handling seafood under current ownership?						
2.	What do you consider to be the PRIMARY activity of this business? (CHECK ONLY ONE) Commercial fishing (operating commercial fishing vessels to harvest seafood) Dealer / distributor activities (buying and reselling seafood) Processor activities (transforming seafood into new products and reselling the products) Retailer activities (selling seafood products directly to the consumer) Other (Specify):						
3.	What was your opin	nion of the GT-	IFO program	at the time of	its implementation on	1 January 1, 2010?	
J.	Strongly Opposed	Opposed	Neutral	Supported	Strongly Supported	No Opinion	
4.	What is your opinion	What is your opinion of the GT-IFQ program NOW?					
	Strongly Oppose	Oppose	Neutral	Support	Strongly Support	No Opinion	
5.	Have your arrangements with fishermen from whom you purchased grouper/tilefish changed significantly as a result of the GT-IFQ program? ☐ Yes ☐ No → GO TO # 6 ☐ NA - I was not a dealer/processor prior to implementation of the GT-IFQ program. → GO TO # 6 5(a). What were the primary changes in your arrangements with fishermen from whom you purchased grouper/tilefish?						

Section 2: Pre- and Post-GT-IFQ Operations

This section of the survey asks for information specific to your operations pre- and post-implementation of the GT-IFQ program. **PLEASE COMPLETE THE POST-IFQ PORTION OF THE QUESTIONS in this section of the questionnaire even if you did not buy, process or sell grouper/tilefish prior to implementation of the program. In such cases, write "NA" for 2009 Pre-GT-IFQ data. Furthermore, we realize that you may not have access to 2009 records. Please give your 'best estimate' of 2009 activities (i.e., pre-GT-IFQ) if the information is not readily available.**

	′ 1	e,	,	
6.				GROSS SALES for grouper/tilefish pre- and post- l not be released by NMFS .
		2009 Pre-GT-IFQ:	\$	
		2014 Post-GT-IFQ:	\$	
	6(a).	GROSS SALES repor	ted in Question 6? If yo ROSS SALES for groupe r	ram contribute to the change in grouper/tilefish u wrote "NA" for 2009 above or there r/tilefish pre- vs. post-GT-IFQ, go to Question 7.
	6(b).	Please explain why y tilefish GROSS SALI		program contributed to the change in grouper /
7.	For the	is seafood business w	hat were the estimated (GROSS SALES of other finfish and shellfish

7. For this seafood business, what were the estimated GROSS SALES of **other finfish and shellfish species** pre- and post-GT-IFQ implementation?

2009 Pre-GT-IFQ: \$
2014 Post-GT-IFQ: \$

8. What percentage (%) of the **grouper/tilefish** purchased or obtained pre- and post-GT-IFQ by this seafood business, by weight, came from the following sources?

Sources of Grouper/Tilefish for This Business

Source	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
U.S. based fishermen who operate vessels owned by this business (including yourself)	%	%
U.S. based fishermen who operate vessels not owned by this business	%	%
U.S. based seafood dealers/distributors/processors	%	%
Outside the U.S.	%	%
Other (Specify):	%	%
TOTAL	100%	100%

Note: Total for each year should sum to 100%.

8(a).	Did the GT-IFQ programmer Question 8?	ram contribute to the change	in grouper/tilefish SOURCES rep	orted
	── ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ No Opinion ☐ No Change	→ GO TO # 9		
	□ NA			
8(b).	Please explain why yo grouper/tilefish SOU		m contributed to the change in	
Appro	ximately how many pe		eafood business pre- and post-GT-	
of this	our response to only the business (i.e., exclude		lved in the dealer/processor compo the owner works at this seafood burs.	onent
of this	our response to only the business (i.e., exclude	e hired captains and crew). If t ng the total number of workers	the owner works at this seafood burs. This Business	onent
of this please Full T	rour response to only the business (i.e., exclude include him/her amon	e hired captains and crew). If t ng the total number of worker	the owner works at this seafood burs.	onent
of this please Full T (≥40 l Part T	rour response to only the business (i.e., exclude include him/her amon	e hired captains and crew). If t ng the total number of workers	the owner works at this seafood burs. This Business	onent
of this please Full T (≥40 l Part T	rour response to only the business (i.e., exclude include him/her amon fime hours per week) Time hours per week) Time hours per week)	e hired captains and crew). If the hired captains are captains and crew). If the hired captains are captains and crew hired captains are captains and captains are capta	the owner works at this seafood buts. This Business Post-GT-IFQ (2014) in EMPLOYMENT reported in	onent
of this please Full T (≥40 l Part T (<40 l	Cime hours per week) Did the GT-IFQ programment of the GT-IFQ program	hired captains and crew). If the hired captains are captains and crew hired captains and capta	the owner works at this seafood buts. This Business Post-GT-IFQ (2014) in EMPLOYMENT reported in	onent
of this please Full T (≥40 l Part T (<40 l	Cour response to only the business (i.e., exclude include him/her amon lime hours per week) Did the GT-IFQ programment of the GT-IFQ programment of the GT-IFQ programment of the programment of the mount of the mo	hired captains and crew). If the thired captains and crew). If the thired captains and crew). If the third captains and crew). If the third captains are considered as a substitution of the change was no change in EMPLOYME. GO TO # 10	the owner works at this seafood buts. This Business Post-GT-IFQ (2014) in EMPLOYMENT reported in	onent
of this please Full T (≥40 l Part T (<40 l	Cime hours per week) Did the GT-IFQ programme and the GT-IFQ programme and the GT-IFQ programme are set of the control of the GT-IFQ programme. I was a set of the control of the GT-IFQ programme. One of the GT-IFQ programme are set of the control of the cont	hired captains and crew). If the thired captains and crew). If the thired captains and crew). If the third captains and crew). If the third captains are considered as a substitution of the change was no change in EMPLOYME. GO TO # 10	the owner works at this seafood buts. This Business Post-GT-IFQ (2014) in EMPLOYMENT reported in ENT, go to Question 10.	onent
of this please Full T (≥40 l Part T (<40 l	Cime hours per week) Did the GT-IFQ programme and the GT-IFQ programme and the GT-IFQ programme are set of the control of the GT-IFQ programme. I was a set of the control of the GT-IFQ programme. One of the GT-IFQ programme are set of the control of the cont	hired captains and crew). If the thired captains and crew). If the thired captains and crew). If the third captains and crew). If the third captains are considered as a substitution of the change was no change in EMPLOYME. GO TO # 10	the owner works at this seafood buts. This Business Post-GT-IFQ (2014) in EMPLOYMENT reported in ENT, go to Question 10.	onent

10. With respect to the **grouper/tilefish** component of this seafood business, please provide an estimate of the cost of the raw product (expressed on a finished-weight basis) and the final product sales price pre- and post-GT-IFQ implementation. Please write "NA" if the species shown is not purchased or sold. **Individual responses will not be released by NMFS.**

	Gag	
	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
Raw Fish Cost (Finished Weight)	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
Sales Price	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
	Red Grouper	
	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
Raw Fish Cost (Finished Weight)	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
Sales Price	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
	Black Grouper	
	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
Raw Fish Cost (Finished Weight)	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
Sales Price	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
	Scamp	
	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
Raw Fish Cost (Finished Weight)	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
Sales Price	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
	Yellowedge Grouper	
	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
Raw Fish Cost (Finished Weight)	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
Sales Price	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
	Golden Tilefish	
	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
Raw Fish Cost (Finished Weight)	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
Sales Price	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
	Blueline Tilefish	
	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
Raw Fish Cost (Finished Weight)	\$/lb.	\$/lb.
Sales Price	\$/lb.	\$/lb.

10(a).	Did the GT-IFQ program contribute to the change in RAW FISH COST reported in Question 10? Yes No No Opinion No Change NA
	.0(b). Please explain why you believe the GT-IFQ program contributed to the change in RAW FISH COST.
	O(c). Did the GT-IFQ program contribute to the change in SALES PRICE reported in Question 10? Yes No No Opinion No Change NA
	.0(d). Please explain why you believe the GT-IFQ program contributed to the change in SALES PRICE.
11.	With respect to the grouper/tilefish component of your seafood business, please provide an estimate of sales, by product form, on a percentage basis (%) pre- and post-GT-IFQ.

Sales by Product Form

Type of Product	Pre-GT-IFQ (2009)	Post-GT-IFQ (2014)
Fresh whole or gutted fish	%	%
Frozen whole or gutted fish	%	%
Fresh fillets	%	%
Frozen fillets	%	%
Other (Specify):	%	%
TOTAL	100%	100%

Note: Total for each year should sum to 100%.

12.	What percentage (%) of the grouper/tilefish sales by this seafood business pre- and post-GT IFQ went to the following outlets? Sales to Various Outlets				
	Outlet	Pre-IFQ (2009)	Post-IFQ (2014)		
	Other dealers/processors	%	%		
	Wholesalers	%	%		
	Retailers	%	%		
	Restaurants	%	%		
	Consumers	%	%		
	Other outlets (Specify):	%	%		
	TOTAL	100%	100%		
	Note: Total for each year should sum to 100%.				
_					
	Section 3: Pre- and Post-GT-IFQ Infrastructu	re and Equipment			
	the Gulf of Mexico? —				
	13(b). Do you have any plans to increase or decrease the numb 5 years as a result of the GT-IFQ program? Yes, I plan to INCREASE the number of vessels Yes, I plan to DECREASE the number of vessels No, I have no plans to INCREASE or DECREASE the number of vessels or size of vessels owned. Undecided	or size of vessels ow or size of vessels ow E	ned. med. → GO TO # 14		
	13(c). Do you have any plans to increase the number of vessel the GT-IFQ program? Yes No Undecided	s or size of vessels o	wned as a result of		

14.	Excluding vessels and GT-IFQ shares, have you made MAJOR INVESTMENTS or DISINVESTMENTS in this seafood business that you attribute to the implementation of the GT-IFQ program? ☐ Yes ☐ No → GO TO # 15 14(a). Please briefly describe what INVESTMENTS or DISINVESTMENTS attributed to the GT-IFQ program that you have made in this seafood business.
15.	Excluding real estate, vessels and any GT-IFQ shares owned by the business, what would you estimate as the CURRENT MARKET VALUE of this seafood business?
16.	Has implementation of the GT-IFQ program resulted in any change in the CURRENT MARKET VALUE of this seafood business? ☐ Yes, it has led to an increase in the value of the business. ☐ No, there has been no change in the value of the business as a result of the implementation of the GT-IFQ program. → GO TO # 17 16(a). Please explain what aspects of the program led to the change in CURRENT MARKET VALUE. Section 4: GT-IFQ Share in Business Operations
17.	Do you or your business currently hold any GT-IFQ shares? ☐ Yes ☐ No → GO TO # 18 17(a). What proportion of the "2014 Post-GT-IFQ" GROSS SALES given in Question 6 is represented by your shares? %
18.	Do you or your business plan to acquire shares in the future? ☐ Yes → GO TO # 18(a) ☐ No → GO TO # 18(b) ☐ Undecided → GO TO # 19

	18(a). What would be the primary reasons for acquiring additional GT-IFQ shares? (check all that apply): ☐ Increased GT-IFQ shares would allow me to expand my dealer/processor operations.
	☐ I would like to increase and/or change the product mix of GT-IFQ species that I am currently allowed to harvest with my existing GT-IFQ shares.
	Other (Specify):
	18(b). What would be the primary reasons for not acquiring additional GT-IFQ shares? (check all that apply):
	☐ The cost of acquiring GT-IFQ shares is high relative to any expected benefits I might receive from additional GT-IFQ shares.
	My business is currently at an 'optimal' size and therefore I need no additional grouper/tilefish product.
	☐ I can buy all of the raw product I need at a reasonable price from local fishermen or other sources.
	☐ Buying GT-IFQ allocation better suits my business.
	Other (Specify):
19.	Do you provide GT-IFQ allocation to vessels not owned by you or your business?
	19(a). What arrangements does your business have with fishermen to whom it provides allocation? (check all that apply):
	☐ Fishermen must sell their catch (associated with GT-IFQ allocation) to my business. No payment for the GT-IFQ allocation is required.
	☐ Fishermen must sell their catch (associated with GT-IFQ allocation) to my business. Payment for GT-IFQ allocation is subtracted from payment for their catch.
	Fishermen must pay 'up front' for the GT- IFQ allocation provided but are not required to sell their catch to my business.
	Other (Specify):

20.	Of the GT-IFQ allocation you held on an annual basis, what percent on average was:						
	used for vessels or		%				
	provided to fisher	men who own	their own ve	ssels with the		%	
	stipulation that the	•			?	%	
	provided to fishermen with no requirement regarding sales? sold (leased)?				%		
	Other(Specify):				_%		
	·			TOT	AL 10	00%	
	Note: Total should s	um to 100%.					
		Section 5: O	pinions Reg	arding the IF	Q Program		
21.	How satisfied are you	with the IFQ (Online Syster	n for managin	g and completing l	anding transact	tions?
	Highly Unsatisfied	Unsatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Highly Satisfied	No Opinion	
	21(a). What improve	ments would w	on charect to	the IFO Onli	ne System?		
	21(a). What improve	illelits would y	ou suggest to	THE II Q OIII	ille System:		
22.	How satisfied are you		,				
	regarding questions a					_	(10n)?
	Highly Unsatisfied	Unsatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Highly Satisfied	No Opinion	
	22(a). What improve	ments would y	ou suggest to	IFQ custome	er service?		
23.	How satisfied are you	with enforcem	ent of the IF	Q Program?			
	Highly Unsatisfied	Unsatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Highly Satisfied	No Opinion	
	23(a). What improve	ements would y	ou suggest to	the enforcem	ent of the IFQ Pro	gram?	

consider to be	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafc
consider to be	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafc
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafc
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafc
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	n your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafo
	ATIVE impact	s of the GT-IF	Q program or	ı your seafc

THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THE SURVEY Please return questionnaire to: QuanTech, Inc. 6110 Executive Blvd Suite 480 Rockville, MD 20852