

after consultation with program counsel, it was determined that a requalification process for New York towns is unnecessary because the units of general local government in New York towns do not have the same statutory notice rights (under Section 102(e) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974) as units of general local government participating in an urban county. In addition, each New York town has automatic renewing agreements with the incorporated units of general local governments contained within their boundaries. Therefore, it is presumed that all incorporated units of general local government will continue to participate in the New York towns in

which they are located unless Headquarters is notified to the contrary.  
**Respondents:** Urban counties that are eligible as entitlement grantees of the CDBG program.  
**Estimation Number of Respondents:** There are currently 185 qualified urban counties participating in the CDBG program that must requalify every three years.  
**Frequency of Response:** On average, two new counties qualify each year. The burden on new counties is greater than for existing counties that requalify. The Department estimates new grantees use, on average, 100 hours to review instructions, contact communities in the county, prepare and review agreements,

obtain legal opinions, have agreements executed at the local and county level, and prepare and transmit copies of required documents to HUD. The Department estimates that counties that are requalifying use, on average, 60 hours to complete these actions. The time savings on requalification is primarily a result of a grantee's ability to use agreements with no specified end date. Use of such "renewable" agreements enables the grantee to merely notify affected participating UGLGs in writing that their agreement will automatically be renewed unless the UGLG terminates the agreement in writing, rather than executing a new agreement every three years.

Average of 2 new urban counties qualify per year .....	2 × 100 hrs = 200 hrs.
185 grantees requalify on triennial basis; average annual number of respondents = 62 .....	62 × 60 hrs. = 3,720 hrs.
<b>Total combined burden hours .....</b>	<b>3,920 hours.</b>

This total number of combined burden hours can be expected to increase annually by 200 hours, given the average of two new urban counties becoming eligible entitlement grantees each year.

Information collection 2506-0170	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
	2	2	2	100	200	.....	.....
	185	1	62	60	3,720	.....	.....
<b>Total .....</b>					<b>3,920</b>	<b>\$18.00</b>	<b>\$70,560</b>

**Solicitation of Public Comment**

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

- (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: April 9, 2015.  
**Colette Pollard,**  
*Department Reports Management Officer,*  
*Office of the Chief Information Officer.*  
 [FR Doc. 2015-08655 Filed 4-14-15; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**  
**[Docket No. FR-5831-N-17]**  
**30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Community Development Block Grant Entitlement Program**  
**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** HUD has submitted the proposed information collection requirement described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.  
**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* May 15, 2015.  
**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to

the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-5806. Email: *OIRA\_Submission@omb.eop.gov.*

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410; email at *Colette.Pollard@hud.gov* or telephone 202-402-3400. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that HUD has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described in Section A.

The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on February 9, 2015 at 80 FR 7027.

**A. Overview of Information Collection**

*Title of Information Collection:* Community Development Block Grant Entitlement Program.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2506-0077.

*Type of Request:* Revision of currently approved collection.

*Form Numbers:* N/A.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:* This request identifies the estimated reporting burden associated with information that CDBG entitlement grantees will report in IDIS for CDBG-assisted activities, recordkeeping requirements, and reporting requirements. Grantees are encouraged to update their accomplishments in IDIS

on a quarterly basis. In addition, grantees are required to retain records necessary to document compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements, Executive Orders, applicable OMB Circulars, and determinations required to be made by grantees as a determination of eligibility. Grantees are required to prepare and submit their Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Reports, which demonstrate the progress grantees make in carrying out CDBG-assisted activities listed in their consolidated plans. This report is due to HUD 90 days after the end of the grantee's program year. The information required for any particular activity is generally based on the eligibility of the

activity and which of the three national objectives (benefit low- and moderate-income persons; eliminate/prevent slums or blight; or meet an urgent need) the grantee has determined that the activity will address.

*Respondents:* Grant recipients (metropolitan cities and urban counties) participating in the CDBG Entitlement Program.

*Estimation Number of Respondents:* 1,164.

*Estimation Number of Responses:* The proposed frequency of the response to the collection is on an annual basis.

*Frequency of Response:* Annually.

*Total Estimated Burdens:* The total estimated burden is 544,984.

Information Collection 2506-0077	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Recordkeeping .....	1,164	1	1,164	129.2	150,388	.....	.....
Reporting .....	1,164	4	4,656	78.50	365,496	.....	.....
Maintain Documenta- tion .....	1,164	1	1,164	25	29,100	.....	.....
Total .....	.....	.....	6,984	42	544,984	\$36.60	\$1,789,300.80

*Solicitation of Public Comment*

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: April 9, 2015.

**Colette Pollard,**

*Department Reports Management Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015-08656 Filed 4-14-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**[FWS-R2-ES-2015-N034;  
FXES1113010000C4-156-FF01E00000]**

**Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 5-Year Status Reviews of Black-Lace Cactus, Bone Cave Harvestman, Pima Pineapple Cactus, Texas Snowbells, and Walker's Manioc in the Southwest Region**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of reviews; request for information.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, are initiating 5-year status reviews under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act), of the endangered black-lace cactus (*Echinocereus reichenbachii* var. *albertii*), the endangered Bone Cave harvestman (*Texella reyesi*), the endangered Pima pineapple cactus (*Coryphantha scheeri* var. *robustispina*), the endangered Texas snowbells (*Styrax texanus*), and the endangered Walker's manioc (*Manihot walkerae*). A 5-year review is based on the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review; therefore, we are requesting submission of any such information that has become available since our original listing of these five species or since the last 5-year review.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, we are requesting submission of new information no later than June 15, 2015. However, we will continue to accept new information about any listed species at any time.

**ADDRESSES:** For how to submit information, see Request for Information and How Do I Ask Questions or Provide Information? in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information on a particular species, contact the appropriate person or office listed in the table in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Why do we conduct a 5-year review?**

Under the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), we maintain Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (which we collectively refer to as the List) in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 50 CFR 17.11 (for animals) and 17.12 (for plants). Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act requires us to review each listed species' status at least once every 5 years. Our regulations at 50 CFR 424.21 require that we publish a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing those species under active review. For additional information about 5-year reviews, refer to our factsheet at <http://www.fws.gov/endangered/what-we-do/recovery-overview.html>.