U.S. Department of Commerce	e				2. Award or Grant Number:	
			State and Local Implementation Grant Pro	ogram Close Out Report	4. EIN:	
1. Recipient Name					6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	
3. Street Address					7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	
5. City, State, Zip Code						
10a. Project/Grant Period						
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)		10b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)				
Part A: Metrics - Final PPR Mil	estone Data (cumulative thro	ough the last quarter)				
	Project Type (Capacity Building, SCIP Update,	Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description)		Description of Milestone Category		
1	Stakeholders Engaged		Actual number of individuals reached via stakeho	older meetings during the period of performance		
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences		Actual number of individuals who were sent to th	nird-party broadband conferences using SLIGP grant fund	s during the period of p	
3	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)		Actual number of state personnel FTEs who began	n supporting SLIGP activities during the period of perforn	nance (may be a decim	
4	Contracts Executed		Actual number of contracts executed during the p	period of performance		
5	Governance Meetings		Actual number of governance, subcommittee, or v	working group meetings held during the period of perfor	mance	
6	Education and Outreach Materials Distributed		Actual volume of materials distributed (inclusive o during the period of performance	of paper and electronic materials) plus hits to any website	or social media accou	
7	Subrecipient Agreements Executed		Actual number of agreements executed during the	e period of performance		
8	Phase 2 - Coverage					
9	Phase 2 – Users and Their Operational Areas		Note (not for official form): These options will be	in a drap down list)		
10	Phase 2 – Capacity Planning	3	Please choose the option that best describes the d • Not Complete	lata you provided to FirstNet in each category during the	period of performance:	
11	Phase 2 – Current Providers/Procurement		Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet			
12	Phase 2 – State Plan Decision					
Part B: Narrative		•	•			
1 Milestone Date Newsthire P	Nasca Doceribe in data!! +b - +	was of milestans as ***	ing your SLICD grant funded (Diago voteron	project type you engaged in Evernale: Covernant Man	otings Stakohaldara F-	
1. Miliestolie Data Narrative: F	rease Describe in detail the t	ypes of fillestone activit	ies your strom grant runded (Mease reference each	project type you engaged in. Example: Governance Mee	ungs, stakenoiders En	
2. Please describe in detail an	y SLIGP program priority area	as (education and outrea	ch, governance, etc.) that you plan to continue bey	ond the SLIGP period of performance.		

3. Data collection narrative: Please describe in detail the	status of your SLIGP funde	d data collection acti	vities. (As described above	in Part A)				
4. Please describe in detail any data collection activities	you plan to continue beyon	d the SLIGP period o	f performance.					
•	, , ,	•	•					
Lessons Learned: Please share any lessons learned or be	at nuactions that wave aroon	ization implements	I during your CLICD project					
Part C: Staffing	st practices that your organ	ization implemented	during your stror project.					
Fait C. Staining								
Staffing Table - Please provide a summary of all position	s funded by SLIGP.							
Name		FTE%	Project(s) Assigned					
Deat D. Contracts and Francisco								
Part D: Contracts and Funding Subcontracts Table – Include all subcontractors engaged	during the period of perfor	manco. The totals fo	rom this table must equal th	o "Eubcontra	ete Total" in vour Pi	idaat Warkshaat		
Subcontracts Table - Include all subcontractors engaged	during the period of perior	Tilance. The totals h	oni tilis table must equal til	ie subcontra	icts rotal ill your be	luget worksneet		
Name			Subcontract Purpose			Total Federal Funds		
	+			(Vendor/Subrec.)	ec.) Ki F/Ki Q issued (1/14)	Allocated		
Budget Worksheet								
Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for t	ne entire award and your fin	al SF 424A. Columns	5. 6. and 7 should list your fir	nal budget fig	ures. cumulative thr	ough the last quarter		
, , , ,	1			0 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Final Approved	
Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds	Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total	l Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Matching Funds	
			rulius (5)			Experided (3)	Expended (6)	
a. Personnel Salaries					\$0.00			
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits					\$0.00			
c. Travel					\$0.00			
d. Equipment				\$0.00				
e. Materials/Supplies					\$0.00			
f. Subcontracts Total					\$0.00			
g. Other					\$0.00			
Indirect					\$0.00			
h. Total Costs	\$0.0	0	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	
i. % of Total	#DIV				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	

Part E: Additional Questions: (Note: The Response Options	will be in dropdown form) Please select the option (Strongly Disagree, Di	sagree, Neutral, Agree, Somewhat	Agree, Strongly Agree) th	at best suits your ansv
Overall, were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for FirstNet?	Response options: Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral Somewhat Agree, Agree, Strongly Agree	'What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?			
Were SLIGP funds helpful in planning for your FirstNet consultation?	Response options: Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral Somewhat Agree, Agree, Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?			
3. Were SLIGP funds helpful in informing your stakeholders about FirstNet?	Response options: Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral Somewhat Agree, Agree, Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?			
4. Were SLIGP funds helpful in developing a governance structure for broadband in your state?	Response options: Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral Somewhat Agree, Agree, Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?			
5. Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing your staff for FirstNet activities in your state (e.g. attending broadband conferences, participating in training, purchasing software, procuring contract support etc.)?	Response options: Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral Somewhat Agree, Agree,				
6. Were SLIGP funds helpful in updating your Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan?	Response options: Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral Somewhat Agree, Agree, Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?			
7. Were SLIGP funds helpful in conducting FirstNet determined data collection?	Response options: Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral Somewhat Agree, Agree, Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?			
8. Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for your review of the FirstNet developed State Plan?	Response options: Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral Somewhat Agree, Agree, Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?			
Doub Fr. Coulifications Leaville	a the best of well-result in	and halist that this you out is someout J l-t- f f	ivition for the name and a set ()	in the award decrees	
Typed or printed name and tit		and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of act official:	ivities for the purpose(s) set forth		
	,			Telephone (area code, number, and extension)	
Signature of Authorized Certify	ving Official:			Email Address:	
				Date:	

I	
	_
	-
	-
	_
	_
aaufaumanaa	
performance	
al)	
uij	
at a constant land of the contract of the cont	
nt supported by SLIGP	
nt supported by SLIGP	

Change
Change
Total Matching Funds Allocated
Final Total funds Expended (7)
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
\$0.00
#DD:://21
#DIV/0!

ver.		
		_
		_
		_
		_
		_
		_
		_
		_