1. Introduction

Welcome to the DELTA FOCUS Program Evaluation Survey! The survey is being administered in compliance with the DELTA FOCUS cooperative agreement (FOA-CE13-1302). As the SDVC Executive Director, the SDVC DELTA FOCUS Coordinator, the Project Coordinator of a funded CCR, or SDVC Empowerment Evaluator you were sent a direct link to this survey. This survey is part of the DELTA FOCUS program evaluation and is being conducted for programmatic improvement. The survey will not be used as a means of reducing or canceling funding.

We know your time is valuable. In designing the survey we made every effort to limit the questions to those most essential to evaluating the implementation of DELTA FOCUS. The survey is expected to take 1 hour to complete.

Responses to the survey are not anonymous but will be treated in a secure manner and any findings will be reported in the aggregate. If you have immediate questions about navigating the survey or technical issues please contact Moira Rivera at 302.521.0282 or via email at mrivera@contractingrg.com. If you are unable to complete the survey please have the primary SDVC contact notify the assigned project officer.

THANK YOU for completing this survey and being a part of the DELTA FOCUS Program!

OMB BURDEN STATEMENT

OMB Form Approved OMB No. 0920-0984 Exp. Date: X/XX/XXXX

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Information Collection Review Office, 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-0984).

2. Survey Terminology

A word about the terms used in this survey.

CCR is the local coordinated community responses, or a local organization funded by the state domestic violence coalitions (SDVC).

ED refers to Executive Director of the SDVC.

EE refers to the Empowerment Evaluators hired by the SDVCs.

IST refers to the Implementation Support Team which includes SDVC leadership, leadership from CCRs, SDVC policy staff, SDVC prevention personnel, and the contracted/hired EE.

Leadership team is comprised of all members of the IST and other pertinent stakeholders from various sectors, e.g., state health departments, local health departments, community organizing networks, local youthbased community organizations, state sexual violence coalitions, CDCRPE (Rape Prevention Education) recipients, etc.

MIS is the management information system.

PC or Project Coordinator are used to refer to the primary program manager of DELTA FOCUS at the state and local levels even if their actual title differs.

Primary Prevention refers to approaches that take place before intimate partner violence has occurred to prevent initial perpetration or victimization.

Year 1 ended on March 1, 2014. Items that specify input since the end of Year 1 should be based on March 2, 2014 to the present.

Selected strategies are the strategies your SDVC or CCR have chosen to implement and evaluate for DELTA FOCUS.

DELTA FOCUS Program Evaluation Survey 3. Screening Questions 1. Which SDVC funds or supports your role in the DELTA FOCUS Program? 2. What is your role in the DELTA FOCUS Program? **\$**

4. Communication and Support

This section asks about the usefulness of communication methods and helpfulness of technical assistance in the DELTA FOCUS program.

3. Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how useful each of the following communication mechanisms has been.

	Not Useful	Somewhat Useful	Useful	Very Useful	N/A
a. Project-wide calls					
b. Grantee-led calls					
c. Empowerment evaluator calls					
d. Grantee meeting					
e. PhConnect					
f. Basecamp					
g. MIS					

	Not Very Helpful	Somewhat Helpful	Helpful	Very Helpful	N/A
a. Using PhConnect					
b. Using CDMIS (Chronic Disease Management Information System)					
c. Reporting (e.g. providing templates)					
d. Technical assistance and subject matter expertise on implementing DELTA FOCUS (i.e. grantees' statewide efforts, grantees' provision of TA, training and monitoring to the CCRs on local efforts, and grantees' evaluation efforts)					
e. Technical assistance and subject matter expertise on evaluation (i.e. evaluability assessments, evaluation plans, data-to-action process)					
f. Sharing how data collected by CDC is/will be used					
g. Facilitating a collaborative learning environment among DELTA FOCUS SDVCs (includes collaboration among EEs)					
h. Facilitating national-level dialogue to promote IPV prevention					
i. Collaborating to align state, local, and project-wide evaluation plans					
j. Facilitating a collaborative learning environment among EEs					
ther areas for which more or less support is needed . Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how helpful or unhelp	ful the S	DVC supp	ort has t	been for e	each o
ther areas for which more or less support is needed . Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how helpful or unhelp ne following.	Not	Somewhat		Very	
ther areas for which more or less support is needed . Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how helpful or unhelp			ort has t		
ther areas for which more or less support is needed . Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how helpful or unhelphe following.	Not	Somewhat		Very	
ther areas for which more or less support is needed . Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how helpful or unhelp ne following. a. Support for creating an action plan	Not	Somewhat		Very	
ther areas for which more or less support is needed Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how helpful or unhelp ne following. Support for creating an action plan b. Support for creating an evaluation plan c. Support for strategy implementation and evaluation (i.e., technical	Not	Somewhat		Very	each o
ther areas for which more or less support is needed Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how helpful or unhelp ne following. a. Support for creating an action plan b. Support for creating an evaluation plan c. Support for strategy implementation and evaluation (i.e., technical assistance, training, coaching)	Not	Somewhat		Very	
ther areas for which more or less support is needed Since the end of Year 1, please indicate how helpful or unhelp ne following. a. Support for creating an action plan b. Support for creating an evaluation plan c. Support for strategy implementation and evaluation (i.e., technical assistance, training, coaching) d. Facilitating a collaborative learning environment among CCRs e. Facilitating CCR participation in CDC sponsored collaborative learning	Not	Somewhat		Very	

	None At All	A Small Amount	A Fair Amount	A Great Deal	Not Needed A This Time
a. Program or strategy goal setting					
o. Developing SMART objectives					
. Creating logic models					
Aligning work plans with goals, strategies, imeline, and logic model					
e. Conducting evaluability assessments					
Developing evaluation plans					
. Identifying data sources					
. Identifying indicators					
Developing evaluation questions					
Collecting data					
. Managing data (i.e. cleaning and storing)					
Analyzing data					
n. Using evaluation findings for program mprovement					
. Sharing evaluation findings					
Building evaluation capacity					
 Using evaluation findings to build practice-based evidence 	d				
her topic(s) (please specify)					
evidence					

	None At All	A Small Amount	A Fair Amount	A Great Deal	Not Needed At
a. Program or strategy goal setting					
b. Developing SMART objectives					
c. Creating logic models					
d. Aligning work plans with goals, strategies, timeline, and logic model					
e. Conducting evaluability assessments					
f. Developing evaluation plans					
g. Identifying data sources					
h. Identifying indicators					
i. Developing evaluation questions					
j. Collecting data					
k. Managing data (i.e. cleaning and storing)					
I. Analyzing data					
m. Using evaluation findings for program improvement					
n. Sharing evaluation findings					
o. Building evaluation capacity					
p. Using evaluation findings to build practice-based evidence					
other topic(s) (please specify)					

	More Supported Is Needed	Support Is Adequate	Less Support Is Needed	No Support Is Needed At This Time	Don't Know
a. Program or strategy goal setting					
b. Developing SMART objectives					
c. Creating logic models					
d. Aligning logic model with action plans					
e. Conducting evaluability assessments					
f. Developing evaluation plans					
g. Identifying appropriate data sources					
h. Identifying indicators					
i. Developing evaluation questions					
j. Collecting data					
k. Managing data (i.e. cleaning and storing)					
I. Analyzing data					
m. Using evaluation findings for program improvement					
n. Sharing evaluation findings					
o. Building evaluation capacity					
p. Using evaluation findings to build practice-based evidence					
ther topic(s) (please specify)					

5. Program and Strategy Implementation
This section asks about implementation factors that support competent and sustainable program delivery.
Evaluators, state domestic violence coalition Project Coordinators and Executive Directors please answer for your SDVC. CCR respondents please answer for your CCR.
9. Which factors contributed most to deciding on which DELTA FOCUS strategies to implement? (Select 3 maximum)
a. Evaluability assessments
b. Social deterimants of health equity trainings
c. Organization vision and mission
d. Previous or current organization prevention work
e. Priorities of partners/partner recommendation
f. CDC project officer
g. The Funding Opportunity Announcement/cooperative agreement
h. Community needs assessment
i. Health impact assessment
j. Existing local programs
k. Existing local data (judicial, medical, or educational)
Other factor(s) (please specify)
10. What trainings, meetings, or conferences did you find most useful to your DELTA FOCUS implementation and evaluation (included but not limited to CDC-led trainings)?

b. Producing progress reports c. Visiting implementation sites d. Informal opportunities (hallway conversations, phone calls, etc.) e. No formal or informal procedures er strategy/strategies (please specify) How valuable was having an Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work? Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Very Valuable Very Valuable N/A ase comment	es fy)	a. Holding i	regularly scheduled meetings
d. Informal opportunities (hallway conversations, phone calls, etc.) e. No formal or informal procedures her strategy/strategies (please specify) How valuable was having an Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work? Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A	es fy)	_	g progress reports
e. No formal or informal procedures her strategy/strategies (please specify) How valuable was having an Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work? Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A	es fy)	_	nplementation sites
e. No formal or informal procedures her strategy/strategies (please specify) How valuable was having an Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work? Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A	es fy)	_	opportunities (hallway conversations, phone calls, etc.)
. How valuable was having an Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work? Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A		_ ☐ e. No forma	al or informal procedures
Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A	n Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work?	ther strategy/st	rategies (please specify)
Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A	Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work?		
Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A	n Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work?		
Not Valuable Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A	n Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work?		
Somewhat Valuable Valuable Very Valuable N/A		2. How valua	ble was having an Implementation Support Team for your DELTA FOCUS work?
Valuable Very Valuable N/A		Not Valuable	9
Very Valuable N/A		Somewhat '	/aluable
N/A		Valuable	
		Very Valuab	le
ase comment		N/A	
		lease comment	

DEI TA E	ocus	Program	Evaluation	Survey
DELIAI		ı iogiaili	Lvaluation	Oui ve v

	6.	Program	and Strategy	Implementation	Continued
--	----	---------	--------------	----------------	-----------

Evaluators, state domestic violence coalition Project Coordinators and Executive Directors please answer for your SDVC. CCR respondents please answer for your CCR.

13. Please rate the extent of the Leadership Team's participation in each of the following activities.

	Full Participation	Limited Participation	No Participation At All	N/A
a. Development of the state action plans				
b. Review of existing state plans for IPV prevention and sexual violence prevention				
c. Update of existing state plans for IPV prevention as needed				
d. Addressing barriers to implementation				
e. Ensuring linkages between state prevention strategies and prevention strategies implemented across the state at local levels				
f. Coordinating with other IPV prevention stakeholders across public sectors to reduce duplication in programs, services, and/or data collections				
Other activity/activities (please specify)				

c. State Domestic Violence Coalition Executive Director	OOO	0		Quarterly	Monthly	Knov
c. State Domestic Violence Coalition Executive Director d. State Domestic Violence Coalition Project Coordinator						
d. State Domestic Violence Coalition Project Coordinator						
	\bigcirc					
e. CCR Project Coordinator						
f. Empowerment Evaluator	\bigcirc					
the space below, please identify which (if any) other entities use the	e State Ad	ction Plan to	guide the	ir efforts		
nd evaluate the implementation of DELTA FOCUS at th	Never	Annually	Semi-	Quarterly	Monthly	Don' Knov
a. Leadership Team		Ailidaily	Ailidally	Quarterly	Worthing	
b. State-level Implementation Support Team						
c. State Domestic Violence Coalition Executive Director						
d. State Domestic Violence Coalition Project Coordinator	\bigcirc		\bigcirc			
e. CCR Project Coordinator						
f. Empowerment Evaluator						
			guide the			

a. No opportunities were created by the implementation of DELTA FOCUS strategies b. Established new partnerships with new sectors (e.g. housing, transportation, city or state planners, faith institutions, parks, or business) c. Increased organization visibility d. Greater emphasis on prevention e. New or strengthened relationship with [state or local] public health department f. New or strengthened relationship with elected officials g. New or strengthened relationship with community leaders h. New or strengthened relationship with CDC's RPE recipients i. Identified new community resources j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors l. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention m. Leveraged findings for additional prevention funding
business) c. Increased organization visibility d. Greater emphasis on prevention e. New or strengthened relationship with [state or local] public health department f. New or strengthened relationship with elected officials g. New or strengthened relationship with community leaders h. New or strengthened relationship with CDC's RPE recipients i. Identified new community resources j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors l. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
d. Greater emphasis on prevention e. New or strengthened relationship with [state or local] public health department f. New or strengthened relationship with elected officials g. New or strengthened relationship with community leaders h. New or strengthened relationship with CDC's RPE recipients i. Identified new community resources j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors l. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
e. New or strengthened relationship with [state or local] public health department f. New or strengthened relationship with elected officials g. New or strengthened relationship with community leaders h. New or strengthened relationship with CDC's RPE recipients i. Identified new community resources j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors I. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
f. New or strengthened relationship with elected officials g. New or strengthened relationship with community leaders h. New or strengthened relationship with CDC's RPE recipients i. Identified new community resources j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors I. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
g. New or strengthened relationship with community leaders h. New or strengthened relationship with CDC's RPE recipients i. Identified new community resources j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors I. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
h. New or strengthened relationship with CDC's RPE recipients i. Identified new community resources j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors I. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
i. Identified new community resources j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors I. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
j. Expanded reach of existing programs or strategies k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors l. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
k. Integrated IPV prevention into other initiatives or sectors I. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
I. Greater media exposure for IPV prevention
m. Leveraged findings for additional prevention funding
n. Don't know
Other opportunity/opportunities (please specify)

18. Since the end of Year 1, what barriers for the organization were created by the implementation of
DELTA FOCUS strategies? (Select all that apply)
a. No barriers were created by the implementation of selected strategies
b. Loss of support from traditional partners
c. Perceived loss of focus on providing victim services
d. Perceived loss of accountability for perpetrators
e. Perceived shift of focus from core mission
f. Old partnerships were strained with the addition of new partner types
g. Evaluation became a greater challenge due to outer layer focus
h. Don't know
Other barriers (please specify)

7. Prevention and Public Health Approach

This section addresses integration and support for prevention and the public health approach. Evaluators, state domestic violence coalition Project Coordinators and Executive Directors please answer for your SDVC. CCR respondents please answer for your CCR. 19. Since the end of Year 1, how has your organization provided opportunities for staff (beyond DELTA FOCUS funded personnel) and board members to be trained on concepts related to preventing intimate partner violence? (check all that apply) a. No training opportunities for IPV prevention provided b. Conducted in-person workshops c. Allowed staff to attend trainings conducted outside the organization d. Encouraged board members to attend training conducted outside the organization e. Held retreats that included IPV prevention topics f. Incorporated prevention topics into staff or board meetings g. Distributed written information Other opportunity/opportunities (please specify) 20. We know that all efforts to prevent IPV and address its consequences are important. Relative to victim services, in your opinion how does the organization prioritize primary prevention? (Select one) a. Not a priority at all b. Less of a priority than victim services c. About the same priority as victim services d. Higher priority than victim services e. Don't know

21. Please indicate the extent to which your organization below.	n has or h	nas not enga	aged in ea	ch of the act	vities
	Yes, often	Yes, but infrequently or in a limited way	Not at all	Don't Know	N/A
a. Identified risk and protective factors of intimate partner violence					
b. Collected our own data on intimate partner violence risk and protective factors				\bigcirc	
c. Used publicly available data to track and monitor risk and protective factors					
d. Identified programs, practices, or strategies that are based on the best available evidence to prevent intimate partner violence					
e. Identified programs, practices, or strategies that address social determinants of risk for intimate partner violence				\bigcirc	
f. Examined scientific and programmatic literature to identify programs, practices, or strategies that have shown positive effects in reducing or preventing intimate partner violence or social determinants of inequities in intimate partner violence					

e. I am not aware whether changes were made or were not made

Yes, changes were made based on other reason(s) (please explain)

8. Data Informed Decision-making
This section addresses decisions to change course in either how strategies are implemented or which strategies to implement.
Evaluators, state domestic violence coalition Project Coordinators and Executive Directors please answer for your SDVC. CCR respondents please answer for your CCR.
22. Since the end of Year 1, has the organization made data-informed changes in <u>how</u> the DELTA FOCUS strategies are implemented? (Select all that apply)
a. No changes were made
b. Yes, changes were made based on anecdotal information
c. Yes, changes were made based on data-to-action findings
d. Yes, changes were made based on community data

23. Please select the data-informed changes that were made. (Select all that apply)
a. Selected different partners
b. Modified which risk or protective factors will be changed
c. Moved the physical location of a program or strategy
d. Changed a strategy setting (e.g. from school to neighborhood)
e. Increased or decreased size of population to be affected by a strategy (e.g. School district-wide vs. middle schools only)
f. Changed a strategy's target population (e.g. youth to youth workers)
g. Changed a strategy's objectives or intended outcomes
h. Changed data collection plan (e.g. what data to collect, how to collect data, where to collect data, who provides the data, or who collects the data)
i. Changed strategy entirely
Other change(s) (please specify)
b. Yes, changes were made based on anecdotal information c. Yes, changes were made based on data-to-action findings d. Yes, changes were made based on community data e. I am not aware whether changes were made or were not made
'es, changes were made based on other reason(s) (please explain)

9. Sustainability

This section will address general organizational capacity, prevention capacity, and evaluation capacity which are all necessary for 1) implementing and evaluating any kind of intervention and 2) maintaining the momentum to collaboratively sustain prevention outcomes and programmatic changes.

Evaluators, state domestic violence coalition Project Coordinators and Executive Directors please answer for your SDVC. CCR respondents please answer for your CCR.

25. Please rate your organization's success with each of the following efforts.

	Not Successful	Somewhat Successful	Successful	Very Successful	Don't Know	N/A
a. Creating clear communication network between the organization and the broader community						
b. Using the media to promote awareness of organization goals and accomplishments						
c. Welcoming new members to the organization						
d. Recruiting new members to the organization						
e. Sustaining member interest in participating in the organization						
f. Making efforts to sustain itself over time						
g. Successfully generating resources for itself						
h. Making contributions to intimate partner violence prevention (e.g. developing tools, programs, or approaches; building or enhancing surveillance system; sharing evaluation or research findings)						
i. Actively engaging youth in teen dating violence prevention						
j. Sustaining survivor participation in the organization						
k. Achieving organizational practices that are culturally competent and relevant						

b. Intimate partner violence c. Sexual violence d. Child maltreatment e. Bullying f. Teen dating violence g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't know expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise in the benefits of evaluation to organization partners b. Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	c. Sexual violence d. Child maltreatment e. Bullying f. Teen dating violence g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Expertise expert	a. None						
d. Child maltreatment e. Bullying f. Teen dating violence g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise in the benefits of evaluation to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	d. Child maltreatment e. Bullying f. Teen dating violence g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise	b. Intimate partner violence						
e. Bullying f. Teen dating violence g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise	e. Bullying f. Teen dating violence g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expe	c. Sexual violence						
f. Teen dating violence g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	f. Teen dating violence g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise in a control organization in valuations (including board members and leadership team) Effectively overcome barriers to community participation in valuations (including board members to community participation in valuations (including board members and leadership team)	d. Child maltreatment						
g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise of the companient of the comp	g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise personnel with expertise experti	e. Bullying						
h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know her type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	h. Suicide i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expert	f. Teen dating violence						
i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise exp	i. Gun violence j. Elder abuse k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertis	g. Youth violence other than bullying and teen dating violence	9					
j. Elder abuse k. Don't know ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise know a. Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners D. Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	j. Elder abuse k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise in valuations (including board members and leadership team)	h. Suicide						
k. Don't know ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise know a. Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners b. Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	k. Don't know er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise know N/A Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in valuations (including board members and leadership team) Effectively overcome barriers to community participation in	i. Gun violence						
Ther type(s) (please specify) 7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise know a. Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners b. Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	er type(s) (please specify) Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise know N/A Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in valuations (including board members and leadership team) Effectively overcome barriers to community participation in	j. Elder abuse						
7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise know a. Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners D. Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise exper	k. Don't know						
7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise expertise expertise expertise expertise know a. Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners D. Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	Please rate the extent to which your organization has personnel with expertise to do the following? No Some Moderate Strong Don't expertise exper							
a. Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners D. Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in valuations (including board members and leadership team)		ias nersor	nnel with	expertise	e to do the	e followin	a?
evaluations (including board members and leadership team)	valuations (including board members and leadership team) Effectively overcome barriers to community participation in		No	Some	Moderate	Strong	Don't	
c. Effectively overcome barriers to community participation in		7. Please rate the extent to which your organization h	No	Some	Moderate	Strong	Don't	g?
evaluations (including partner organizations and partner settings)		7. Please rate the extent to which your organization h a. Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners b. Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in	No	Some	Moderate	Strong	Don't	
		Please rate the extent to which your organization has been been been been been been been bee	No expertise	Some	Moderate	Strong	Don't	
		7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has been satisfied as a community participation in the benefits of evaluation to organization partners are described by the satisfied by the	No expertise	Some	Moderate	Strong	Don't	
		7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has been satisfied as a community participation in the benefits of evaluation to organization partners are described by the satisfied by the	No expertise	Some	Moderate	Strong	Don't	
		7. Please rate the extent to which your organization has a. Explain the benefits of evaluation to organization partners by Effectively overcome barriers to organization participation in evaluations (including board members and leadership team) by Effectively overcome barriers to community participation in	No expertise	Some	Moderate	Strong	Don't	

28. Since the beginning of DELTA FOCUS, which of the following dissemination channels have you used to
share your DELTA FOCUS work beyond your state or community (select all that apply):
a. Presented at national or regional professional conferences
b. Collaborated on the work with prevention organizations in other states
c. Offered webinars or workshops on IPV prevention outside of your state (includes webinars or workshops that were attended by participants outside of your state or community)
d. Shared information about the work for news stories on IPV prevention that were disseminated outside of your state
e. Offered products or tools on your website
f. Shared products or tools with CDC
g. Participated in the development of highlight stories
h. Participated in the development of case studies
i. Described the work in peer-reviewed professional publications
j. Shared evaluation findings with violence prevention practitioners in other states
k. Shared evaluation findings with CDC
I. Don't know
Other way(s) (please specify)

	New And Developing	Strong	Needs Improvement	Don't Know	None	N/A
a. Academia/Education						
o. Business/For Profit/ Consultant						
c. Coalition/Alliance						
I. Community Based Organization						
e. Community Member						
. Elected/Appointed Official						
. Foundation/ Philanthropic						
a. Government Organization						
Public Health Organization						
Public Relations/ Media						
. Rape Prevention Education (RPE) Program						
Tribal Government Organization						
n. Other DELTA FOCUS Coalitions						
			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
artner violence prevention efforts on an o			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
D. Is there a written sustainability plan in artner violence prevention efforts on an a. Yes b. No c. Don't know			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
artner violence prevention efforts on an office and an office and an office are set on an office and an office are set on a set of a set on a set of a se			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
a. Yes b. No			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
artner violence prevention efforts on an oral a. Yes b. No			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
artner violence prevention efforts on an oral a. Yes b. No			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
artner violence prevention efforts on an oral a. Yes b. No			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate
artner violence prevention efforts on an office and an efforts on an office b. No			ation to prom	ote and sup	port intima	ate

DELTA FOCUS Program Evaluation Survey 10. Finished Thank you for completing this survey on behalf of the entire CDC DELTA FOCUS team!