SUPPORTING STATEMENT

 FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

*Survey on the Use of Funds Under Title II, Part A (SEA Uses of Funds)*

**A. Justification**

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a hard copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information, or you may provide a valid URL link or paste the applicable section[[1]](#footnote-1). Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, make note of the sections or changed sections, if applicable.

*The reauthorized Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) places a major emphasis on teacher quality as a significant factor in improving student achievement. Under ESEA, Title II, Part A provides funds to states (SEAs) and school districts (LEAs) to conduct a variety of teacher-related reform activities. ESEA funds can be used for a variety of teacher quality activities in any subject area. Although the majority of funds are provided to LEAs, allowable SEA uses of funds include:*

* *Reforming teacher and principal certification (including recertification) and licensure to ensure that teachers have the necessary subject-matter knowledge and teaching skills in the subjects they teach, that requirements are aligned with challenging state academic content standards, and that principals possess the instructional leadership skills to help teachers teach and students learn;*
* *Providing support to teachers and principals through programs such as teacher mentoring, team teaching, reduced class schedules, intensive professional development, and using standards or assessments to guide beginning teachers;*
* *Carrying out programs to establish, expand, or improve alternative routes for state certification for teachers and principals (especially in mathematics and science) that will encourage highly qualified individuals with at least a baccalaureate degree, including mid-career professionals, military personnel, paraprofessionals, and recent college graduates with records of academic distinction, to enter into the teaching profession;*
* *Developing and implementing effective mechanisms that help LEAs and schools recruit and retain highly qualified teachers, principals, and pupil services personnel; and*
* *Reforming tenure systems, implementing teacher testing for subject-matter knowledge, and implementing teacher testing for state certification or licensure, consistent with Title II of the Higher Education Act (HEA).*

*This SEA survey helps the Department understand how SEAs use their allocated Title II, Part A funds. In addition, many States have adopted new college- and career-ready standards and assessments and new educator evaluation systems; this survey provides insight into whether states are using Title II, Part A funds to support these goals.* *The survey also allows the Department to assess whether SEAs are using Title II, Part A funds to carry out their approved plans for equitable access to excellent educators. The Department wishes to renew this survey so as to continue to collect information from SEAs in fiscal year 2016, the last year of funding that will be subject to the allowable uses of funds in the current authorization of the ESEA.*

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

*The Department collects information annually through 52 short SEA surveys. The information is used by the Department to evaluate and describe the implementation of the Title II, Part A program at the SEA level and uses of Title II, Part A funds by SEAs. Results from past administrations of the SEA survey and a similar LEA survey may be found here:* [*http://www.ed.gov/programs/teacherqual/resources.html*](http://www.ed.gov/programs/teacherqual/resources.html)*. The Department reports the results of this study to Congress and the public. See Appendix A for a copy of the proposed data collection instrument for the 2016-2017 survey—the survey is distributed and completed online—the attached document shows the questions included in the online survey. Questions on the survey are unchanged for the previous clearance. The only changes to the survey form are a change to the instructions necessitated by moving the survey from a paper to an online administration, and a small formatting change to the chart on page 3 to address an item that was confusing to respondents.*

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden.

*The Department, through its contractor, asks respondents to complete the survey online. If requested, the contractor will provide respondents with an electronic Microsoft Word version of the data collection form.*

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

*While the Department collects similar information from LEAs, the SEA survey is the Department’s major tool for collecting information about how States use the Title II, Part A funds that remain with the SEA. This survey allows the Department to answer questions about the program and describe how SEAs use Title II, Part A funds.*

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

*This data collection does not affect small businesses or other small entities. Respondents for this data collection are SEAs.*

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

*The Department has extensively used findings from previous Title II, Part A data collections at both the SEA and LEA levels to evaluate the implementation of the Title II, Part A program. The data have been used to inform the Departments’ performance indicators for GPRA and annual budget deliberations in Congress. The data collection at the SEA level provides policymakers with the only source of data on how SEAs use their Title II, Part A funds. There are no technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.*

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

* requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;

 *Not applicable – this is an annual collection.*

* requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;

 *Respondents will have more than 30 days to prepare a response.*

* requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;

 *Respondents will only need to submit the original completed survey.*

* requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;

 *Respondents will not be required to retain records related to this data collection.*

* in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;

 *Not applicable to this data collection.*

* requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;

 *Not applicable to this data collection.*
* that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or

 *This data collection does not include a pledge of confidentiality.*
* requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information’s confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

 *Not required under this data collection.*
1. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

*The Department published a 60- and 30-day Federal Register notice and did not receive any public comments during the 60-day comment period.*

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

*No payments or gifts will be made.*

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.[[2]](#footnote-2) If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentially of the data.

 *The Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data, there are no assurance of confidentiality.*

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

*No questions are of a sensitive nature.*

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

* Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector – businesses or other for-profit, private sector – not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. All narrative should be included in item 12. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.
* If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in the ROCIS IC Burden Analysis Table. (The table should at minimum include Respondent types, IC activity, Respondent and Responses, Hours/Response, and Total Hours)
* Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.

*This survey will be administered to SEAs in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. We estimate that the survey will take an average of 5 hours to complete. The cost to respondents is estimated to be $28 per hour, resulting in a total cost to respondents of approximately $7,280 for the 2016-17 data collection. Westat, the contractor that will process the survey, has estimated this hourly rate based on previous experience with this survey.*

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)

* The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.
* If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.
* Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12

 Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost :

 Total Annual Costs (O&M) :

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Total Annualized Costs Requested :

*There are no costs that (a) meet the criteria for inclusion under this item, and (b) have not been addressed in either item #12 or #14.*

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

*The Department estimates the annualized cost to the federal government to be $24,514, which represents the budgeted cost for Westat to conduct the study. The cost breakdown across the major tasks for the survey is as follows:*

* *Survey development/preparation: $7,091 (70 hours)*
* *Data collection and entry: $6,071 (75 hours plus supplies, postage, and server costs hours)*
* *Data analysis and reporting: $11,352 (112 hours)*

*Westat has estimated these figures based on previous experience with the SEA survey.*

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency’s control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

*Extension:*

*There is an overall reduction in annualized costs to the Federal government from the previous iteration of clearance for this survey in two of the areas listed in question 14:*

* *Survey development/preparation: costs are reduced here because the survey tool has already been developed and has not been substantively changed. Remaining costs are related to updates needed each year to the data collection system.*
* *Data collection and entry: The survey was previously a paper survey. Moving the survey online has greatly reduced the number of hours needed for data entry.*

*There is a slight increase to burden costs described in question 12. While the number of hours estimated to complete the survey is unchanged, there is, as a result of inflation, a slight increase of one dollar per hour to the annualized cost to respondents.*

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

*Results from this study are published in issue briefs (currently available on the ED website at* [*http://www2.ed.gov/programs/teacherqual/resources.html*](http://www2.ed.gov/programs/teacherqual/resources.html)*). No complex analytical techniques are used. The data obtained through this survey are incorporated into congressional briefings, the Department’s GPRA indicators, and presentations to state Title II, Part A coordinators.*

*The survey is administered in December with a request for response in February (completed surveys accepted through March). Data cleaning and analysis occurs in April and May, with the final brief published on ED’s website by July.*

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

*No request is being made to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection.*

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

*No exceptions to the certification statement.*

1. Please limit pasted text to no longer than 3 paragraphs. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)