#### **SUPPORTING STATEMENT - for**

OMB Control Number 0584-0303

**FNS 275 - SNAP Quality Control Regulations** 

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program - Quality Control

7 CFR Part 275

USDA, Food and Nutrition Service

3101 Park Center Drive

Alexandria, Virginia 22302

### **Table of Contents**

| A1. CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAKE THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION NECESSARY       |
|---|
| A2. PURPOSE AND USE OF THE INFORMATION5                                   |
| A3. USE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND BURDEN REDUCTION                    |
| A4. EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION7                                      |
| A5. IMPACTS ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER SMALL ENTITIES                   |
| A6. CONSEQUENCES OF COLLECTING THE INFORMATION LESS FREQUENTLY            |
| A7. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES RELATING TO THE GUIDELINES OF 5 CFR 1320.59     |
| A8. COMMENTS TO THE FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE AND EFFORTS FOR CONSULTATION9 |
| A9. EXPLAIN ANY DECISIONS TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO RESPONDENTS10 |
| A10. ASSURANCES OF CONFIDENTIALITY PROVIDED TO RESPONDENTS                |
| A11. JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY QUESTIONS OF A SENSITIVE NATURE                |
| A12. ESTIMATES OF THE HOUR BURDEN OF THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION11      |
| A13. ESTIMATES OF OTHER TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN                          |
| A14. PROVIDE ESTIMATES OF ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT       |
| A15. EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM CHANGES OR ADJUSTMENTS20                      |
| A16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, AND PUBLICATION AND PROJECT TIME SCHEDULE20    |
| A17. DISPLAYING THE OMB APPROVAL EXPIRATION DATE                          |
| A18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 1921    |

<u>Appendices</u> FNS – 74A QC Related New Investment Plan FFY FNS – 74B QC New Investment Plan Progress Report

#### A1. Circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.

## Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

This is a revision of a currently approved data collection. The collection includes the sample plan, arbitration, good cause, and quality control (QC) related new investment requirements of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program's (SNAP) QC System.

#### a. Reporting

Section 11(d) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended (the Act), requires each State agency administering SNAP to submit a plan of operation specifying the manner in which the program is conducted. In addition to certain specific areas of program administration, Section 11(e) of the Act authorizes the inclusion of other provisions as required by regulation.

The legislative basis for the operation of SNAP's QC system is provided by Section 16 of the Act. Section 16 requires the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) to establish a system that enhances payment accuracy and improves administration by determining payment error rates, liabilities and performance bonuses. Section 16(c) allows the Department to require a State agency to report any data deemed necessary for determining these factors. Two of the items covered by this burden, the sampling plan and arbitrations of State-Federal differences must be completed prior to determination of the payment and case and procedural (formerly known as negative) error rates, the national average payment and case and procedural error rate, any liability amounts established and applicable performance bonuses awarded.

Part 275 of SNAP regulations implements the QC legislative mandate. The QC system is designed

to provide a basis for determining each State agency's error rate through a review of a sample of (SNAP QC) cases. QC data serves as an objective measure of program operations at the State level and is essential to the determination of a State agency's entitlement to a performance bonus or liability for excessive overpayments.

To help ensure that QC data is reliable and unbiased, paragraph 275.11(a) requires each State agency to submit a QC sampling plan to the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) for approval. The sampling plan is a part of the inclusive State Plan of Operation.

When a State agency disagrees with a Federal QC finding on an individual case selected for review, the regulations at 7 CFR 275.3(c)(4) provide that the State agency may request that the dispute be arbitrated by a FNS Arbitrator, subject to some limitations.

Paragraph 275.23(e)(7) provides a process for a State agency to seek relief from a QC liability that would otherwise be levied on the basis that the State agency had good cause for not achieving the payment error rate below the tolerance level. State agencies desiring such relief must file an appeal with the USDA's Administrative Law Judge in accordance with the procedures established under Part 283.

Section 16(c)(D)(i)(I) of the Act allows States in liability status to be offered a settlement agreement to invest fifty percent of a QC liability amount into SNAP administrative activities intended to reduce the State's SNAP error rates. Section 7 CFR 275.23(h) provides further detail explaining what needs to be included in the QC related new investment plans and progress reports.

This collection has been updated to include templates to assist State in meeting the requirements set in the aforementioned rules.

#### b. Recordkeeping:

Section 11(a) of the Act mandates that State agencies shall keep "...such records as may be necessary to ascertain whether the program is being conducted in compliance with the provisions of this Act and the regulations issued pursuant to this Act..." The Act also specifies that these records "shall be preserved for such period of time, not less than three years, as may be specified in the regulations issued pursuant to this Act." SNAP regulations at 7 CFR 272.1(f) specify that program records are to be retained for a period of three years from the month of origin.

#### A2. Purpose and Use of the Information.

## Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate how the agency has actually used the information received from the current collection.

<u>Sampling Plan:</u> All State agencies are required to select a QC sample of households from two universes:

(a) The active universe of households that are participating in SNAP; and

(b) The case and procedural universe of households, whose participation was denied, suspended or terminated.

Each State agency is responsible for the design and selection of the QC samples, subject to the regulations at 7 CFR 275.11 and FNS approval. Each State agency must submit a QC sampling plan and subsequent modifications of sample design, frame, or procedures to FNS. States presently send their sampling plans mostly through email, though a handful still send their plans

using the postal service. The sampling plan must include a complete description of the frame, the method of sample selection, and methods for estimating characteristics of the population and sampling errors. In addition, the sampling plan must include a description of its relationship, if any, to other Federally mandated programs. All sampling procedures used by the State agency, including frame composition and construction, must be fully documented and available for review by FNS.

Arbitration Process: The arbitration process at 7 CFR 275.3 (c)(4) provides a process for State agencies to dispute individual case findings when the State disagrees with Federal findings. State agencies may request arbitration for individual QC cases by filing this request within 20 calendar days of the date of receipt by the State agency of regional office findings. State agencies are required to submit all required documentation to the FNS National Arbitrator. Arbitration requests may be made over the phone, but there is no standard format required to submit the documentation and therefore may be sent via fax, email or US Postal Mail. The arbitration process provides due process protection for the State agency for individual QC cases that are selected for Federal review. If the National Arbitrator rules that the findings in the individual case should be changed, this change may have an impact on the calculation for the State agency's payment and case and procedural error rate and on the national average payment or case and procedural error rate.

Under the <u>Good Cause</u> process at 7 CFR 275.23(f), a State agency may seek relief from a QC liability claim on the basis that the State agency had good cause for not achieving a payment error rate below tolerance. A State agency desiring such relief must file an appeal with the

6

USDA's Administrative Law Judge in accordance with the procedures under Part 283. This process provides due process protection to the State agency for the QC liability. The outcome of this request could affect the validity and amount of a QC liability.

The Act and Section 7 CFR 275.23(h) state that States with QC related liability settlement agreements must invest fifty percent of their own State money into SNAP administrative activities intended to reduce the State's SNAP error rates. Form 74A, QC-Related New Investment Plan and 74B, QC New Investment Plan Progress Report will be used to ensure States submit all required elements in SNAP's rules for writing the new investment plans and progress reports. The information collected by the State in form 74A will serve as the State's new investment plan submission. Form 74B will be used to assist FNS in ensuring the State is fulfilling the error reduction plan they submitted using form 74A and to provide status on the plan's progress and outcomes upon completion. The documented challenges, successes, and outcomes of these plans to reduce errors will help FNS in creating a repository of practices that have assisted states in reducing errors.

#### A3. Use of information technology and burden reduction.

Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

In compliance with the E-Government Act, 2002 (E-Gov), this specific type of collection is not amenable to automated, electronic, mechanical or other technological techniques or other forms of information technology. However, States are encouraged to automate their sampling plans but are not mandated to do so.

#### A4. Efforts to identify duplication.

# Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Question 2.

There is no duplication of effort since there is no similar data available. FNS is solely

responsible for monitoring States QC systems. The sample plan, arbitration and good cause

processes are unique to the QC system and are not found elsewhere in SNAP. The new

investment requirements are a direct result of whether a State is in liability status and as such,

duplication is not a potential issue with this information collection.

#### A5. Impacts on small businesses or other small entities.

### If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities (Item 5 of OMB Form 83-I), describe any methods used to minimize burden.

The collection of information does not involve any small businesses or other small entities.

#### A6. Consequences of collecting the information less frequently.

# Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted, or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

This is an ongoing mandatory data collection. Sampling Plan: Less frequent collection could

allow incorrect or inappropriate State agency sampling methodology to go undetected. Without

a QC sampling plan FNS could not ensure program integrity. There would be no assurance that

State agencies operate their QC system in compliance with the Act and the FSP regulations.

This can potentially introduce a bias and adversely affect the integrity of the QC system. There

are no technical or legal obstacles to reducing the burden for the sampling plan.

Arbitration and Good Cause: Less frequent reporting or the elimination of the reporting burdens for the arbitration and good cause processes would not be in the interest of the State agencies. It would affect their ability to challenge individual case findings and QC system liabilities levied against them. Because of due process protections that these processes provide, there could potentially be technical or legal obstacles to eliminating these burdens.

New Investment: QC related new investment is required when States sign a settlement

agreement to resolve their liabilities (money they owe USDA). Utilization of the new forms

assists in ensuring States fulfill their settlement agreement requirements. There are no technical

or legal obstacles to reducing the burden for new investment.

#### A7. Special circumstances relating to the Guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5.

Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- Requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
- Requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
- Requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
- Requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
- In connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;
- Requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- That includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- Requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

There are no special circumstances that require collection inconsistent with 5 CFR 1320.5.

A8. Comments to the Federal Register Notice and efforts for consultation.

If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8 (d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

A Federal Register Notice (FRN) of this collection was published in the Federal Register on

August 16, 2016 (Volume 81, Number 158, Pages 54552 and 54552). FNS did not receive any

comments.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

New Investment: FNS requested information from States that were required to submit new

investment plans in the last 3 years to assist in determining the burden that goes into writing a

new investment plan (FNS 74 A) and progress reports (FNS 74 B). The feedback they provided

assisted in the determination of burden for the new forms.

FNS attends an annual meeting with the National Association of Program Information and

Performance Measurement (NAPIPM) organization, most recently in August of 2016, and holds

calls regularly with the Quality Control Technical Advisory Group (QC TAG) of this organization,

an association made up of State SNAP QC Directors, to discuss various QC topics including

requirements of FNS' 7 CFR 275 regulations.

#### A9. Explain any decisions to provide any payment or gift to respondents.

### Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

No payments or gifts are made to respondents.

#### A10. Assurances of confidentiality provided to respondents.

Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

The Department complies with the Privacy Act of 1974.

#### A11. Justification for any questions of a sensitive nature.

Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior or attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

A12. Estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information.

Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated.

A. Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13 of OMB Form 83-I.

#### **Reporting Burden:**

The total annual burden for this information collection is 2,268 total annual burden hours and

260 total annual responses for reporting and recordkeeping.

<u>Sampling Plan:</u> Fifty-three State agencies are required to have an acceptable sampling plan

in place for each annual reporting period. The number of annual responses from each State

agency will vary depending upon the revisions needed in a State agency's sampling plan. We

estimate that one revision will be needed per State agency per year.

FNS estimates that the number of hours per response will vary from 1 to 20 hours, depending upon the extent of the revision to the sampling plan. If the current sampling plan meets the State's needs and includes all required information as identified in SNAP regulations, State agencies may simply submit the existing plan. Otherwise, the plan must be modified as necessary. Before the initial submission, the respondent burden is dependent upon the frequency and magnitude of the proposed changes to an approved plan. Based on operational experience, FNS estimates an average annual burden of approximately 5 hours per response resulting in a total burden of 265 hours.

<u>Arbitration:</u> Fifty-three State agencies participate in the QC System. The number of annual requests for arbitration of Federal findings for cases in which the State agency disagrees with the Federal finding will vary from year to year and by State agency. On average, we estimate that fifteen State agencies will request arbitration of 4.2 cases per year, totaling 63 arbitrations a year. This estimate is based on the actual number of cases arbitrated over the past 3 years and the actual number of States that submitted requests for arbitration.

The number of hours per arbitrated case will vary depending on how long the State generally takes to prepare a case and the complexity of the case. Based on operational experience with these cases and the comments received, we estimate that it takes an average of 24 hours per response. This results in an estimated reporting burden relating to the arbitration process of 1,512 hours.

<u>Good Cause:</u> Fifty-three State agencies participate in the QC System. The number of good cause requests by State agencies will be driven by the number of State agencies that are

12

subject to QC liabilities and fail to pay or settle the claim. Based on operational experience we estimate that only two State agencies will submit one good cause request per year. The number of hours for preparing a good cause request could vary greatly since the grounds for the request will differ according to State circumstances. We estimate a State agency will take about 160 hours to process a good cause request, therefore making a 320 hour good cause annual burden for the last three years.

<u>New Investment Plan and Progress Report:</u> Based on the number of State agencies subject to the QC-related new investment requirement over the last three years and feedback from those State agencies that responded to our inquiry, we estimate the amount of time for a State agency to complete FNS Form 74A to create a QC-related new investment plan is approximately 32 hours. This estimate includes determining root causes of a State agency's error rate, exploring methods to address those causes, and writing up the plan to address those causes. In addition, we estimate it will take approximately 5 hours per respondent to complete FNS Form 74B, the plan's progress report, which updates FNS on the status of the activities in the State agency's plan. We estimate the total annual reporting burden for 4 State Agencies to complete a new investment plan to be 128 hours and 40 hours for the progress report.

#### **Recordkeeping Burden:**

<u>Sampling Plan:</u> All 53 State agencies are required to maintain records of their sampling plans for the recordkeeping requirement. We estimate that the burden is 1½ minutes (0.0236 hours) per record resulting in a total annual burden of about 1.25 hours.

<u>Arbitration:</u> Each State agency is required to maintain records for the recordkeeping

13

requirement. On average, we estimate that fifteen State agencies will maintain records of 4.2 cases per year and the time it takes is 1½ minutes (0.0236 hours) per record resulting in a total annual burden of approximately 1.4868 hours.

<u>Good Cause:</u> Each State agency is required to maintain records for the recordkeeping requirement. Based on operational experience we estimate that two State agencies will maintain one record per year. We estimate the burden is 1½ minutes (0.0236 hours) per record resulting in a total annual burden of about 0.05 hours.

<u>New Investment Plan and Progress Report:</u> Each State agency is required to maintain records for the recordkeeping requirement. For the new investment plan we estimate that two State agencies will maintain one record per year. The estimated burden is 1½ minutes (0.0236 hours) per record resulting in an annual burden of about 0.0944 hours. For the progress report we estimate two records will be kept per respondent per year, resulting in a current burden of approximately 0.1888 hours.

The overall estimated reporting burden for this collection is 2,265 hours and the overall estimated Recordkeeping burden for this collection is 3.068 hours. Therefore, the total estimated reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection is 2,268.07 hours.

The requested annual recordkeeping burden associated with the QC sampling plan remains at 1.25 hours per year. The revised annual recordkeeping burdens associated with arbitration has increased from 0.7788 hour to 1.4868 hours and the good cause process has remained at 0.0472

hour. The estimated recordkeeping burden for the QC-related new investment plan and progress reports total 0.0944 hour and 0.1888 hours respectively. The burden for recordkeeping has increased from 2.076 hours to 3.068 hours. As a result, the overall annual burden for the QC system, as proposed by this notice, increased from 1,379.076 to 2,268.07 hours, totaling an increase of 891.994 hours. *See* tables below.

To estimate public cost, FNS consulted with the U.S. Department of Labor's May 2015 Occupational and Wage statistics – 21-0000 Community and Social Services Occupations (http://www.bls.gov/oes/2015/may/oes210000.htm). The average hourly wage of this occupation area is at \$22.15. However, since State agencies only pay 50 percent of their administrative costs, \$11.075 is used as minimum wage in our calculations to determine the annualized State costs, bringing the overall estimated annualized costs for State agencies to \$27,507.93. This is a \$13,507.92 increase from the \$14,000.01 collection burden reported in 2013. This increase is mostly due to the increase in the number of States utilizing the arbitration process and the official inclusion of the recording and recordkeeping burdens by adding the new forms to assist States in fulfilling their requirements for QC related new investments.

|                    | Reporting Burden   |                                     |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Affected<br>Public | Requirement  | Estimated#<br>of<br>Respondent<br>s | Responses<br>Annually<br>per<br>Responden<br>t | Total<br>Annual<br>Response<br>s (Col.<br>bxc) | Estimate<br>d Avg. #<br>of Hours<br>per<br>Response | Estimated Total<br>Hours (Col. dxe) |  |  |
| State Agencies     | Sampling Plan  | 53                                  | 1  | 53   | 5   | 265                                 |  |  |
| State Agencies     | Arbitration<br>Process   | 15                                  | 4.2  | 63   | 24  | 1,512                               |  |  |
| State Agencies     | Good Cause<br>Process  | 2                                   | 1  | 2  | 160   | 320                                 |  |  |
| State Agencies     | New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 A               | 4                                   | 1  | 4  | 32  | 128                                 |  |  |
| State Agencies     | New Investment<br>Progress Report<br>Template Form<br>FNS 74 B | 4                                   | 2  | 8  | 5   | 40                                  |  |  |
| Grand Total R      | eporting   | 53                                  |  | 130  |   | 2,265                               |  |  |

#### A) Reporting Estimate breakdown:

#### B) Recordkeeping Burden

| Affected Public           | Requirement  | Estimated<br># of<br>Respondents | Number of<br>Reports<br>Annually<br>per State | Number<br>of Total<br>Annual<br>Records | Estimated<br>Time per<br>Record | Estimated Total<br>Recordkeeping Hours |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|
| State Agencies            | Sampling Plan  | 53                               | 1   | 53                                      | 0.0236                          | 1.2508                                 |
| State Agencies            | Arbitration Process  | 15                               | 4.2   | 63                                      | 0.0236                          | 1.4868                                 |
| State Agencies            | Good Cause Process   | 2                                | 1   | 2                                       | 0.0236                          | 0.0472                                 |
| State Agencies            | New Investment Plan<br>Template Form FNS<br>74 A               | 4                                | 1   | 4                                       | 0.0236                          | 0.0944                                 |
| State Agencies            | New Investment<br>Progress Report<br>Template Form FNS<br>74 B | 4                                | 2   | 8                                       | 0.0236                          | 0.1888                                 |
| Grand Total Recordkeeping |  | 53                               |   | 130                                     |                                 | 3.068                                  |

#### C) Combined Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden Hours

| Affected Public                           | Requirement                                       | Estimated #<br>of<br>Respondents | Responses<br>Annually per<br>Respondent | Total<br>Annual<br>Responses | Estimated<br>Avg. # of<br>Hours per<br>Response | Estimated<br>Total<br>Hours |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| State Agencies<br>Reporting               | Sampling Plan<br>Arbitration                      | 53                               | 2.4528301                               | 130                          | 17.423076                                       | 2,265.00                    |
| State Agencies<br>Recordkeeping           | Process & Good<br>Cause Process &<br>FNS 74 A & B | 53                               | 2.4528301                               | 130                          | 17.423076                                       | 3.068                       |
| Grand Total Reporti<br>Recordkeeping Burd |   | 53                               |   | 260                          |   | 2,268.07                    |

**B. Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories.** 

D) Annualized Reporting Costs – States

| Type of<br>Respondent | Requirement                                      | Responses Per<br>Year | Hours Per<br>Response | Wage-50%<br>Cost Per<br>Hour | Total<br>Reporting<br>Cost |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| State<br>Agencies     | Sampling Plan                                    | 53                    | 5                     | \$11.075                     | \$2,934.88                 |
| State<br>Agencies     | Arbitration                                      | 63                    | 24                    | \$11.075                     | \$17,277.00                |
| State<br>Agencies     | Good Cause                                       | 2                     | 160                   | \$11.075                     | \$3,544.00                 |
| State<br>Agencies     | New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 A | 4                     | 32                    | \$11.075                     | \$1,417.60                 |
| State<br>Agencies     | New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 B | 8                     | 5                     | \$11.075                     | \$443.00                   |
| Total Reportin        | Total Reporting Cost                             |                       |                       |                              | \$25,616.48                |

E) Annualized Recordkeeping Costs – States

| Type of<br>Respondent Requirement | Responses Per<br>Year | Hours Per<br>Response | Wage-50%<br>Cost Per<br>Hour | Total<br>Reporting<br>Cost |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|

|   | Sampling Plan                                    | 53 | 0.0236 | \$11.075 | \$13.86    |  |
|---|--|----|--------|----------|------------|--|
|   | Arbitration                                      | 63 | 0.0236 | \$11.075 | \$16.47    |  |
|   | Good Cause                                       | 2  | 0.0236 | \$11.075 | \$0.52     |  |
| State Agency  | New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 A | 4  | 32     | \$11.075 | \$1,417.60 |  |
|   | New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 B | 8  | 5      | \$11.075 | \$443      |  |
| Total Recordkeeping Cost                                  |  |    |        |          |            |  |
| Total State Reporting and Recordkeeping annualized costs: |  |    |        |          |            |  |

#### A13. Estimates of other total annual cost burden.

Provide estimates of the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information, (do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in questions 12 and 14). The cost estimates should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component annualized over its expected useful life; and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component.

There are no capital/start-up or ongoing operation/ maintenance costs associated with this

information collection.

#### A14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.

## Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Provide a description of the method used to estimate cost and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

The total annualized cost to the Federal Government (using Federal Salary Table for GS 11/2

and 12/6) on oversight of the states' sampling plans, arbitration activities, good cause actions,

and new investments is estimated at \$126,088.72. This cost includes the federal government's

share for (1) printing and postage for arbitration and good cause claims, (2) 50% of the states'

reporting and recordkeeping costs of the five activities, and (3) the average cost for associated

federal staff to work on the five activities each year. These costs are operational costs only as

there are no automation costs for these functions.

| Requirement<br>Regional Offices                  | Total<br>Responses<br>Per Year | Hrs Per<br>Response | Regional Office<br>Respondents<br>per Response | Regional Ofc<br>Salary<br>GS11/2 | Regional Office<br>Salary Costs |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Sampling Plan                                    | 53                             | 24                  | 1  | \$25.65                          | \$32,626.80                     |
| Arbitration                                      | 63                             | 5                   | 1  | \$25.65                          | \$8,079.75                      |
| Good Cause                                       | 2                              | 0                   | 0  | \$25.65                          | \$0                             |
| New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 A | 4                              | 8                   | 1  | \$25.65                          | \$820.80                        |
| New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 B | 8                              | 4                   | 1  | \$25.65                          | \$820.80                        |

| Requirement<br>National Offices                  | Total<br>Responses<br>Per Year | Hrs Per<br>Response | N.O<br>Respondents<br>per Response | N.O<br>Salary<br>GS 12/6 base | National Office<br>Salary Costs |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Sampling Plan                                    | 53                             | 0                   | 0                                  | \$34.72                       | 0                               |
| Arbitration                                      | 63                             | 18                  | 1                                  | \$34.72                       | \$39,372.48                     |
| Good Cause                                       | 2                              | 50                  | 4                                  | \$34.72                       | \$13,888.00                     |
| New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 A | 4                              | 4                   | 1                                  | \$34.72                       | \$555.52                        |
| New Investment<br>Plan Template<br>Form FNS 74 B | 8                              | 1.5                 | 1                                  | \$34.72                       | \$416.64                        |
|  | \$96,580.79                    |                     |                                    |                               |                                 |

| Printing/ Postage Costs<br>Reporting and<br>Recordkeeping Costs | Federal Salary Costs | Total Federal Costs |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|
|---|----------------------|---------------------|

| \$2,000 | \$27,507.93 | \$96,580.79 | \$126,088.72 |
|---------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|         |             |             |              |

#### A15. Explanation of program changes or adjustments.

### Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB Form 83-I.

This is a revision of a currently approved data collection. The current burden inventory is 1,379 total burden hours and 176 total annual responses. FNS is seeking 2,268 total annual burden hours and 260 total annual responses. Due to program adjustments this request reflects an increase of 889 total annual burden hours and 84 total annual responses.

The majority of the increase in burden for this collection is due to program adjustments as a result of the number of total annual responses for the arbitration process. Currently there are 33 total annual responses FNS is requesting 63 total annual responses for this revision which reflects a increase of 30 total annual responses. The other increase of this collection came from the addition of the QC related new investment forms (FNS 74 A & B). While the program has not changed its requirements for QC related new investment and progress reports, the addition of forms 74A, QC-Related New Investment Plan and 74B, QC New Investment Plan Progress Report do reflect a168.28 increase in total burden hours.

#### A16. Plans for tabulation, and publication and project time schedule.

For collections of information whose results are planned to be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication.

There are no plans for tabulation and publication.

#### A17. Displaying the OMB Approval Expiration Date.

### If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

FNS will be displaying the expiration date on the new forms.

#### A18. Exceptions to the certification statement identified in Item 19.

### Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in Item 19 of the "OMB 83-I Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act."

This information collection conforms to the requirement of 5 CFR 1320.9. There are no

exceptions to the certification statement.