**Address Canvassing Test**

**Draft as of February 8, 2016**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Operation | Approving Official | Approving Official - Signature | Date approved |
| Address Canvassing | Evan Moffett |  |  |
| Geographic Programs | Evan Moffett |  |  |
| Content and Forms Design | Jessica Graber |  |  |
| Communications | Kimberly Higginbotham |  |  |
| Field Infrastructure *(includes MDCP)* | Alexa Jones-Puthoff |  |  |
| IT Infrastructure | Pete Boudriault |  |  |
| Decennial Logistics Management | Alexa Jones-Puthoff |  |  |
| Decennial Service Center | Alexa Jones-Puthoff |  |  |
| Approving all of the above | Deirdre Bishop |  |  |

| **Assumptions and Site Selection Criteria** |
| --- |
| **Operations** -- (Note – Support Operations have not test objectives or research questions.) |
| Address Canvassing |
| **Assumptions and Site Selection Criteria** |
| Universe   1. The Address Canvassing Test will occur in two sites within the United States, with each containing 4,000 contiguous non-zero housing unit blocks. 2. The Address Canvassing Test will occur in two sites in the lower 48 states (the test sites will not be in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico or the Island Areas). 3. The Address Canvassing Test sites will contain a variety of address styles, such as city-style addresses, non city-style address, and location descriptions (low priority). 4. The Address Canvassing Test will contain one site that has been through GSS-I Local File Updating (specifically through the Address Source Evaluation) and one site that has not. 5. The Address Canvassing Test will contain one site that has commercial file data available for updating and one site that has not. 6. The Address Canvassing Test will contain one site that is experiencing growth (≥1.7% based on 2010-2014 housing unit estimates) and one site that is experiencing decline (<0% based on 2010-2014 housing unit estimates). 7. The Address Canvassing Test will contain one site that contains geographic areas identified as potential In-Field Address Canvassing blocks (i.e., excludes preliminary Update Enumerate areas, blocks with 100% Delivery Sequence File coverage, and blocks that have not experienced any changes in the MAF inventory of addresses between 2010 and 2014). 8. The Address Canvassing Test sites will not contain Update Enumerate areas. 9. The Address Canvassing Test sites will not contain military areas. 10. The Address Canvassing Test will use the Basic Collection Unit (BCU) as the unit of geography to organize and manage work assignments. 11. All BCUs in the Address Canvassing Test sites will be canvassed in both the office and the field.   In-Field Canvassing   1. In-Field Address Canvassing will be conducted using Corporate Listing and Mapping Solutions (LiMA), Mobile Case Management, MOJO and UTS (i.e., CEDCaP systems). 2. In-Field Address Canvassing data collection will be conducted using a smartphone device. 3. In-Field Address Canvassing will conduct a second canvass of selected BCUs to perform a rudimentary quality estimation on those BCUs. If a large discrepancy rate is found between the two listings on the same BCU, it may imply one of the two listings was of poor quality, or the block was difficult to list.  This information may be of use when resolving discrepancies between In-Office Address Canvassing and In-Field Address Canvassing. 4. In-Field Address Canvassing will not collect feature updates. 5. In-Field Address Canvassing results will update the MAF/TIGER database.   Other   1. In-Office Address Canvassing and In-Field Address Canvassing will inform the same management reporting system. 2. The Address Canvassing Integrated Product Team will review Lessons Learned from previous census tests and use them to guide the planning for the test if appropriate. |

**Address Canvassing Test**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test Focus | Focus of the test is:   * Measure the effectiveness of In-Office Address Canvassing through In-Field Address Canvassing * Measure the effectiveness of In-Field Address Canvassing * Understand the implications of moving from Assignment Area (a grouping of blocks) to Basic Collection Unit (BCU), which encompass the characteristics of both collection blocks and assignment areas. |
| Milestone Schedule | Begin Field Management Training August 29, 2016  Begin Field Supervisor Training September 13, 2016  Begin Field Representative Training September 26, 2016  Begin In-Field Data Collection October 3, 2016  End In-Field Data Collection November 15, 2016  Release Address Canvassing Test Analysis Report April 29, 2017 |
| Scope and Limitations | The Address Canvassing Test allows for the comparison of results from both In-Office Address Canvassing and In-Field Address Canvasing to measure the effectiveness of In-Office Address Canvassing procedures and processes. This test is not intended to measure or assess the coverage of the Master Address File. Field Staff hired to support the Test will be Field Representatives and Field Supervisors; we are not hiring staff via DAPPS due to time constraints. |

| **Operations with Test Objectives and Research Questions** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goals** | **Objectives** | **Success Criteria** | **Questions to Answer** |
| **Address Canvassing** | | | |
| Measure the effectiveness of In-Office Address Canvassing | * Implement In-Office Address Canvassing processes. Including: * Interactive Review * Active Block Resolution (ABR) * MAF Update * Identification of the In-Field Address Canvassing workload | * Update the MAF * Collect production metrics * Make use of resources provided by local governments and commercial third party data * Collect data to directly compare In-Office to In-Field Address Canvassing results * Collect data to determine if the identification of the In-Field Address Canvassing workload was accurate | * How accurate are the results from In-Office Address Canvassing relative to In-Field Address Canvassing?   + Did In-Office Address Canvassing miss housing units that In-Field Address Canvassing identified? What types of units were missed (e.g., multi-units, trailers)?   + Did In-Office Address Canvassing identify housing units that In-Field Address Canvassing missed? What types of units?   + Did In-Field Address Canvassing take actions (adds, deletes, changes, moves) that appear to be consistent with other sources of data (e.g., DSF status, GSS-I local file update status)? * Can we identify the kinds of housing situations in which In-Office Address Canvassing performs as or more effectively than In-Field Address Canvassing and vice versa? * Did In-Office Canvassing accurately identify the BCUs that required In-Field Address Canvassing work? |
| Measure the effectiveness of system integration for In-Field Address Canvassing | * Integrate multiple information technology applications (LiMA, MCM, MOJO, MOCs, MAF/TIGER, UTS and CEM) to create one seamless operational data collection, control, and management system | * The appropriate data were passed from system to system in order to implement In-Field Address Canvassing processes | N/A |
| Measure the effectiveness of In-Field Address Canvassing | * Implement In-Field Address Canvassing on a small platform device | * Data were collected to assess the effectiveness of listing on a small platform device * Data were collected to assess whether there is an impact on field staff | * Can listing effectively be completed on a small platform device? * What are the impacts on field staff? |
| Measure the efficiency of In-Field Address Canvassing | * Collect operational paradata | * Data are summarized to inform field cost factors for future budget estimation * Data are collected from systems to inform future operational planning activities | * How much time did FRs spend doing each of the following tasks: * Driving to the assigned block?   + Conducting work in the assigned block? |
| Assess management of Address Canvassing using the NRFU approach to alerts | * Refine and expand alerting capabilities related to Address Canvassing activities | * Newly developed alerts are deemed effective.  Refinements to existing alerts are deemed potentially effective | * What are the most effective set of alerts for field supervisors to stay aware of potential issues in the Address Canvassing operation? |
| Test methods to train Field Staff to effectively conduct In-Field Addressing Canvassing | * Evaluate the effectiveness of: * Field Representative and Field Supervisor Online training * Field Representative Classroom training utilizing Field Supervisors who will be trained on facilitation skills and subject matter. * Field Supervisors Classroom training utilizing Field Managers who will be trained on facilitation skills and subject matter | * Field Representatives and Field Supervisors demonstrate competency and application of knowledge and skills to perform at desired level | * To what degree do Field Representatives and Field Supervisors react favorably to the Online and Classroom training * To what degree do Field Representatives and Field Supervisors acquire the intended knowledge and skills based on their participation in the Online and Classroom training * To what degree so Field Representatives and Field Supervisors apply what they learned during training when they are on the job |
| Understand the implications of moving from Assignment Area (a grouping of blocks) to Basic Collection Unit (BCU), which are the equivalent of blocks. | * Use BCUs as the lowest level collection geography used for canvassing | * The operation is successfully completed using BCUs | * What was the impact of using BCUs? * Did the field staff successfully canvass the BCUs? |

|  |
| --- |
| **Support Operations** |
| Geographic Programs |
| N/A |
| Content and Forms Design |
| N/A |
| Communications |
| N/A |
| Field Infrastructure |
| N/A |
| IT Infrastructure |
| Multiple devices, including iOS and Android, will be fielded under the Device-as-a-Service capability.  Further testing of field data collection automation in a disconnected state.  Further testing and paradata collection related to GPS point collection. |
| Decennial Logistics Management |
|  |
| Decennial Service Center |
| Identifying and cataloging the types of Service Desk tickets/issues associated with an In-Field Address Canvassing operation |