

The Department of Education (the Department) proposes to amend the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program regulations issued under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA), to implement changes made to the regulations in §685.222. These proposed regulations are a result of negotiated rulemaking and would add new requirements to the current regulations.

These proposed regulations in §685.222 would provide a framework for the borrower defense group process, including descriptions of the circumstances under which group borrower defense claims could be considered, and the process the Department would follow for borrower defenses for a group. The proposed regulations would establish a process for review and determination of a borrower defense for groups identified by the Secretary for which the borrower defense is made with respect to Direct Loans for attendance at a school that has closed and has provided no financial protection currently available to the Secretary from which to recover any losses based on borrower defense claims, and for which there is no appropriate entity from which the Secretary can otherwise practicably recover such losses. The proposed regulation would establish the process for groups identified by the Secretary for which the borrower defense is asserted with respect to Direct Loans to attend an open school.

§685.222(g) Procedures for group process for borrower defenses with respect to loans made to attend a closed school.

Section 685.222(g) of the proposed regulations would establish a process for review and determination of a borrower defense for groups identified by the Secretary for which the borrower defense is made with respect to Direct Loans to attend a school that has closed and has provided no financial protection currently available to the Secretary from which to recover any losses based on borrower defense claims, and for which there is no appropriate entity from which the Secretary can otherwise practicably recover such losses.

Under proposed §685.222(g)(1), a hearing official would review the Department official's basis for identifying the group and resolve the claim through a fact-finding process. As part of that process, the hearing official would consider any evidence and argument presented by the Department official on behalf of the group and on behalf of individual members of the group. The hearing official would consider any additional information the Department official considers necessary, including any Department records or response from the school or a person affiliated with the school as described §668.174(b) as reported to the Department or as recorded in the Department's records if practicable.

There will be burden on any school which elects to provide records or response to the hearing official's fact finding. We anticipate that each group would represent a single institution. We estimate that there will be four potential groups involving closed schools.

We estimate that the fact-finding process would require 50 hours from 1 private closed school or persons affiliated with that closed school (1 private institution x 50 hours).

Affected Entity	# of Respondents	# of Responses	Hrs/Response	Total Burden
Private Institutions	1	1	x 50 hours	50

§685.222(h) Procedures for group process for borrower defenses with respect to loans made to attend an open school.

Proposed §685.222(h) would establish the process for groups identified by the Secretary for which the borrower defense is asserted with respect to Direct Loans to attend an open school.

A hearing official would resolve the borrower defense and determine any liability of the school through a fact-finding process. As part of the process, the hearing official would consider any evidence and argument presented by the school and the Department official on behalf of the group and, as necessary, evidence presented on behalf of individual group members.

The hearing official would issue a written decision. If the hearing official approves the borrower defense, that decision would describe the basis for the determination, notify the members of the group of the relief provided on

the basis of the borrower defense, and notify the school of any liability to the Secretary for the amounts discharged and reimbursed.

If the hearing official denies the borrower defense in full or in part, the written decision would state the reasons for the denial, the evidence that was relied upon, the portion of the loans that are due and payable to the Secretary, and whether reimbursement of amounts previously collected is granted, and would inform the borrowers that their loans will return to their statuses prior to the group borrower defense process. It also would notify the school of any liability to the Secretary for any amounts discharged. The Secretary would provide copies of the written decision to the members of the group, the Department official and the school.

The hearing official's decision would become final as to the merits of the group borrower defense claim and any relief that may be granted within 30 days after the decision is issued and received by the Department official and the school unless, within that 30-day period, the school or the Department official appeals the decision to the Secretary. A decision of the hearing official would not take effect pending the appeal. The Secretary would render a final decision following consideration of any appeal.

After a final decision has been issued, if relief for the group has been denied in full or in part, a borrower may file an individual claim for relief for amounts not discharged in the group process. In addition, the Secretary may reopen a borrower defense application at any time to consider new evidence, as discussed above.

There will be burden on any school that provides evidence and responds to any argument made to the hearing official's fact finding and if the school elects to appeal the final decision of the hearing official regarding the group claim. We anticipate that each group would represent claims from a single institution. We estimate that there will be six potential groups involving open schools.

We estimate that the fact-finding process would require 150 hours from the 3 open private institutions or persons affiliated with that school (3 institutions x 50 hours).

We further estimate that the appeal process would require 150 hours from the 3 open private institutions or persons affiliated with that school (3 institutions x 50 hours).

Affected Entity	# of Respondents	# of Responses	Hrs/Response	Total Burden
Private Institutions	3	6	x 50 hours	300
TOTALS				
Respondents	4			
Responses		7		
Burden Hours				350