

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD NOTICE OF APPROVAL **IRB No. 0566-100051149 Rev 1.0**

Principal Investigator/Project Manager : Jennifer Brustrom

Proposal/Project Title : Conduct an Older Adult Mobility Assessment Tool Impact Evaluation and Develop a Dissemination Plan (OAMAT)

Client/Funding Agency : U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

IRB No. : 0566-100051149
Rev 1.0

Date of Submission to IRB: 1 August 2016

Proposal No. : OPP116214

Project No. : 100051149-Task6

Subcontract to Battelle from N/A (if applicable)

Subcontract from Battelle to N/A (if applicable)

Level of Review – **Continuing Review**

- Expedited. 45 CFR 46.110 (b)(1) Category 7, “Research on individual or group characteristics or behavior (including, but not limited to, research on perception, cognition, motivation, identity, language, communication, cultural beliefs or practices, and social behavior) or research employing survey, interview, oral history, focus group, program evaluation, human factors evaluation, or quality assurance methodologies”

Type of Approval – See Page 2 of 3 for Requirements and Restrictions

- Continuing IRB Review

As per Rev 0.0, Human Subjects’ informed consent must be documented for PHASE 1: Cognitive Interview, but documentation is waived for PHASE 2: Evaluation Study “Survey Telephone Interview”.

This study requires the IRB’s re-review and approval at least once every 365 days. The continuing review was originally scheduled for December 2016, but the IRB’s requirement for continuing review has been met with this ruling. Rev 1.0 of this study (Rev 1.0) continues approval to 1 August 2017.

Gary M. Sapp for Robert Lordo¹

9 August 2016

Signature
Primary Reviewer, Battelle Institutional Review Board

Date

Robert Lordo, Ph.D.
Print or Type Name

¹ Approved by Robert Lordo – Teleconference with Gary Sapp on 9 August 2016 at 0915 EST

Requirements and Restrictions

- A. **Any problems of a serious nature resulting from implementation of this protocol should be brought to the attention of the Battelle IRB, and any proposed changes should be submitted for IRB approval before they are implemented.**
- B. Per 45 CFR 46.109(e), the IRB has the authority to observe or to have a third party observe the consent process and the research.
- C. Per 45 CFR 46.113, the IRB has the authority to suspend or terminate approval of research that is not being conducted in accordance with the IRB's requirements or that has been associated with unexpected serious harm to subjects.

Continuing Review/Approval. Federal regulations require that human subjects research protocols maintain IRB approval for the entire duration of the research study, including data analysis and report writing. If the formal study requires IRB approval beyond 1 August 2017 (the final day of approval), apply for continuing approval of IRB No. 0566-100051149 Rev 1.0.

Approval for Amendments. Seek the IRB's approval for any proposed amendments/ revisions to the protocol, including changes to study documents and recruiting materials. Federal regulations require that the IRB re-review and re-approve human subjects research prior to implementing any proposed amendments or revisions. Complete and submit an application for amendment to the IRB manager.

Reporting. The following events must always be reported to the IRB:

- Unforeseen events within four (4) hours of discovery. See definition of unforeseen event on page 3 of 3.
- Protocol violations that
 - Placed a human subject at risk, or
 - Were caused by the action or inaction of a researcher
- New or changed risks to human subjects, including new findings
- Failure to follow regulations or IRB requirements
- Unresolved complaint by a human subject
- Audit, inspection, or inquiry by a federal agency
- Breach of confidentiality
- Change to the protocol taken without prior IRB review to eliminate an apparent immediate hazard to a human subject
- Incarceration of a human subject.

Documentation Control Requirements. Study documents and records, e.g., informed consent documents and data collection instruments, must be maintained in accordance with established confidentiality measures. Federal regulations require that all documents and records be retained for at least three (3) years after a study is formally closed. Battelle policy or client requirements may require a longer retention.

Definitions

Expedited Review – Review of proposed research by the IRB chair or a designated voting member or group of voting members rather than by the entire IRB. Federal regulations at 45 CFR 46.110 permit expedited review for certain kinds of research involving no more than minimal risk and for minor changes in approved research. Only the IRB can determine if a proposed research activity meets the requirements for regulation.

Adverse Event - An event or incident not previously known or not anticipated to result from:

- The interactions or interventions used in the research;
- The collection of privately identifiable information under the research;
- An underlying disease, disorder or condition of a human subject, and/or,
- Other circumstances unrelated to the research or any underlying disease, disorder or condition of the subject.

Minimal Risk - The probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research are not greater in and of themselves than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests. Depending upon applicable regulations, “minimal risk” may be defined differently for minors and other vulnerable populations.

Nonconformance - A determination that some aspect of a research study has not been performed in accordance with applicable laws and regulations, ethical standards, Battelle policies, IRB requirements, or contractual obligations.

Unforeseen Event - - A Battelle-coined term that has no regulatory equivalent, but that may summarize one or more of the following terms: (1) adverse events; (2) unanticipated problems involving subjects or others; or (3) non-conformances. Unforeseen Events must be reported to an IRB via an established reporting process.

Unanticipated Problem Involving Subjects or Others- An event in a human research study that is not expected given the nature of the research procedures and the subject population being studied, and suggests that the research places subjects or others at a greater risk of harm or discomfort related to the research than was previously known or recognized.