THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 18 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Capacity Survey - CBCC Service Recipients

(Administered once to CQI Workshop participants one year post-CQI workshop). Below are skills and approaches that people sometimes use and develop when working on solving problems, or developing strategies to make improvements to their services or agency's functioning. No group is expected to have, or develop, all of these skills.

Please think about the group of people from your CIP who are currently working with the Center for Courts_on (prefill name of component from <u>CapTRACK</u>) and describe the group's current knowledge for each task listed. Then please give us your opinion of the group's knowledge prior to working with Center for Courts (prefill date CQI workshop attended).

CM Knowle	dg	e & Skills		CURRE	NT KNOW	/LEDGE		BEFORE		ORK with O	Center fo	r Courts
Constructs (S	Ste	ps construct found)	Not knowledge able at all		Moderately knowledge able					Moderately knowledgea ble		Extremely knowledge able
		want to explore a problem, or make an improvement in es, we know how to:								1		
Engage Partners (Step 2,3,4,5)	1.	Identify who in in our dependency court system we should involve, and why	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Engage Partners (Step 2,3,4,5)	2.	Identify which of our external partners/community members we should involve, and why	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Seek Data (Steps 1,3,4)	3.	Identify a variety of data sources and types of information that we have, or that we can collect, to explore an issue that we are concerned about	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Analyze data (Steps	4.	Assess how widespread or prevalent an issue is	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1 2 4 10 11)	5.	Identify the groups that are most and least impacted by the issue we are exploring	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	6.	Generate theories and ideas based on our data and information about what causes or contributes to the	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

	edge & Skills Steps construct found)		CURRE	NT KNOW	/LEDGE		BEFORE OUR WORK with Center for Courts				
Constructs (Not knowledge able at all		Moderately knowledge able	Very knowledg eable	Extremely knowledg eable	knowledge	Slightly knowledge able	Moderately knowledgea ble		Extremely knowledge able
	issue										
	considering ways that we might make improvements to our s, we know how to:										
Use Research (Steps 4,5,6)	7. Find research, and/or peers with expertise, to help us think about how we might make improvements	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Assess Capacity (Step 1, 5, 7, 8, 12)	8. Consider whether strategies fit our dependency court's values and needs	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Assess Capacity (Step (1,5,7,8,12)	 Assess our current capacity, and determine whether it is feasible for us to implement a strategy that will lead to improvements 	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	ve select, or design strategies, programs, or interventions e improvements, we know how to:										
Design Innovation	10. Identify the core activities that make up our strategy and how these activities must be performed in order for our strategy to work	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
(5,6)	11. Identify specific behaviors that will let us know whether our strategy is being performed as intended	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	ve are planning on implementing a strategy, program or ntion, we know how to:										
Build Capacity (Steps 7,8)	12. Develop capacities that will need to be in place, so that we can successfully implement what we intend	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Implement- ation (Step 9)	13. Consider whether to pilot, or to conduct a phased implementation of our strategy	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Implement- ation (Steps (7,9,10,11,12)	14. Monitor implementation of our strategy and identify and solve problems as they arise	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	ve want to evaluate the improvements we are trying to ve know how to:										
Design Evaluation (4,6)	15. Develop indicators and outcomes that let us know whether the core activities of our strategy are being implemented as intended	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

	dge & Skills		CURRE	NT KNOW	LEDGE		BEFORE OUR WORK with Center for Courts				
Constructs (S	iteps construct found)				1					1	
			knowledge	Moderately knowledge able			knowledge		Moderately knowledgea ble	knowledge	Extremely knowledge able
Design Evaluation (4,6)	16. Develop indicators and outcomes that let us know whether the problem or issue we are working on is improving	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Use Data (9,10,11,12)	17. Use the results of our analysis to make adjustments to our activities	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

Please think about your **current** work with Center for Courts on (*prefill name of work plan*) and choose the response that best reflects your opinion **now.**

Please then provide us with your opinion prior to working with Center for Courts (First administration: prefill date CQI workshop attended.

Assessment	of C	apacities: Org Culture/Climate, Engagement/Partnership,			NOW			BEFORE OUR WORK with Center for					
Resources, In	frast	ructure (Governance/Decision making)								Courts			
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	
Org Culture/ Climate: Leadership	18.	The issue we are working on with the Center for Courts is important to our dependency court's judicial leadership	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Org Culture/ Climate: Leadership	19.	Dependency court's judicial leadership is involved in working on this issue	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Org Culture/ Climate: Norms/Values	20.	The people in our CIP that are receiving capacity building services from Center for Courts agree on the purpose and goals of the work	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Org Culture/ Climate: Workforce	21.	I think our dependency court system will continue to sustain our work on this issue over time	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Resources: staffing		The team that plans and guides our work on this issue has enough time to do so	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Infrastructure: Governance/ Decision Making		The team that plans and guides our work on this issue is able to make decisions and move the work forward	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Engagement/ partnership:		We used input from judges and/or other stakeholders	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	

	of Capacities: Org Culture/Climate, Engagement/Partnership, frastructure (Governance/Decision making)			NOW			BEFC	DRE OUR	WORK w Courts	ith Cento	er for
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
internal	within the dependency court system to develop a solution to the issue we are working on										
Engagement/ partnership: external	25. We used input from our external partners (outside of the dependency court system) to help us develop a solution to the issue we are working on	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

Infrastructure F Procedures	Policies &	26. Does you and/or po		l name of work pla	n) include wri	ting, or revisin	g court rules						
TYes (mov	re to NOW Q)			No (move to	Reasons Q)		🗖 Not su	Not sure (move to next Infrastructure Q)					
		Q) re to not											
		NOW			Before working with Center for Courts								
Where are you rules and/or p	a NOW in your p olicies?	lanning/ imple	mentation of t	hese court		ou before working v ementation of these							
Have not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing/ (move to One Year Ago Q)	Starting to Implement (move to One Year Ago Q)	Partly implemented (move to On Year Ago Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)	Had not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Starting to Implement (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Partly implemented (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators				

Quality indicators: In thinking about the court rules and/or policies you have implemented, please check if you agree with the following:	Quality indicators: In thinking about the court rules and/or policies you implemented, please check if you agree with the following:
 Court rules and/or policies that we've implemented with Center for Courts: Are widely known; those who are expected to follow these court rules have /policies have access to them, and they have received training to ensure their understanding of them Include structures in place for legal and judicial professional to be able to provide feedback related to court rules /polices, such as barriers to enacting policies, and suggestions for improvement 	 Before working with Center for Courts, Court rules and/or policies that we implemented: Were widely known; those who were expected to use these court rules /polices had access to, and had received training to ensure their understanding of them Included structures in place for legal and judicial professionals to be able to provide feedback related to court rules /polices, such as barriers to enacting policies, and suggestions for improvement
(move to One Year Ago Q)	(move to next infrastructure Q)

		rts on <u>(prefill name of work plan)</u> include dev d/or selecting qualified people for the right					
Tes (move to NOW Q)		□ No (Move to Reasons Q)					
	If no, check	the closest reason why not:					
	No, we are focusing efforts e infrastructure Q)	No, we are focusing efforts elsewhere at this time (move to next infrastructure O)					
	No, our existing identifying/ this work (move to next infro the super state of the state of t	hiring/selecting processes adequately support astructure Q)					
		vorked on this ourselves or with others, but we enter for Courts (move to next infrastructure Q)					
N	IOW	Before our work with Center for Courts					
Where are you NOW in your develop	ment or improvement of identifying ,	Where were you before working with Center fo	r Courts in the development or				
hiring, and/or selecting qualified peo	ople for the right tasks and roles?	improvement of your identifying, hiring, and or selecting qualified people for th					

					right tasks and	l roles?						
Have not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing/ (move to One Year Ago Q)	Starting to Implement (move to One Year Ago Q)	Partly implemented (move to On Year Ago Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)	Had not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Starting to Implement (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Partly implemented (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)			
Quality indicato	rs:				Quality indicate	ors:						
In thinking abc agree with the	out the activities y following:	ou have impl	emented, pleas	e check if you	In thinking about the following:		s you implemer	nted, please check if	you agree with			
	Center for Courts qualified people i	•	lemented on to	identify, hire,	Before working with Center for Courts (<i>prefill date work plan began</i>), our wo that we implemented to identify, hire, and/or select qualified people include							
 Clear job descriptions outlining job expectations and accountability Processes to consider recruitment data, training data, and retention rates, in order to assess how well our selection/hiring process was working. Clear job descriptions outlining job expectations and accountability Clear job descriptions outlining job expectations and accountability Processes to consider recruitment data, training data, and retention rates, in order to assess how well our selection/hiring process was working. 												
(move to One	Year Ago Q)				(move to next in	frastructure Q)						

Infrastructure: T	rainir g	-	your work with Center for Courts on <u>(prefill name of work plan)</u> include developing or improving training, r aining system?										
🗖 Ye	es (move to NOW	Q)		🗖 No (M	🗖 Not su	re (move to next i	nfrastructure Q)						
			l	f no, check the o	closest reason wh	y not:							
			☐No, we are f infrastructu	-	elsewhere at this I	ime (move to next							
				ting Training /Tr nove to next infra		equately support							
					orked on this our essing it with Cent	selves or with er for Courts (mov	re						
			to next infra	structure Q)									
		NOW				0	NE YEAR AGO						
Where are you	NOW in your d	evelopment o	r improvement	of training?	Where were you before working with Center for Courts in your development								
					improvement o	f training?							
Have not	Planning/	Starting to	Partly	Fully	Had not started	Planning/	Starting to	Partly	Fully				

yet (move to	developing (move	Put in place	Put in place	In place	(move to next	developing (move to	Put in place	Put in place	place				
next	to One Year Ago Q)	(move to One	(move to One	(continue to	Infrastructure Q)	next Infrastructure Q)	(move to next	(move to next	(continue to Quality				
Infrastructure Q)		Year Ago Q)	Year Ago Q)	Quality indicators)			Infrastructure Q)	Infrastructure Q)	indicators)				
Quality indicator	rs:				Quality indicate	ors:							
-	ut the training, or t please check if you	• •	•	s you have	-	out the training, or tra you agree with the fo	• ·	provements you	ı implemented,				
Training/trainin include:	n g system improve	ements implen	nented with Ce	nter for Courts	Before working with Center for Courts, our work on implementing training/ training system improvements included:								
	bllection and analy of analysis of trai			•		lection and analysis t of analysis of training			-				
(move to One Yea	r ago Q)				(move to next inf	rastructure Q)							

Infrastructur Supervision	U .			or Courts on <u>(prefi</u> iing) to coach, me				nproving super	visory and				
	Yes (move to NO	-			e to reasons Q)	support court pro		I re (move to next i	nfrastructure Q)				
				If no, check the clo	sest reason wh	y not:							
			☐ No, we are fo infrastructure	cusing efforts elsew Q)	here at this time	e (move to next							
				ng system adequate		supports profession	als						
				move to next infrastr		aa an with athens h							
				ave recently worked Idressing it with Cen			ut						
			infrastructure	Q)									
		NOW					ONE YEAR AGO						
		-	improvement of	supervisory or		you before working		•					
other proce	sses to coach and	d support profe	essionals/staff?		development/improvement of supervisory or other processes to coach and suppor professionals/staff?								
Have not	Planning/	Starting to	Partly	Fully implemented	Had not yet	Planning/	Starting to	Partly	Fully				
yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	designing/ (move to One Year Ago Q)	Implement (move to One Year Ago Q)	implemented (move to On Year Ago Q)	(continue to Quality indicators)	started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	designing (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Implement (move to next Infrastructure Q)	implemented (move to next Infrastructure Q)	implemented (continue to Quality indicators)				
Quality indica	ators:				Quality indicate	ors:							
In thinking a with the foll		s you have imp	plemented, please	e check if you agree	In thinking abo following:	out the activities you	u implemented, p	blease check if yo	u agree with the				
	or other coachin for Courts include		processes that we	e've implemented		or other coaching/n							
follo Evid	wed by immedia	te feedback to	those whose skil	coaches, which is Ils are observed g routinely improve	followe Eviden their sl	observation of worl ed by immediate fea ce that those who r kills tt Infrastructure Q)	edback to those v	whose skills were	observed				
(move to	One Year ago Q)												

		20. De es ve		Combon for Countr		f		·	- data			
	e: Evalua ion/CQI	collecti		Center for Courts to make decisior	ns and improve		valuation effo	rts?				
	Yes (move to NOW	Q)		No (Mov	e to reasons Q)		Not su	e (move to next in	frastructure Q)			
				If no, check the clo	sest reason why	v not:						
			No, we are fo infrastructure	cusing efforts elsew	here at this time	(move to next						
				ng data collection/d	ata use/evaluatio	on activities						
				upport this work (mo								
				ave recently worked			ıt					
			we are not ac infrastructure	ldressing it with Cen Q)	ter for Courts (m	ove to next						
		NOW			ONE YEAR AGO							
Where are y	ou NOW in your p	lanning/ impl	ementation of tl	nese data	Where were you before working with Center for Courts in your							
collection, d	lata use, and/or ev	aluation activ	ities?		planning/implementation of these data collection, data use, and/or evaluation activities?							
Have not	Planning/	Starting to	Partly	Fully implemented	Had not yet	Planning/	Starting to	Partly	Fully			
yet started	designing/ (move	Implement	implemented	(continue to Quality	started work	designing (move to	-	implemented	implemented			
work (move to next	to One Year Ago Q)	(move to One Year Ago Q)	(move to On Year Ago Q)	indicators)	(move to next Infrastructure Q)	next Infrastructure O)	(move to next Infrastructure Q)	(move to next Infrastructure Q)	(continue to Quality indicators			
Infrastructure Q)		10017600	180 47		infrasti astar e Qj			influstructure Qy				
Quality indica	ators:				Quality indicate	ors:						
•	bout the activities i agree with the follo	•	s you have imple	emented, please	In thinking about the activities you implemented, please check if you agree with the following:							
	on/data use/evalu	ation activition	es that we've im	plemented with	Data collection/data use/evaluation activities that were implemented before working with Center for Courts included:							
	e data collection (st at is frequently use				 Reliable data collection (standardized protocols, trained data collectors) Data that was frequently used to make program adjustments 							
(move to On	e Year Ago Q)				(move to next Infrastructure Q)							

Infrastructur structures - I	e: Comm inication External			Center for Courts of external partners		=		eveloping or imp	proving			
	Yes (move to NO			•	ve to reasons Q) Not sure (move to next infrastructure Q) Desest reason why not:							
				If no, check the clo								
			infrastructure No, our exist adequately s No, we are /	ing communication support this work (m have recently worke ddressing it with Ce	with external p ove to next infra d on this ourse	artners/the con structure Q) ves or with oth	nmunity					
		NOW					ONE YEAR	R AGO				
	you NOW in your te with external µ	•	of strategies to	Where were you before working with Center for Courts in your development or improvement of strategies to communicate with external partners/the community								
Have not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing/ (move to One Year Ago Q)	Starting to Implement (move to One Year Ago Q)	Partly implemented (move to On Year Ago Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)	Had not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Starting to Implement (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Partly implemented (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)			
-		es that you hav	e implemented,	please check if you	Quality indica In thinking al the following	oout the strateg	gies you implen	nented, please ch	eck if you agree with			
External con Courts includ	nmunication stra	tegies that we'	ve implemented	with Center for	External communication strategies that were implemented before working with Center for Courts included:							
 Strategies that encourage external partners and/or the broader community to ask questions about our work, and allow them to provide feedback on how our work is impacting them External partners' concerns are taken into account when decisions are made related to our work 						 Strategies that encouraged our external partners and/or the broader community to ask questions about our work, and allowed them to provide feedback on how our work was impacting them External partners' concerns were taken into account when decisions were made related to our work 						
(move to On	e Year Ago Q)				(Move to next Q)							

Infrastructure: C structures - Inte			our work with Center for Courts on <u>(prefill name of work plan)</u> involve developing_or improving internal unication within the dependency court system?									
	es (move to NOW	/ Q)			ve to reasons Q)		□ Not	Not sure (move to next infrastructure Q)				
				If no, check the clo	osest reason why not:							
			infrastructure	re Q)	ewhere at this time (move to next							
				ting internal commu		• •						
				quately support this have recently worke								
				addressing it with Ce			,					
		NOW	L		1		ONE YEAR AG	iO				
Where are you	u NOW in your pla	anning/ imple	mentation of th	ese internal	Where were you before working with Center for Courts in your							
communicatio	on activities?				planning/implementation of these internal communication activities?							
Have not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing/ (move to One Year Ago Q)	Starting to Implement (move to One Year Ago Q)	Partly implemented (move to On Year Ago Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)	Had not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Starting to Implement (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Partly implemented (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)			
Quality indicator	rs:				Quality indicato	ors:						
In thinking abo agree with the f	out the activities y following:	you have imple	emented, please	echeck if you	In thinking about the activities you implemented, please check if you agree with the following:							
Internal communication strategies that we've implemented with Center for Courts include:					Internal communication strategies that were implemented before working with Center for Courts included:							
within ou T aking the	es that obtain and ur dependency co ne concerns of leg nto account when	ourt system gal professiona	als within our dep	ependency court	 Structures that obtain and analyze feedback from legal professionals within our dependency court system Taking the concerns of legal professionals within our dependency court system into account when decisions were made related to our work (move to next Infrastructure Q) 							

Knowledge and Innovation Spe	•	,		Center for Courts or cy court system?	on <u>(prefill nar</u>	ne of work p	<u>blan</u> involve ir	creasing knowle	edge and/or skills			
🗖 Ye	es (move to NO	N Q)		🗖 No (Mov	e to reasons Q)	o reasons Q)						
				If no, check the clo	sest reason w	hy not:						
			No, we are fo infrastructure	cusing efforts elsew Q)	here at this tim	e (move to ne)	¢t					
				nt level of knowledg adequately support								
				ave recently worked Idressing it with Cen Q)			hers, but					
		NOW					ONE YE	AR AGO				
Where are you NOW in your improvement of skills and <i>knowledge</i> within the dependency court system?					Where were you before working with Center for Courts in your planning/implementation of developing skills within your dependency court system?							
Have not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing/ (move to One Year Ago Q)	Starting to Implement (move to One Year Ago Q)	Partly implemented (move to On Year Ago Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)	Had not yet started work (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Planning/ designing (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Starting to Implement (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Partly implemented (move to next Infrastructure Q)	Fully implemented (continue to Quality indicators)			
Quality indicate	ors:		•		Quality indicat	ors:		-	•			
In thinking abc please check if		wledge and skills,	In thinking about the implementation of these increased knowledge and skills, please check if you agree with the following:									
Our work with Center for Courts to increase knowledge and skills that we have implemented includes:						Our work to increase knowledge and skills that was implemented before working with Center for Courts included:						
Measuring knowledge/skills, with results showing skills have increased Measuring fidelity, with results showing a high level of fidelity to the new practice						 Measuring knowledge/skills, with results showing skills had increased Measuring fidelity, and results showed a high level of fidelity to the new practice 						
(move to One Yea	ar Ago Q)				Move to next Q							

Please think about the group of people from your CIP who are currently working with Center for States on <u>(prefill name of work plan)</u> and describe the group's **current knowledge** for each question below. Then please give us your opinion of the group's knowledge **before working with Center for Courts** (prefill date CQI workshop attended)/second administration: prefill date of last survey administered one year ago).

CM Knowledge - Approach (Approach introduced in Phase)		CURRE	NT KNOW	/LEDGE		BEFO	FORE working with the Center for States /ONE YEAR AGO			
By working with Center for Couts, our team has developed knowledge in:	Not knowledge able at all	knowle	Moderately knowledgea ble		Extremely knowledge able	Not knowledge able at all	knowle	Moderately knowledgea ble	Very knowledg eable	Extremely knowledgea ble
 34. the <u>Change and Implementation Process</u>, which includes tasks to help us identify and understand needs, develop strategies to address those needs, and then implement and evaluate those strategies (Overall Approach) 	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
35. <u>Phase I, Identify and Assess Needs and Opportunities</u> , which includes tasks to help us identify a need or opportunity to be addressed, form teams to guide the change process, and gather data and explore the problem in depth (Phase 1)	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
36. <u>Phase 2: Develop Theory of Change</u> , which includes tasks that help us to develop a theory to address the causes of the need or opportunity (Phase 2)	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
37. <u>Phase 3. Select and Adapt/Design Intervention</u> , which includes tasks to help us identify, research, and select from possible interventions, and adapt existing interventions or design new ones (Phase 3)	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
 38. <u>Phase 4: Plan, Prepare, and Implement</u>, which includes tasks to help us assess readiness and plan for implementation of the intervention(s), build capacity to support implementation, and pilot and/or stage implementation of the intervention (Phase 4) 	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
 <u>Phase 5: Evaluate and Apply Findings</u>, which includes tasks that help us to: Collect and use data to adjust the intervention and/or implementation strategies, evaluate to measure implementation quality and short and long-term outcomes, and make decisions to further spread, adjust, or discontinue the intervention (Phase 5) 	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

40. What is your team, or group receiving services, able to do --or able to do better-- that you weren't able to before receiving services from Center for Courts? (open ended)

41. What is challenging for you in your work with Center for Courts? (open ended)

42. Is there anything else you would like us to know about your work with the Center for Courts? (open ended)