

## **CAP Participant Feedback Form**

Thank you for your participation in the CAP Program! The Foundation of the American Institute for Conservation relies on your feedback to gain a better understanding of the impacts of CAP and to help us improve the program for future years. Please share your experience with us by completing this Feedback Form.

Only aggregate results will be shared outside of FAIC and IMLS staff unless you choose to share your survey with your assessors by checking the box at the end of the survey. We thank you in advance for your feedback and appreciate any comments you may have.





Name of Institution:						
Date of Site Visit:						
Type of Institution: (select one)  □ Aquarium □ Arboretum/Botanical Garden □ Art Museum □ Children's/Youth Museum □ General Museum (A museum with collections representing two or more disciplines equally, such as a museum of art and natural history.) □ Historic House/Site □ History Museum □ Natural History Museum/ Anthropology Museum □ Nature Center □ Planetarium □ Science/ Technology Museum □ Specialized Museum (A museum with collections limited to one narrowly defined discipline, such as a maritime museum.) □ Zoological park						
Other (please specify:) Number of staff members:						
Did you visit the FAIC website for information about the CAP Program?  Yes No  If yes, indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the CAP INFORMATION ON THE FAIC WEBSITE by placing an "X" in the appropriate box:						
Strongl y Agree Somew hat Agree nor Disagree Strongl Strongl Agree Somewh at Disagree Poisagree Poisagree						

The FAIC website			
clearly explained			
the purpose of a			
general			
conservation			
assessment.			
The FAIC website			
clearly explained			
the CAP program.			
The FAIC website			
was easy to			
navigate.			

What could we do to improve the CAP website? (optional)

Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the *CAP PROGRAM HANDBOOK* by placing an "X" in the appropriate box:

	Strongl y Agree	Somew hat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagre e	Somew hat Disagre e	Stron gly Disagr ee
The CAP					
Program					
Handbook					
prepared staff					
and board members for					
exactly what to					
expect from the					
on-site visits.					
The CAP					
Program					
Handbook					
clearly					
described the					
steps of the					
CAP process.					
The CAP					
Program Handbook					
provided all of					
the information					
needed to					
participate in					

the CAP			
program.			
The <i>CAP</i>			
Program			
Handbook was			
easy to			
understand.			

What could we do to improve the CAP Program Handbook? (optional)

Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **ASSESSOR SEARCH PROCESS** by placing an "X" in the appropriate box:

	Stron gly Agree	Somew hat Agree	Neithe r Agree nor Disagr ee	Somew hat Disagre e	Stron gly Disagr ee
Prospective assessors					
responded to my emails					
and/or phone calls quickly during the					
search process.					
During the search					
process, assessors were					
able to discuss their					
appropriateness to my					
institution's collections.					
Assessors promptly					
submitted proposals					
outlining the costs of an					
assessment.					

What could we do to improve the assessor search process? (optional)

Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **SITE QUESTIONNAIRE** by placing an "X" in the appropriate box:

Strong ly Agree	Somew hat Agree	Neithe r Agree nor Disagr	Somew hat Disagre e	Stron gly Disagr ee	N/A
-----------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------	-----

		ee		
The Site Questionnaire was effective as				
a self-study tool.				
The Site Questionnaire was easy to				
complete online.				

What could we do to improve the Site Questionnaire? (optional)

In the next section,	you will be as	sked to in	ndividually	rate <u>e</u>	<u>ach</u>	CAP
assessor who visite	d your instituti	ion.				

Assessor 1 Name:			
Type of assessor (select one):			
	Non-living collections		
	Living collection		
	Architectural		

Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the **ASSESSOR 1** by placing an "X" in the appropriate box:

	Stron gly agree	Somew hat Agree	Neithe r Agree nor Disagr ee	Somew hat Disagre e	Strongl y Disagr ee
The assessor was well prepared for the pre-visit call and onsite visit.					
The assessor demonstrated significant experience with our collection type.					
The assessor communicated well with staff and board					

members.							
The assessor provided							
valuable preliminary							
feedback on site.							
The assessor provided rough and final drafts in							
a timely manner.							
The assessor addressed							
issues relevant to the							
institution's immediate							
and long-range needs.							
The assessor produced a valuable report that							
contained a prioritized							
list of recommendations.							
Did you have a second assessor? ☐ Yes ☐ No							
If yes, please complete the	following	informatio	n for Asse	essor 2.			
Assessor 2 Name:							
Type of assessor (select	one):						
□ Non-living collections							
□ Living collection							
□ Architectural							
Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the <b>ASSESSOR 2</b> by placing an "X" in the appropriate box:							
	Stron gly	Somew hat	Neithe r	Somew hat	Strongl y		
	agree	Agree	Agree nor Disagr	Disagre e	Disagr ee		

		T I
	ee	
The assessor was well		
prepared for the pre-visit		
call and onsite visit.		
The assessor		
demonstrated significant		
experience with our		
collection type.		
The assessor		
communicated well with		
staff and board		
members.		
The assessor provided		
valuable preliminary		
feedback on site.		
The assessor provided		
rough and final drafts in		
a timely manner.		
The assessor addressed		
issues relevant to the		
institution's immediate		
and long-range needs.		
The assessor produced a		
valuable report that		
contained a prioritized		
list of recommendations.		
iist of recommendations.		

How could Assessor 2 have	provided a better	assessment?	(optional)
---------------------------	-------------------	-------------	------------

Did you contact FAIC staff during the process? Yes No

If yes, how would you rate:

	Exceeded Expectati ons		Met Expectati ons		Did Not Meet Expectati ons
	5	4	3	2	1
FAIC staff's ability to					
answer					
questions.					

What could we have done to better answer your questions? (optional)

## Overall, how would you rate:

	Exceeded Expectati ons		Met Expectati ons		Did Not Meet Expectati ons
	5	4	3	2	1
Your experience with CAP.					
The value of the final report to your institution.					

What could we do to improve your overall experience? (optional)

	ch staff members and/or board members were involved in the site visit?
	governing authority
	director
	management decision-maker (other than director)
	collections care staff
	collections care volunteer
	other
Base	d on your CAP report, what are your organization's top three priorities?
1.	
2.	
3.	

To the best of your knowledge, please estimate the total number of hours paid and volunteer staff contributed to the CAP process. Include time for reading the CAP handbook and program materials, selecting assessors, completing the Site Questionnaire, participating in the pre-site visit call, preparing for site visit, participating in the site visit, reviewing the draft report, follow-up discussions with assessors, etc.

Hours Contributed by Paid Staff ———	Hours Contributed by Volunteers and Board Members	
If you would like a CAP staff member experience further, please provide u to reach you:	•	
Name:		
Phone:		
Many assessors are interested in rec will help them improve for future ass share this information with your asse	sessments. If you would be willi	ing to
Yes, I give FAIC permission to and CAP assessor in order to help them i	•	-