



Document Details

Docket ID:	DHS-2017-0036
Docket Title:	DHS Industry Outreach Information Form *
Document File:	
Docket Phase:	Notice
Phase Sequence:	1
Original Document ID:	DHS-2017-0036-DRAFT-0003
Current Document ID:	DHS-2017-0036-DRAFT-0003
Title:	Unrelated Comment Submitted by Anonymous (c c) *
Number of Attachments:	0
Document Type:	PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS *
Document Subtype:	Comment(s)
Comment on Document ID:	DHS-2017-0036-0002
Comment on Document Title:	Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for Review; Information Collection Request for the Department of Homeland Security, Science and Technology, Research and Development Partnerships Group, Office of Public- Private Partnerships
Status:	DoNotPost
Received Date:	04/17/2018 *
Date Posted:	
Posting Restriction:	No restrictions
Submission Type:	Web
Number of Submissions:	1 *

Document Optional Details

Status Set Date:	04/17/2018
Current Assignee:	Smith, Michelle DHS (DHS)
Status Set By:	Smith, Michelle DHS (DHS)
Tracking Number:	1k2-92n3-8mqq
Total Page Count Including Attachments:	1

Submitter Info

Comment: See attached file(s) * 

First Name: Jorge *

Middle Name:

Last Name: Basile *

Mailing Address:

Mailing Address 2:

City:

Country:

State or Province:

ZIP/Postal Code:

Email Address:

Phone Number:

Fax Number:

Organization Name:

Submitter's Representative: 

Government Agency Type:


Government Agency:

Cover Page: 

Submitter Info

Comment: America has lost more than 55,000 factories, 6,000,000 manufacturing jobs and accumulated Trade Deficits of more than 12 Trillion Dollars since Bush administration. Last year we had a Trade Deficit of almost 800 Billion Dollars. All based on the Past Administration Fraud and dishonest reports. The problem was GHG Regulations 2009, Dec EPA published its Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases Under Section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act. As the primary scientific basis EPA relied heavily upon IPCCs AR4 ,in developing the TSD , concerns were raised about EPAs acceptance and use of this information . IPCC suffering heavy criticism for its use of information that had not been rigorously checked. And author of Jones of CRU showed asking Some to be deleted , The administrators the Freedom of Information Act said the University of East Anglia had broken the rules in its handling of an FOI request in May 2008. 2009, in Nov. subsequent to publication of EPAs proposed finding, approximately 1,000 e-mails were hacked from the servers of the University of East Anglia CRU , in England, and made public. The content of the e-mails caused a challenge the work of CRU and the conclusions of the IPCC. University of East

Anglia CRU , in England staff have been heavily involved in the IPCC assessments, and CRUs work has been used by IPCC in construction of future climate projections. April 2010 study, chaired by Professor Ron Oxburgh, examined; noted that there were unresolved questions relating to the availability of environmental datasets. Russell report found that both CRU scientists and the University of East Anglia failed to display the proper degree of openness regarding their research. EPA inspector General, in 2011, Procedural Review , found Many issues EPA did not follow in EPAs Greenhouse Gases Endangerment Finding Data Quality Processes Report No. 11-P-0702 September 26, 2011 NOTE: Few realize that the IPCC does not produce its own original scientific research on global warming so EPA Relied on reports that originated form a college staff in England. Sound national and international environmental policies must be based on a solid foundation of transparent scientific, technical, and economic understanding of the relevant facts. Regulation with back up reports using words such as if, might, could, probably, perhaps, expected, projected or modeled - and many involve such deep dreaming, or ignorance of scientific facts and principles, that they are akin to nonsense and a manufactured consensus and engineered science. 2011 July report by Government Accountability Office (GAO) is a government agency that provides auditing, evaluation, and investigative services Fostering Quality Science at EPA: Needs Reform; and found : EPAs laboratory activities remain fragmented and largely uncoordinated. EPA has not undertaken an agency wide, coordinated approach to managing its scientific efforts and related facilities as part of an interrelated portfolio of facilities. EPA had failed to implement the recommendations of five independent evaluations of EPAs scientific and laboratory management since 1992. GAO found that Testimony from a recent participant in CASACs particulate matter National Ambient Air Quality Standard panel stated that the CASAC process is flawed, narrow, and possibly ethically questionable. 2012 Annual Plan of the EPAs Office of Inspector General OIG raises significant concerns about science and technology activities at the Agency, stating that questions exist as to whether EPA is collecting the right data, of sufficient quality, and is making that data available. In terms of EPAs regulatory process, the Inspector General (IG) further states that many policies are out of date or are based on outdated science and technology. GAO found As part of the update on its High-Risk Program, highlighting concerns about EPA politicization of science, saying that in recent years, concerns have been raised regarding the perceived politicization of science in agency decisions. In 2009, GAO added EPAs handling of toxic chemicals through the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) to its list of areas at high risk for waste, fraud, abuse, and mismanagement. EPA needs to better emphasize the development and use of environmental indicators and informationas a mechanism for prioritizing its allocation of limited resources, and that the lack of complete and comprehensive environmental information on air or water quality, for example, makes it difficult for EPA to evaluate the success of its policies and programs. Several concerns have been raised about the make-up, transparency, and rigor provided by EPA advisory panels like the SAB and the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee CASA. GAO has found that many advisory committee members are not

appropriately screened for potential conflicts of interest or points of view. * 

First Name: c *

Middle Name:

Last Name: c *

Mailing Address:

Mailing Address 2:

City:

Country:

State or Province:

ZIP/Postal Code:

Email Address:

Phone Number:

Fax Number:

Organization Name:

Submitter's Representative: 

Government Agency Type:

Government Agency:

Cover Page: 

Document Optional Details

Status Set Date: 04/17/2018

Current Assignee: Smith, Michelle DHS (DHS)

Status Set By: Hwang_DHS, BAH Randolph (DHS)

Tracking Number: 1k1-8ymp-ldob 

Total Page Count Including Attachments: 3

Submitter Info

Comment: See attached file(s) * 

First Name: Jorge *

Middle Name:

Last Name: Basile *

Mailing Address:

Mailing Address 2:

City:

Country:

State or Province:

ZIP/Postal Code:

Email Address:

Phone Number:

Fax Number:

Organization Name:

**Submitter's
Representative:**



Government Agency Type:

Government Agency:

Cover Page:



Submitter Info

Comment:

America has lost more than 55,000 factories, 6,000,000 manufacturing jobs and accumulated Trade Deficits of more than 12 Trillion Dollars since Bush administration. Last year we had a Trade Deficit of almost 800 Billion Dollars. All based on the Past Administration Fraud and dishonest reports. The problem was GHG Regulations 2009, Dec EPA published its Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases Under Section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act. As the primary scientific basis EPA relied heavily upon IPCCs AR4 ,in developing the TSD , concerns were raised about EPAs acceptance and use of this information . IPCC suffering heavy criticism for its use of information that had not been rigorously checked. And author of Jones of CRU showed asking Some to be deleted , The administrators the Freedom of Information Act said the University of East Anglia had broken the rules in its handling of an FOI request in May 2008. 2009, in Nov. subsequent to publication of EPAs proposed finding, approximately 1,000 e-mails were hacked from the servers of the University of East Anglia CRU , in England, and made public. The content of the e-mails caused a challenge the work of CRU and the conclusions of the IPCC. University of East Anglia CRU , in England staff have been heavily involved in the IPCC assessments, and CRUs work has been used by IPCC in construction of future climate projections. April 2010 study, chaired by Professor Ron Oxburgh, examined; noted that there were unresolved questions relating to the availability of environmental datasets. Russell report found that both CRU scientists and the University of East Anglia failed to display the proper degree of openness regarding their research. EPA

inspector General, in 2011, Procedural Review , found Many issues EPA did not follow in EPAs Greenhouse Gases Endangerment Finding Data Quality Processes Report No. 11-P-0702 September 26, 2011 NOTE: Few realize that the IPPC does not produce its own original scientific research on global warming so EPA Relied on reports that originated form a college staff in England. Sound national and international environmental policies must be based on a solid foundation of transparent scientific, technical, and economic understanding of the relevant facts. Regulation with back up reports using words such as if, might, could, probably, perhaps, expected, projected or modeled - and many involve such deep dreaming, or ignorance of scientific facts and principles, that they are akin to nonsense and a manufactured consensus and engineered science. 2011 July report by Government Accountability Office (GAO) is a government agency that provides auditing, evaluation, and investigative services Fostering Quality Science at EPA: Needs Reform; and found : EPAs laboratory activities remain fragmented and largely uncoordinated. EPA has not undertaken an agency wide, coordinated approach to managing its scientific efforts and related facilities as part of an interrelated portfolio of facilities. EPA had failed to implement the recommendations of five independent evaluations of EPAs scientific and laboratory management since 1992. GAO found that Testimony from a recent participant in CASACs particulate matter National Ambient Air Quality Standard panel stated that the CASAC process is flawed, narrow, and possibly ethically questionable. 2012 Annual Plan of the EPAs Office of Inspector General OIG raises significant concerns about science and technology activities at the Agency, stating that questions exist as to whether EPA is collecting the right data, of sufficient quality, and is making that data available. In terms of EPAs regulatory process, the Inspector General (IG) further states that many policies are out of date or are based on outdated science and technology. GAO found As part of the update on its High-Risk Program, highlighting concerns about EPA politicization of science, saying that in recent years, concerns have been raised regarding the perceived politicization of science in agency decisions. In 2009, GAO added EPAs handling of toxic chemicals through the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) to its list of areas at high risk for waste, fraud, abuse, and mismanagement. EPA needs to better emphasize the development and use of environmental indicators and informationas a mechanism for prioritizing its allocation of limited resources, and that the lack of complete and comprehensive environmental information on air or water quality, for example, makes it difficult for EPA to evaluate the success of its policies and programs. Several concerns have been raised about the make-up, transparency, and rigor provided by EPA advisory panels like the SAB and the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee CASA. GAO has found that many advisory committee members are not appropriately screened for potential conflicts of interest or points of view. *🌐

First Name: c *

Middle Name:

Last Name: c *

Mailing Address:

Mailing Address 2:

City:

Country:

State or Province:

ZIP/Postal Code:

Email Address:

Phone Number:

Fax Number:

Organization Name:

**Submitter's
Representative:**



Government Agency Type:

Government Agency:

Cover Page:

