# SUPPORTING JUSTIFICATION GRADE CROSSING SIGNAL SYSTEM SAFETY REGULATIONS (49 CFR 234) OMB No. 2130-0534

## **Summary of Submission**

- This submission is a request for a <u>reinstatement with change</u> to the previous approval granted by OMB on October 31, 2013, which <u>expired</u> on October 31, 2016.
- FRA published the required 60-day Notice in the Federal Register on **September 23**, **2016**, soliciting comment on this particular information collection. <u>See</u> 81 FR 65699. FRA received <u>no</u> comments in response to this notice.
- The total number of burden **hours requested** for this information collection submission is **3,425 hours.**
- The total number of burden **hours previously approved** was **8,152 hours**.
- **Adjustments** <u>decreased</u> the burden by **4,727 hours**.
- The total number of burden **responses requested** for this submission is **15,372**.
- Total number of responses previously approved for this information collection was 36,608.
- **Adjustments** <u>decreased</u> the burden by **21,236 responses**.
- \*\*The answer to question <u>number 12</u> itemizes the hourly burden associated with each requirement of this rule (See pp. 5-8).

#### 1. Circumstances that make collection of the information necessary.

## **Background**

Section 23 of the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-342) amended section 202 of the Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970, 45 U.S.C. 431, by adding a new subsection "q" as follows: "The Secretary shall, within one year after the date of the enactment of the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 1988, issue such rules, regulations, orders, and standards as may be necessary to ensure the safe maintenance, inspection, and testing of signal systems and devices at railroad highway grade crossings."

FRA believes that the risks to the traveling public and railroad employees from highway-rail grade crossing accidents resulting from warning system failures and malfunctions can be reduced. Motorists lose faith in warning systems that constantly warn of an oncoming

train when none is present. Therefore, the fail-safe feature built into a warning system loses its effectiveness if the system is not repaired in a reasonable amount of time. An even greater risk for an accident to occur is when a warning system fails to activate when a train is approaching. FRA's rule requires railroads to take specific responses in the event of a false activation or an activation failure.

FRA's rule requires railroads to take the following actions when they have been notified that a highway-rail grade crossing warning system has failed: (1) Notify train crews and law enforcement agencies of the malfunctioning warning system; (2) Take appropriate actions to warn and control highway traffic pending inspection and repair of the warning system; and (3) Repair the system.

# 2. How, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used.

FRA uses telephone notifications to assemble a database of every accident/incident involving on-track railroad equipment and an automobile, bus, truck, motorcycle, bicycle, farm vehicle, or pedestrian at a highway-rail grade crossing resulting from a crossing signal activation failure. These notifications must be provided to the National Response Center at a toll-free number within 24 hours of such an accident/incident. FRA uses this information to discern different types of grade crossing accident/incident patterns or trends and to develop and implement appropriate safety strategies — both immediate and long-term — to prevent similar accidents/incidents.

Railroads use credible reports of warning system malfunctions, partial activations, or false activations to notify FRA and train employees and appropriate law enforcement agencies when a warning system malfunctions so that immediate appropriate alternative measures can be taken to protect motorists and railroad employees at the subject crossing until repairs have been completed.

FRA uses grade crossing signal system failure reports to craft better solutions to the problems of crossing device malfunctions. In particular, FRA reviews these reports to obtain information that it uses in implementing more effective safety programs to prevent accidents/incidents attributable to these types of failures from occurring in the future. With this information, FRA can correlate accident experience and equipment malfunctions with types of circuits and age of equipment. FRA can then pinpoint the causes of crossing system failures and investigate them, if necessary, to determine whether periodic maintenance, inspection, and testing standards are effective. Thus, if FRA finds that a disproportionate number of system failures are in systems with critical components 30 years old, it can take appropriate regulatory steps for that type of malfunction, which would be significantly different than if a disproportionate number of malfunctions occur in relatively new systems on specific railroads.

Finally, FRA uses the required records, which railroads must keep for one year, as a ready resource to analyze possible causes and contributing factors related to grade

crossing accident/incidents and to devise effective strategies and programs that will serve FRA, railroad, law enforcement, and other entities interested in reducing the number and severity of these types of accidents/incidents and in promoting greater rail safety throughout the United States.

#### 3. Extent of automated information collection.

FRA highly encourages and strongly endorses the use of advanced information technology, wherever possible, to reduce burden on respondents. Under § 234.109, railroads have the option of keeping the required records electronically, or on forms they provide. Also, FRA has installed all its safety forms on its Website for easy downloading by railroads and other users. The forms being used to collect the required information are simple to complete. For the collection of information concerning grade crossing failure information, FRA has provided railroads with a revised "fill-in-the-blanks" form, containing two digit "failure codes" with an additional comments section to be used if necessary. Since telephone notifications under section 234.7 are by electronic by their very nature and since notifications to train crews of credible reports of a signal malfunction, partial activation, or false activation under section 234.105/106/107 are completed via radio and since railroads keep reports/records of grade crossing signal malfunctions under section 234.109 electronically, approximately 66 percent of responses are kept electronically.

It should be remarked that the burden for this collection of information is already very minimal.

#### 4. <u>Efforts to identify duplication</u>.

The information collection requirements to our knowledge are not duplicated anywhere.

Similar data are not available from any other source.

#### 5. Efforts to minimize the burden on small businesses.

As noted earlier, the burden incurred from this collection of information is fairly minimal. The larger railroads operate the majority of grade-crossings and signal systems in this country. Therefore, the greater portion of the burden falls on them, while smaller railroads experience a minor portion of an already very small burden.

# 6. <u>Impact of less frequent collection of information</u>.

If this information were not collected, or collected less frequently, railroad safety throughout the United States would be considerably jeopardized. Specifically, without the required telephonic notifications, FRA, railroads, and law enforcement agencies would not quickly know which signal systems are malfunctioning and resulting in

accidents/incidents between on-track railroad equipment and automobiles, buses, trucks, motorcycles, bicycles, farm vehicles, or pedestrians at highway-rail grade crossings.

Without this collection of information, FRA, railroads, and law enforcement agencies could not take and implement immediate effective safety measures to protect railroad workers and the public at these affected grade crossings.

Also, without the required notification to train crews and proper law enforcement authorities upon receiving a credible report of a warning system malfunction, railroads having maintenance responsibility for that particular warning system might not promptly initiate efforts to warn highway users and railroad employees about that grade crossing, thereby increasing the risk of a serious accident/incident with corresponding injuries and possible fatalities. The collection of information enhances safety because it requires railroads to take certain immediate steps. Specifically, railroads must take the following actions: (1) Prior to any train's arrival at the crossing, notify the train crew of the report of activation failure and notify any other railroads operating over the crossing; (2) Notify the law enforcement agency having jurisdiction over the crossing, or railroad police capable of responding and controlling vehicular traffic; and (3) Provide for alternative means of actively warning highway users of approaching trains, consistent with the requirements of this section. As a result, all affected parties – train crew, law enforcement agencies, and motorist/pedestrians – can be forewarned and take effective measures to reduce the likelihood of an accident/incident occurring.

Without the required records, FRA could not be able to compile both an immediate and historical database regarding grade crossing signal system malfunctions. As a result, FRA's safety program would be significantly impaired. In particular, FRA and other investigators would not have essential information to determine the types, locations, times and dates of signal system malfunctions as well as the time and date of any repair actions taken by railroads prior to the repair and reactivation of the affected system. Also, without these records, FRA might be missing critical information that could be used to establish the cause(s) of an accident/incident and to devise effective strategies and programs to prevent similar types of accidents/incidents from occurring in the future.

It should be noted that the frequency of submission of information is presently as minimal as possible. Requesting any of the required information less frequently would impede FRA's safety program and put at risk railroad employees and the traveling public. The burden for this collection of information is already very minimal.

#### 7. Special circumstances.

Two of the information collection requirements are not within the guidelines established in 5 CFR 1320.5. The first requirement is the telephonic notification by railroads to FRA within 24 hours of every impact between on-track railroad equipment and an automobile, bus, truck, motorcycle, bicycle, farm vehicle, or pedestrian at a highway-rail grade crossing involving a signal activation failure. The second and closely related requirement

is the filing of a complete grade crossing signal failure report under § 234.9, which stipulates 15 days as the time frame for reporting each activation failure. The frequency of reporting grade crossing signal failures is not subject to FRA's control. Activation failures are inherently dangerous to the motoring public, and to railroad employees and passengers, especially when there is any type of collision. Safety, specifically the prevention of loss of life/additional loss of life and any further injuries to railroad employees and the motoring public and rail passengers when there is a collision, demands that FRA, law enforcement authorities, and other first responders be immediately notified so that necessary action can be quickly taken. The timely filing of such complete reports and other grade crossing signal activation failure reports (where there is no collision) is essential so that FRA, railroads, and law enforcement agencies can take long-term actions to protect railroad employees and the rail and motoring public and to prevent any such signal failures from happening in the future. In particular, FRA needs to constantly monitor such signal activation failures in order to be able to institute timely remedial action(s) to protect railroad workers and the public and to head-off a major, perhaps even catastrophic, accident/incident from occurring.

All other information collection requirements are in compliance with this section.

# 8. <u>Compliance with 5 CFR 1320.8</u>.

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FRA published a notice in the Federal Register on **September 23, 2016**, soliciting comment on this particular information collection. <u>See</u> 81 FR 65699.

FRA received <u>no</u> comments in response to this notice.

## 9. Payments or gifts to respondents.

There are no monetary payments or gifts made to respondents associated with the information collection requirements contained in this regulation.

#### 10. Assurance of confidentiality.

Information collected is not of a confidential nature, and FRA pledges no confidentiality.

#### 11. <u>Justification for any questions of a sensitive nature</u>.

These information collection requirements have nothing to do with sensitive matters such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters commonly considered private.

# 12. Estimate of burden hours for information collected.

*Note:* According to the latest agency data, there are approximately 744 railroads now operating in the United States.

The Grade Crossing Signal System Safety Regulations (49 CFR 234) contain four different information collection requirements. Reporting burden of each requirement is as follows:

Telephone Notification (49 CFR 234.7)

Each railroad must report to FRA every impact between on-track railroad equipment and an automobile, bus, truck, motorcycle, bicycle, farm vehicle, or pedestrian at a highway-rail grade crossing involving a crossing signal activation failure. Notification must be provided to the National Response Center within 24 hours of occurrence at (800) 424-0201. Complete reports must thereafter be filed with FRA pursuant to § 234.9 of this part (activation failure report) and 49 CFR 225.11 (accident/incident report).

Each telephone report must state the following: (1) The name of the railroad; (2) The name, title, and telephone number of the individual making the report; (3) The time, date, and location of accident; (4) The U.S. DOT-AAR Grade Crossing Identification Number; (5) The circumstances of the accident, including operating details of the grade crossing warning device; (6) The number of persons killed or injured, if any; (7) The maximum authorized train speed; and (8) The posted highway speed limit, if known.

Respondent universe is approximately 744 railroads. FRA expects that it will receive an average of approximately six (6) telephone calls annually reporting an impact at a grade crossing involving a crossing signal activation failure. It is estimated that each phone call will take approximately 15 minutes. Total annual burden for this requirement is two (2) hours.

Respondent Universe:	
•	744
	railroads
Burden time per response:	

15 minute

Frequency of Response: On occasion Annual number of Responses: 6 phone calls Annual Burden: 2 hours **Calculation:** 6 phone calls x 15 min. = 2 hours Grade Crossing Signal System Failure Reports (49 CFR 234.9) Each railroad must report to FRA within 15 days each activation failure of a highway-rail grade crossing warning system. FRA Form F 6180.83, "Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Warning System Report," must be used for this purpose and completed in accordance with instructions printed on the form. Respondent universe is approximately 744 railroads. FRA estimates that approximately 250 activation failure reports will be received annually under this requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 15 minutes to complete each report. This includes the time for the respondents to collect the information, prepare the report, and submit it to FRA. Total annual burden for this requirement is 63 hours. Respondent Universe: 744 railroads Burden time per response: 15

Frequency of Response: On occasion

Annual number of Responses: 250 reports

Annual Burden: 63 hours

minute

**Calculation:** 250 x 15 min. = 63 hours

Notification to Train Crew and Proper Law Enforcement Authority (234.105/106/107)

Upon receipt of a credible report of a warning system malfunction, partial activation, or false activation, a railroad having maintenance responsibility for the warning system must promptly initiate efforts to warn highway users and railroad employees at the subject crossing by taking the following actions: (a) Prior to any train's arrival at the crossing, notify the train crew of the report of activation failure and notify any other railroads operating over the crossing; (b) Notify the law enforcement agency having jurisdiction over the crossing, or railroad police capable of responding and controlling vehicular traffic; and (c) Provide for alternative means of actively warning highway users of approaching trains, consistent with the requirements stipulated in these sections.

According to the agency program expert, there has been a 58% reduction in activation failures recently. Thus, FRA estimates that approximately 5,040 activation failures (warning system malfunctions, partial activations, or false activations) will occur annually. It should be noted these false activations necessitate that both the train crews and law enforcement authorities be notified. Thus, approximately 10,080 notifications will take place each year. It is estimated that it will take approximately 15 minutes to notify the two required parties, and provide for alternative means of actively warning highway users of approaching trains. Total annual burden for this requirement is 2,520 hours.

Respondent Universe:

744
railroads

Burden time per response:

15
minute

8

S

Frequency of Response:

On occasion

Annual number of Responses:

10,080 notifications

Annual Burden:

2.520 hours

**Calculation:** 10,080 notifications x 15 min. = 2,520 hours

Recordkeeping (234.109)

Each railroad must keep records pertaining to compliance with this subpart. Records may be kept on forms provided by the railroad or by electronic means. Each railroad must keep the following information for each credible report of warning system malfunction:

- Location of crossing (by highway name and DOT/AAR Crossing Inventory (1) number);
- (2) Time and date of receipt by railroad of report of malfunction;
- (3) Actions taken by railroad prior to repair and reactivation of repaired system; and
- **(4)** Time and date of repair.

Each railroad must retain for at least one year (from the latest date of railroad activity in response to a credible report of malfunction) all records referred to in paragraph (a) of this section. Records required to be kept must be made available to FRA as provided by 49 U.S.C. 20107 (formerly 208 of the Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970 (45 U.S.C. 437)).

FRA estimates that there will be approximately 5,040 reports of malfunctions annually, and that records will be kept for each of them (as required). It is estimated that it will take approximately 10 minutes to complete each record with the necessary information. Total annual burden for this requirement is 840 hours annually.

Respondent Universe:

744

railroads

Burden time per response:

10

Frequency of Response:

On occasion

Annual number of Responses:

5,040 records

Annual Burden:

840 hours

**Calculation:** 5,040 records x 10 min. = 840 hours

Total annual burden for the entire information collection is 8,152 hours (2 + 63 + 2,520 + 840).

# 13. <u>Estimate of total annual costs to respondents</u>.

\$113 Postage (250 signal system failure reports @ \$.45)

3,785 Telephone calls (6 calls + 5,040 calls/notifications @ 5.75; train crews are notified by radio so there is no cost involved.)

**\$3,898** Total

#### 14. Estimate of Cost to Federal Government.

Cost to Federal Government is for reviewing the activation failure reports submitted by the respondents. It is estimated that it will take approximately 15 minutes per report. Annual cost is **\$5,313** [250 reports x 15 minutes x \$85 p/hour (includes 75% overhead)].

## 15. Explanation of program changes and adjustments.

As noted in the summary on page 1, the burden for this requested reinstatement information collection has <u>decreased</u> by a total of **4,727 hours** from the last approved submission. The change in burden is <u>solely</u> due to the four **adjustments** shown in the table below.

#### **TABLE FOR ADJUSTMENTS**

CFR Section	Responses &	Responses &	Burden	FRA	Difference
	Avg. Time	Avg. Time	Hours	Burden	(plus/minus)
	(Previous	(This	(Previous	Hours (This	
	Submission)	Submission)	Submission)	Submission)	
234.7 – Railroad	8 phone calls	6 phone calls	2 hours	2 hours	0 hours

Telephone	15 minutes	15 minutes			2 responses
notifications to FRA					1
accident information					
between on-track rail					
equipment and a car/					
bus/truck/motor cycle					
/bicycle/farm vehicle					
or pedestrian					
involving a crossing					
signal activation					
failure					
234.9 – Grade	600 reports	250 reports	150 hours	63 hours	87 hours
Crossing signal	15 minutes	15 minutes			350 resp.
system failure reports					
234.105/106/107- RR	24,000 notices/	10,080 notices/	6,000 hours	2,520 hours	3,480 hours
notification to train	notifications	notifications			13,920 resp.
crews and law	15 minutes	15 minutes			
enforcement					
authorities of credible					
report of a warning					
system malfunction,					
partial activation, or					
false activation					
234.109 – Records of	12,000 records	5,040 records	2,000 hours	840 hours	1,160 hours
warning system	10 minutes	10 minutes			6,960 resp.
malfunctions					

**Adjustments** above <u>decreased</u> the burden by 4,727 hours and <u>decreased</u> the number of *responses* by 21,236.

The burden for this information collection in the OMB inventory before approval expired showed a total of *8,152 hours*, while the present **reinstatement** submission exhibits a total burden of *3,425 hours*. Hence, there is <u>decrease</u> in burden of **4,727 hours** from the last approved submission.

**Adjustments** also <u>decreased</u> the cost to respondents from the last approved submission. Based on the revised estimate of the number of telephone calls shown above in section 234.7 of the table (from 8 to 6) and based on the revised number of calls/notifications to law enforcement authorities (train crews are notified by radio) in sections 234.105/106/107 of the table (from 12,000 to 5,040), the cost to respondents <u>decreased</u> by **\$5,378** (from \$9,276 to \$3,898).

#### 16. Publication of results of data collection.

There is no tabulation, collection or publication of responses.

## 17. Approval for not displaying the expiration date for OMB approval.

Once OMB approval is received, FRA will publish the approval number for these

information collection requirements in the Federal Register.

# 18. <u>Exception to certification statement.</u>

No exceptions are taken at this time.

## Meeting Department of Transportation (DOT) Strategic Goals

This information collection supports the top DOT strategic goal, namely transportation safety. Without this collection of information, rail safety in the United States would be seriously hampered. Specifically, without this collection of information, FRA, railroads, and law enforcement authorities would not know which signal systems are malfunctioning throughout the country. This could lead to an increased number of accidents/incidents where train crews and the traveling public are injured and possibly killed. The collection of information promotes safety by allowing FRA, railroads, and law enforcement authorities to take necessary safety measures upon learning that a grade crossing signal system has malfunctioned.

The collection of information also promotes safety by providing critical information that the agency can use in investigating accidents/incidents to determine the cause(s) of these events and prevent future accidents/incidents from occurring. Furthermore, the collection of information promotes safety by enabling FRA to set up an ongoing database that provides necessary and vital information regarding accidents/incidents involving on-track equipment and an automobile, bus, truck, motorcycle, bicycle, farm vehicle, or pedestrian at a highway-grade crossing resulting from a signal activation failure. This information can be used by FRA, railroads, and law enforcement authorities to make highway-grade crossings safer.

In summary, this collection promotes the top DOT Strategic Goal as well FRA's primary mission, namely transportation/railroad safety. In this information collection, as in all its information collection activities, FRA seeks to do its utmost to fulfill DOT Strategic

Goals and to be an integral part of One DOT.