

opportunity to review the Proposed NOS. The Proposed NOS sets forth the proposed terms and conditions of the lease sale, including minimum bids, royalty rates, and rental rates.

**DATES:** Affected states may comment on the size, timing, and location of proposed Cook Inlet Sale 244 within 60 days following their receipt of the Proposed NOS. The Final NOS will be published in the **Federal Register** at least 30 days prior to the date of bid opening. Bid opening is currently scheduled for June 21, 2017.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Proposed NOS for Cook Inlet Sale 244 and a Proposed NOS Package containing information essential to potential bidders may be obtained from the Alaska OCS Region, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, 3801 Centerpoint Drive, Ste. 500, Anchorage, Alaska 99508. Telephone: (907) 334-5200. The Proposed NOS and Proposed NOS Package also are available on BOEM's Web site at <http://www.boem.gov/Sale-244/>.

*Agency Contact:* David Diamond, Chief, Leasing Division, [david.diamond@boem.gov](mailto:david.diamond@boem.gov).

Dated: February 16, 2017.

**Walter D. Cruickshank,**

*Acting Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.*

[FR Doc. 2017-03548 Filed 2-27-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement

[Docket ID BSEE-2016-0012; OMB Number 1014-0025; 17XE1700DX EEEE50000 EX1SF0000.DAQ000]

**Information Collection Activities: Application for Permit To Drill (APD, Revised APD), Supplemental APD Information Sheet, and All Supporting Documentation; Submitted for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request**

**ACTION:** 30-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** To comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE) is notifying the public that we have submitted to OMB an information collection request (ICR) to renew approval of the paperwork requirements in the regulations at 30 CFR part 250, *Oil and Gas and Sulfur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf*. This notice also provides the public a second

opportunity to comment on the revised paperwork burden of these regulatory requirements.

**DATE:** You must submit comments by March 30, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments by either fax (202) 395-5806 or email (*OIRA\_Submission@omb.eop.gov*) directly to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior (1014-0025). Please provide a copy of your comments to BSEE by any of the means below.

- Electronically go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. In the Search box, enter BSEE-2016-0012 then click search. Follow the instructions to submit public comments and view all related materials. We will post all comments.

- Email [kye.mason@bsee.gov](mailto:kye.mason@bsee.gov), fax (703) 787-1546, or mail or hand-carry comments to the Department of the Interior; Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement; Regulations and Standards Branch; ATTN: Nicole Mason; 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166. Please reference ICR 1014-0025 in your comment and include your name and return address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Nicole Mason, Regulations and Standards Branch, (703) 787-1607, to request additional information about this ICR. To see a copy of the entire ICR submitted to OMB, go to <http://www.reginfo.gov> (select Information Collection Review, Currently Under Review).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* 30 CFR part 250, Application for Permit to Drill (APD, Revised APD), Supplemental APD Information Sheet, and all supporting documentation.

*Form(s):* BSEE-0123 and -0123S.

*OMB Control Number:* 1014-0025.

*Abstract:* The Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Lands Act at 43 U.S.C. 1334 authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to prescribe rules and regulations necessary for the administration of the leasing provisions of that Act related to mineral resources on the OCS. Such rules and regulations will apply to all operations conducted under a lease, right-of-way, or a right-of-use and easement. Operations on the OCS must preserve, protect, and develop oil and natural gas resources in a manner that is consistent with the need to make such resources available to meet the Nation's energy needs as rapidly as possible; to balance orderly energy resource development with protection of human, marine, and coastal environments; to

ensure the public a fair and equitable return on the resources of the OCS; and to preserve and maintain free enterprise competition.

In addition to the general rulemaking authority of the OCSLA at 43 U.S.C. 1334, section 301(a) of the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act (FOGRMA), 30 U.S.C. 1751(a), grants authority to the Secretary to prescribe such rules and regulations as are reasonably necessary to carry out FOGRMA's provisions. While the majority of FOGRMA is directed to royalty collection and enforcement, some provisions apply to offshore operations. For example, section 108 of FOGRMA, 30 U.S.C. 1718, grants the Secretary broad authority to inspect lease sites for the purpose of determining whether there is compliance with the mineral leasing laws. Section 109(c)(2) and (d)(1), 30 U.S.C. 1719(c)(2) and (d)(1), impose substantial civil penalties for failure to permit lawful inspections and for knowing or willful preparation or submission of false, inaccurate, or misleading reports, records, or other information. Because the Secretary has delegated some of the authority under FOGRMA to BSEE, 30 U.S.C. 1751 is included as additional authority for these requirements.

The Independent Offices Appropriations Act (31 U.S.C. 9701), the Omnibus Appropriations Bill (Pub. L. 104-133, 110 Stat. 1321, April 26, 1996), and OMB Circular A-25, authorize Federal agencies to recover the full cost of services that confer special benefits. Under the Department of the Interior's implementing policy, BSEE is required to charge fees for services that provide special benefits or privileges to an identifiable non-Federal recipient above and beyond those which accrue to the public at large. Applications for permits to drill are subject to cost recovery, and BSEE regulations specify a service fee for this request.

This authority and responsibility are among those delegated to BSEE. The regulations at 30 CFR part 250 stipulates the various requirements that must be submitted with forms BSEE-0123, Application for Permit to Drill (APD), Revised APD, and BSEE-0123S, Supplemental APD Information Sheet. The forms and the numerous submittals included with them are the subject of this collection. There are no changes being made to the forms with this ICR submission. This request also covers any related Notices to Lessees and Operators (NTOs) that BSEE issues to clarify, supplement, or provide

additional guidance on some aspects of our regulations.

Most responses are mandatory, while some are required to obtain or retain a benefit. No questions of a sensitive nature are asked. BSEE will protect any confidential commercial or proprietary information according to section 26 of OCSLA (43 U.S.C. 1352); the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552); and DOI's implementing regulations (43 CFR 2), and according to 30 CFR 250.197, *Data and information to be made available to the public or for limited inspection*, and 30 CFR part 252, *OCS Oil and Gas Information Program*.

BSEE uses the information from forms BSEE-0123 and BSEE-0123s to ensure safe drilling operations and to protect the human, marine, and coastal environment. Among other things, BSEE specifically uses the information to ensure: The drilling unit is fit for the intended purpose; the lessee or operator will not encounter geologic conditions that present a hazard to operations; equipment is maintained in a state of readiness and meets safety standards; each drilling crew is properly trained and able to promptly perform well-control activities at any time during well operations; compliance with safety standards; and the current regulations will provide for safe and proper field or reservoir development, resource evaluation, conservation, protection of correlative rights, safety, and environmental protection. We also review well records to ascertain whether drilling operations have encountered hydrocarbons or H2S and to ensure that H2S detection equipment, personnel protective equipment, and training of the crew are adequate for safe operations in zones known to contain H2S and zones where the presence of H2S is unknown.

Also, we use the information to determine the conditions of a drilling site to avoid hazards inherent in drilling operations. Specifically, we use the

information to evaluate the adequacy of a lessee's or operator's plan and equipment for drilling, sidetracking, or deepening operations. This includes the adequacy of the proposed casing design, casing setting depths, drilling fluid (mud) programs, cementing programs, and BOP systems to ascertain that the proposed operations will be conducted in an operationally safe manner that provides adequate protection for the environment. The BSEE also reviews the information to ensure conformance with specific provisions of the lease. In addition, except for proprietary data, BSEE is required by the OCS Lands Act to make available to the public certain information submitted on Forms BSEE-0123 and -0123S. The information on the forms is as follows:

**BSEE-0123**

*Heading:* BSEE uses the information to identify the type of proposed drilling activity for which approval is requested.

*Well at Total Depth/Surface:* Information utilized to identify the location (area, block, lease, latitude and longitude) of the proposed drilling activity.

*Significant Markers Anticipated:* Identification of significant geologic formations, structures and/or horizons that the lessee or operator expects to encounter. This information, in conjunction with seismic data, is needed to correlate with other wells drilled in the area to assess the risks and hazards inherent in drilling operations.

*Question/Information:* The information is used to ascertain the adequacy of the drilling fluids (mud) program to ensure control of the well, the adequacy of the surface casing compliance with EPA offshore pollutant discharge requirements and the shut in of adjacent wells to ensure safety while moving a rig on and off a drilling location, as well that the worst case discharge scenario information reflects the well and is updated if applicable.

This information is also provided in the course of electronically requesting approval of drilling operations via eWell.

**BSEE-0123S**

*Heading:* BSEE uses this information to identify the lease operator, rig name, rig elevation, water depth, type well (exploratory, development), and the presence of H2S and other data which is needed to assess operational risks and safety.

*Well Design Information:* This engineering data identifies casing size, pressure rating, setting depth and current volume, hole size, mud weight, blowout preventer (BOP) and well bore designs, formation and BOP test data and other criteria. The information is utilized by BSEE engineers to verify operational safety and ensure well control to prevent blowouts and other hazards to personnel and the environment. This form accommodates requested data collection for successive sections of the borehole as drilling proceeds toward total depth below each intermediate casing point.

*Frequency:* On occasion and as required by regulations.

*Description of Respondents:* Potential respondents comprise Federal OCS oil, gas, or sulfur lessees and/or operators.

*Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:* The estimated annual hour burden for this information collection is a total of 63,561 hours and \$862,104 non-hour costs. The following chart details the individual components and estimated hour burdens. In calculating the burdens, we assumed that respondents perform certain requirements in the normal course of their activities. We consider these to be usual and customary and took that into account in estimating the burden.

[Note: In the Burden Table, a Revised APD hour burden is preceded by the letter R]

BURDEN BREAKDOWN

Citation 30 CFR 250; application for permit to drill (APD)	Reporting or recordkeeping requirement *	Hour burden	Average number of responses	Annual burden hours (rounded)
<b>Non-hour cost burden</b>				
Subparts A, C, D, E, G, H, P.	Apply for permit to drill, sidetrack, bypass, or deepen a well submitted via Forms BSEE-0123 (APD) and BSEE-0123S (Supplemental APD).	1	408 applications .....	408
	(This burden represents only the filling out of the forms, the requirements are listed separately below.)			\$2,113 fee × 408 = \$862,104

## BURDEN BREAKDOWN—Continued

Citation 30 CFR 250; application for permit to drill (APD)	Reporting or recordkeeping requirement*	Hour burden	Average number of responses	Annual burden hours (rounded)
		<b>Non-hour cost burden</b>		
Subparts D, E, G .....	Obtain approval to revise your drilling plan or change major drilling equipment by submitting a Revised APD and Supplemental APD [no cost recovery fee for Revised APDs]. (This burden represents only the filling out of the forms, the requirements are listed separately below).	1	662 submittals .....	662
<b>Subtotal</b> .....		<b>1,070 responses</b> .....		<b>1,070</b>
		<b>\$862,104 non-hour cost burdens</b>		
<b>Subpart A</b>				
125 .....	Submit evidence of your fee for services receipt .....	Exempt under 5 CFR 1320.3(h)(1).		0
197 .....	Written confidentiality agreement .....	Exempt under 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).		0
<b>Subpart C</b>				
300(b)(1), (2) .....	Obtain approval to add petroleum-based substance to drilling mud system or approval for method of disposal of drill cuttings, sand, & other well solids, including those containing NORM.	150	1 request .....	150
<b>Subtotal for C</b> .....		<b>1 response</b> .....		<b>150</b>
<b>Subpart D</b>				
408; 414(h) .....	Request approval of alternate procedures or equipment during drilling operations.	Burden covered under subpart A, 1014–0022.		0
409 .....	Request departure approval from the drilling requirements specified in this subpart; identify and discuss.	1	367 approvals .....	367
410(b); 417(b); 713 .....	Reference well and site-specific information in case it is not approved in your Exploration Plan, Development and Production Plan, Development Operations Coordination Document. Burdens pertaining to EPs, DPPs, DOCDs are covered under BOEM 1010–0151.	8	1 submittal .....	8
410(d) .....	Submit to the District Manager: An original and two complete copies of APD and Supplemental APD; separate public information copy of forms per §250.186.	0.5	380 submittals .....	190
		R–0.5	380 submittals .....	190
411; 412 .....	Submit plat showing location of the proposed well and all the plat requirements associated with this section.	2	380 submittals .....	760
411; 413; 414; 415; 420 ...	Submit design criteria used and all description requirements;	15	707 submittals .....	10,605
	drilling prognosis with description of the procedures you will follow; and			
	casing and cementing program requirements.			
411; 416; 731 .....	Submit diverter and BOP systems descriptions and all the regulatory requirements associated with this section.	11	380 submittals .....	4,180
411; 713 .....	Provide information for using a MODU and all the regulatory requirements associated with this section.	10	682 submittals .....	6,820

## BURDEN BREAKDOWN—Continued

Citation 30 CFR 250; application for permit to drill (APD)	Reporting or recordkeeping requirement *	Hour burden	Average number of responses	Annual burden hours (rounded)
<b>Non-hour cost burden</b>				
411; 418 .....	Additional information required when providing an APD include, but not limited to, rated capacities of drilling rig and equipment if not already on file; drilling fluids program, including weight materials; directional plot; H2S contingency plan; welding plan; and information we may require per requirements, etc.	20	380 submittals .....	7,600
420(a)(7) .....	Include signed registered professional engineer certification and related information.	3	1,034 certification .....	3,102
423(c) .....	Submit for approval casing pressure test procedures and criteria. On casing seal assembly ensure proper installation of casing or liner (subsea BOP's only).	3	527 procedures & criteria	1,581
428(b) .....	Submit to District Manager for approval revised casing setting depths or hole interval drilling depth; include certification by PE.	125	1 submittal .....	125
428(k) .....	Submit a description of the plan to use a valve(s) on the drive pipe during cementing operations for the conductor casing, surface casing, or liner.	125	1 submittal .....	125
432 .....	Request departure from diverter requirements; with discussion and receive approval.	8	53 requests .....	424
460(a) .....	Include your projected plans if well testing along with the required information.	17	2 plans .....	34
462(c) .....	Submit a description of your source control and containment capabilities to the Regional Supervisor and receive approval; all required information.	125	1 submittal .....	125
490(c) .....	Request to classify an area for the presence of H2S	3	91 requests .....	273
	Support request with available information such as G&G data, well logs, formation tests, cores and analysis of formation fluids.	3	73 submittals .....	219
	Submit a request for reclassification of a zone when a different classification is needed.	1	4 requests .....	4
Alaska Region: 410; 412 thru 418; 420; 442; 444; 449; 456; 470; 472. ....	Due to the difficulties of drilling in Alaska, along with the shortened time window allowed for drilling, Alaska hours are done here as stand-alone requirements. Also, note that these specific hours are based on the first APD in Alaska in more than 10 years.	2,800	1 request .....	2,800
<b>Subpart D subtotal .....</b>			<b>5,445 responses .....</b>	<b>39,532</b>
<b>Subpart E</b>				
513 .....	Obtain written approval to begin well completion operations. If completion is planned and the data are available you may submit on forms.	3	288 requests .....	864
		R-3	1 request .....	3
	Submit description of well-completion, schematics, logs, any H2S.	18.5	295 submittals .....	5,458
		R-26	1 submittal .....	26
<b>Subpart E subtotal .....</b>			<b>585 responses .....</b>	<b>6,351</b>

BURDEN BREAKDOWN—Continued

Citation 30 CFR 250; application for permit to drill (APD)	Reporting or recordkeeping requirement *	Hour burden	Average number of responses	Annual burden hours (rounded)
<b>Non-hour cost burden</b>				
<b>Subpart G</b>				
701 .....	Identify and discuss your proposed alternate procedures or equipment.	Burden covered under subpart A, 1014–0022		0
702 .....	Identify and discuss departure requests .....	Burden covered under subpart A, 1014–0022		0
713(b) .....	Submit plat of the rig's anchor pattern for a moored rig approved in your EP, DPP, or DOCD.	125	1 submittal .....	125
713(e) .....	Provide contingency plan for using dynamically positioned MODU and all the regulatory requirements associated with this section.	10	682 submittals .....	6,820
713(g) .....	Describe specific current speeds when implementing rig shutdown and/or move-off procedures for water depths > 400 meters; discussion of specific measures you will take to curtail rig operations/move-off location.	45	1 submittal .....	45
720(b) .....	Request approval to displace kill-weight fluid; include reasons why along with step-by-step procedures.	5	518 approval requests .....	2,590
721(g)(4) .....	Submit test procedures and criteria for a successful negative pressure test for approval. If any change, submit changes for approval.	2.5 R–4	355 submittals 1 change	888 4
724(b) .....	Submit certification that you have a real-time monitoring plan that meets the criteria listed.	109	1 submittal .....	109
731 .....	Submit complete description of BOP system and components; schematic drawings; certification by BAVO (additional BAVO if BOP is subsea, in HTHP, or surface on floating facility); autoshear, deadman, EDS systems; certification for MIA report.	114	1 submittal .....	114
733(b) .....	Describe annulus monitoring plan; and how the well will be secured if leak is detected.	67	1 submittal .....	67
734(b) .....	Submit verification report from BAVO documenting repairs & that BOP is fit for service.	R–64	1 report .....	64
734(c) .....	Submit revision, including all verifications required, before drilling out surface casing.	R–66	1 submittal .....	66
737(a) .....	Request approval from District Manager to omit BOP pressure test. Indicate which casing strings and liners meet the criteria for this request.	1	358 casing/liner info .....	358
737(b)(2) .....	Request approval of test pressures (RAM BOPs) ....	2	353 requests .....	706
737(b)(3) .....	Request approval of pressure test (annular BOPs) ..	.....	380 requests .....	760
737(d)(2) .....	Submit test procedures for approval for surface BOP.	2.5	507 submittals .....	1,268
737(d)(3); (d)(4) .....	Submit test procedures, including how you will test each ROV intervention function, for approval (subsea BOPs only).	2	507 submittals .....	1,014
737(d)(12) .....	Submit test procedures (autoshear and deadman systems) for approval. Include documentation of the controls/circuitry system used for each test; describe how the ROV will be utilized during this operation.	2.5	507 submittals .....	1,268

## BURDEN BREAKDOWN—Continued

Citation 30 CFR 250; application for permit to drill (APD)	Reporting or recordkeeping requirement *	Hour burden	Average number of responses	Annual burden hours (rounded)
<b>Non-hour cost burden</b>				
738(m) .....	Request approval to use additional well control equipment, including BAVO report; as well as other information required by District Manager.	66	1 request .....	66
738(n) .....	Submit which pipe/variable bore rams have no current utility or well control purposes.	64	1 submittal .....	64
<b>Subpart G subtotal .....</b>			<b>4,177 response .....</b>	<b>16,396</b>
<b>Subpart H</b>				
807(a) .....	Submit detailed information that demonstrates the SSSVs and related equipment are capable of performing in HPHT.	13	1 submittal .....	13
<b>Subpart H subtotal .....</b>			<b>1 response .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Subpart P</b>				
<b>Note that for Sulfur Operations, while there may be 49 burden hours listed, we have not had any sulfur leases for numerous years, therefore, we have submitted minimal burden.</b>				
1605(b)(3) .....	Submit information on the fitness of the drilling unit	6	1 submittal .....	6
1617 .....	Submit fully completed application (Form BSEE-0123) include rated capacities of the proposed drilling unit and of major drilling equipment; as well as all required information listed in this section.	40	1 submittal .....	40
1622(b) .....	Submit description of well-completion or workover procedures, schematic, and if H2S is present.	3	1 submittal .....	3
<b>Subpart P subtotal .....</b>			<b>3 responses .....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Total Burden .....</b>			<b>11,282 Responses .....</b>	<b>63,561</b>
			<b>\$862,104 Non Hour Cost Burden</b>	

\* In the future, BSEE may require electronic filing of some submissions.

*Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Non-Hour Cost Burden:* We have identified one non-hour cost burden associated with the collection of information for a total of \$862,104. It is the \$2,113 service fee required to recover the Federal Government's processing costs of the APD. We have not identified any other non-hour cost burdens associated with this collection of information.

*Public Disclosure Statement:* The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Until OMB approves a collection of information, you are not obligated to respond.

*Comments:* Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) requires each agency “. . . to provide notice . . . and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected

agencies concerning each proposed collection of information . . .” Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the collection is necessary or useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of technology.

To comply with the public consultation process, on September 22, 2016, we published a **Federal Register** notice (81 FR 65399) announcing that we would submit this ICR to OMB for approval. The notice provided the required 60-day comment period. In addition, § 250.199 provides the OMB Control Number for the information collection requirements imposed by the regulations and forms. The regulations also inform the public that they may

comment at any time on the collections of information and provides the address to which they should send comments. We received no comments in response to the **Federal Register** notice, nor did we receive any unsolicited comments.

*Public Availability of Comments:* Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: February 2, 2017.

**Eric Miller,**

*Acting Deputy Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2017-03855 Filed 2-27-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-VH-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-552-553 and 731-TA-1308 (Final)]

### Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From India and Sri Lanka

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires from India, provided for in headings 4011, 8431, 8709, and 8716 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”) and subsidized by the government of India, and by reason of imports of certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires found by Commerce to be subsidized by the government of Sri Lanka.<sup>2,3</sup>

#### Background

The Commission, pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)), instituted these investigations effective January 8, 2016, following receipt of petitions filed with the Commission and Commerce by Titan Tire Corporation of Des Moines, Iowa (“Titan”) and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO, CLC of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (“USW”). The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires from India and Sri Lanka

were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and that imports of certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires from India were not dumped within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission’s investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on September 12, 2016 (81 FR 62760). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 4, 2017, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on February 23, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4669 (February 2017), entitled *Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from India and Sri Lanka: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-552-553 and 731-TA-1308 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 23, 2017.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-03906 Filed 2-27-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1110-0056]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension of a Previously Approved Collection

**AGENCY:** Justice Management Division, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice, Justice Management Division has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with established review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on January 25, 2017, Volume 82, Number 15, Page 8437 allowing for a 60 day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until March 30, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Lubna Shirazi, Office of Information Policy, U.S. Department of Justice, Suite 11050, 1425 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.
2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Certification of Identity.
3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form DOJ-361. Facilities and Administrative Services Staff, Justice Management Division, U.S. Department of Justice.
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: American Citizens. Other: Federal Government. The information collection will be used by the Department to identify individuals

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> The Commission also finds that imports subject to Commerce’s affirmative critical circumstances determination are not likely to undermine seriously the remedial effect of the countervailing duty orders on certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires from India and Sri Lanka.

<sup>3</sup> Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert did not participate in these investigations.