Dear RIC 2017 attendees:

Once again, we thank you for your support of the RICL

Thank you for making the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) 29th Annual Regulatory Information Conference (RIC) such a success! We really appreciate your participation and support this year.

In an effort to build on achievements and to address any challenges from our 2017 conference, we are asking you to complete this short survey. Please note that this survey takes approximately five (5) minutes to complete, and that your responses are kept confidential. Data will only be presented in aggregate form.

ones again, we	s thank you for you	. capport or the rive.		

~=	TOTION 4 OFNEDAL
<u>SE</u>	ECTION 1: GENERAL
1.	Including this year, how many NRC Regulatory Information Conferences have you attended?
	\square 1. This year was my first conference
	$\ \square$ 2. This year was my second conference
	\square 3. I have attended 3 to 5 conferences
	☐ 4. I have attended more than 5 conferences
2.	What best describes your employment affiliation?
	□ 1. NRC Employee
	\square 2. A company or government agency other than the NRC
3.	Is your work position primarily technical or administrative?
	□ 1. Technical
	□ 2. Administrative

4. Please respond to each of the following statements about why you attended this year's conference.

I attended the 2017 NRC RIC because					
	STRONGLY			STRONGLY	Not
	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	AGREE	AGREE	APPLICABLE
The conference provides updated information on nuclear regulation.					
I could get the latest research information by attending the conference.					
The conference addressed issues of nuclear safety.					
The conference addressed issues of nuclear security.					
The conference is easily accessible.					
I wanted the opportunity to network with others in my field.					
I believe that attending this conference is helpful to my future career goals and advancement.					
I can voice my opinions to those in the field of nuclear regulation.					

The free registration of the confer								
The cost of the hotel				[
SECTION 2: PLENARY SESSIONS 6. Please evaluate the following:								
							DID NOT	
	Poor	AVERA	.GE	GOOD	Exc	ELLENT	ATTEND	
The conference overall								
The opening session								
The plenary sessions overall								
SECTION 3: TECHNICAL SESSIONS 7. Please respond to the following about the technical sessions.								
7. Please respond to the following	ng about tl	ne technica	al sess	ons.				
7. Please respond to the following		STRONGLY					STRONGLY	Noт
·		STRONGLY DISAGREE		AGREE	AGI		AGREE	APPLICABLE
7. Please respond to the following The technical sessions met my expectations based on how they promoted.		STRONGLY			AGF			_
The technical sessions met my expectations based on how they	/ were	STRONGLY DISAGREE		AGREE			AGREE	APPLICABLE
The technical sessions met my expectations based on how they promoted. The information presented was spenough to be useful to me in my	/ were	STRONGLY DISAGREE		AGREE]	AGREE	APPLICABLE

5. How much did each of the following factors influence your decision to attend this year's conference?

ONLY A

LITTLE

VERY

MUCH

SOMEWHAT

Nот ат

ALL

The metro accessibility of the conference

SECTION 4: MATERIALS AND SUPPORT

8. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following?

	STRONGLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE	AGREE	STRONGLY AGREE	NOT APPLICABLE
Conference information on the RIC website was timely.					
The printed program for the RIC helped me to navigate the conference.					
The RIC mobile optimized agenda was helpful.					
I followed social media (NRC Blog, Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, Flickr) for information about the conference.					
The RIC technical poster and tabletop presentations were relevant to my work.					
Touring the NRC Headquarters Operations Center was useful for me.					
The online registration and automated confirmation system was easy to use.					

SECTION 5: TOURS

9. Please respond to the following about the tours.

	STRONGLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE	AGREE	STRONGLY AGREE	NOT APPLICABLE
The tours met my expectations based on how they were promoted.					
The information presented was specific enough to be useful to me in my work.					
There was enough time for me to ask questions during the tours.					

SECTION 6: OVERALL

10.	regarding nuclear safety	and security initiatives	and regulatory	forum and to encourage openness in areas issues", and "to provide attendees with new agree or disagree that these objectives
	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ Disagree	□ Agree	□ Strongly Agree
11.	How would you rate you □ Needs Improvement	•	t this year's RIC □ Good	C: □ Excellent

	•	ow to: 1) elaborate on any of your responses, 2) suggest a back that would be helpful to RIC organizers in planning the
	Thank you for your time and we lo	ok forward to seeing you at next year's RIC.
will be used to collection doe	determine areas of improvement for future of	ion collection is five (5) minutes. The information provided conferences. If a means used to impose an information umber, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person
	OMB NO. 3150-0217	EXPIRES: 12/31/2019