

## Agreement State and NRC Materials Programs FY 2016

The estimated burden determine areas of impa a currently valid OMB information collection. OMB NO. 3150-0217 EXPIRES: January 31	provement for future control number, the	IMPEP reviews. If	a means used to	impose an info	rmation collection do	es not display	
The NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards is performing a satisfaction survey of its administration of the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP). The satisfaction survey will cover IMPEP reviews of Agreement State radiation control programs and NRC materials programs performed during fiscal year 2016. Also the satisfaction survey will provide useful information for management decision making regarding areas where NRC should dedicate more resources or management attention.							
Please answer the following questions based on your involvement and experience during the IMPEP review you supported in FY2016.							
1. How would you rat areas?	e the review team v	with regard to the	ir preparation a	nd knowledge	in their respective t	technical	
		Needs					
	Poor	Improvement	Average	Good	Outstanding	N/A	
D-F	001	O	Average	0000	Odistanding		
Rating	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Did you find the qu	•		r the IMPEP on s				
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A	
Rating	0	0	0	0	0		
Were the review team's questions based on the requirements in Management Directive 5.6, Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program?      Neutral /      Not at all Slightly Undecided Mostly Definitely N/A							
Rating	0	0	0	0	0	0	

4. Did the review loo	k at the most import	ant aspects of	the program?			
	Not at all	Slightly	Neutral / Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	0	()	0		0	0
	Ü	0				
5. Did the review res	ult in a report that w	as consistent v	vith IMPEP criteria	1?		
	Not at all	Slightly	Neutral / Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	Not at all	Oligituy	Ondecided	IVIOSIIY	Delimitely	O O
rading	U					
6. Did the report acc	urately reflect the st	rengths and are	eas for improveme	ent of the prog	ram?	
			Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Did the Manageme	ent Review Board (M	RB) add value	to the IMPEP revie	ew process and	d was it consistent	t with
Management Directiv		,		•		
			Neutral /			
5.0	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Was the Team Lea	ider effective in cond	lucting a thoro	ugh and fair revie	w of your prog	ram?	
			Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Is the format of the	e report useful?					
	o roport addian		Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Is the report an a	ccurate record of the	e results of the				
	Not at all	Slightly	Neutral / Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. Please comment Do you have any sug				think worked	well, and/or did no	t work well.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,					
'		1				

12. Please comment on any aspect of the MRB evaluation that you think worked well, and/or did not work well. Do you have any suggestions to improve the MRB process?
nave any suggestions to improve the MKD process:
13. Additional comments you would like to add?
PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION (OPTIONAL):
14. Last Name (Family Name/Surname):
15. First Name:
16. Please select the Agreement State or NRC program reviewed:
•
17. Work email address:
Please provide an email address for the purpose of feedback or follow-up.
Done
Powered by  SurveyMonkey®
See how easy it is to <u>create a survey</u> .