

IV. Backfitting and Issue Finality

Issuance of this regulatory guide does not constitute backfitting as defined in section 50.109 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) (the Backfit Rule) and is not otherwise inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. This regulatory guide does not apply to any construction permits, operating licenses, early site permits, limited work authorizations issued under 10 CFR 50.10 for which the NRC issued a final environmental impact statement (EIS) preceded by a draft EIS under 10 CFR 51.76 or 51.75, or combined licenses, any of which were issued by the NRC prior to issuance of the final regulatory guide. The NRC has already completed its siting determination for those construction permits, operating licenses, early site permits, limited work authorizations, and combined licenses. Therefore, no further NRC regulatory action on siting will occur for those licenses, permits, and authorizations, for which the guidance in this regulatory guide would be relevant.

The guidance in this regulatory guide may be applied to applications for early site permits, combined licenses, and limited work authorizations issued under 10 CFR 50.10 (including information under 10 CFR 51.49(b) or (f)), any of which are docketed and under review by the NRC. The guidance in this regulatory guide may also be applied to applications for construction permits, early site permits, combined licenses, and limited work authorizations (including information under 10 CFR 51.49(b) or (f)). Such action does not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109(a)(1) and is not otherwise inconsistent with the applicable issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. Applicants and potential applicants are not, with certain exceptions, protected by either the Backfit Rule or any issue finality provisions under part 52. Neither the Backfit Rule nor the issue finality provisions under Part 52—with certain exclusions discussed below—were intended to every NRC action which substantially changes the expectations of current and future applicants.

The exceptions to the general principle are applicable whenever an applicant references a Part 52 license (e.g., an early site permit) and/or NRC regulatory approval (e.g., a design certification rule) with specified issue finality provisions. The staff does not, at this time, intend to impose the positions represented in this RG in a manner that is inconsistent with any of the issue finality provisions applicable to early

site permits (10 CFR 52.39) or combined license applications referencing an early site permit (10 CFR 52.83). If, in the future, the staff seeks to impose a position in this RG in a manner which does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must make address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described applicable issue finality provision.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of December 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Edward O'Donnell,

Acting Chief, Regulatory Guidance and Generic Issues Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2016-31375 Filed 12-27-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2016-0064]

Information Collection: NRC Form 850A, "Request for NRC Contractor Building Access Authorization" NRC Form 850B, "Request for NRC Contractor Information Technology Access Authorization" NRC Form 850C, "Request for NRC Contractor Security Clearance"

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of submission to the Office of Management and Budget; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted a request for renewal of an existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. The information collection is entitled, "NRC Form 850A, "Request for NRC Contractor Building Access Authorization" NRC Form 850B, "Request for NRC Contractor Information Technology Access Authorization" NRC Form 850C, "Request for NRC Contractor Security Clearance."

DATES: Submit comments by January 27, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments directly to the OMB reviewer at: Vlad Dorjets, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0218), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503; telephone: 202-395-7315, email: oira_submission@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cullison, NRC Clearance Officer,

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2084; email: INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID: NRC-2016-0064 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- Federal rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID: NRC-2016-0064.
- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The supporting statement is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML16355A312.

- NRC's PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- NRC's Clearance Officer: A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by contacting the NRC's Clearance Officer, David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2084; email: INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV.

B. Submitting Comments

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. All comment submissions are posted at <http://www.regulations.gov> and entered into ADAMS. Comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the OMB, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be

publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Background

Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the NRC recently submitted a request for renewal of an existing collection of information to OMB for review entitled, "NRC Form 850A, "Request for NRC Contractor Building Access Authorization" NRC Form 850B, "Request for NRC Contractor Information Technology Access Authorization" NRC Form 850C, "Request for NRC Contractor Security Clearance." The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The NRC published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on September 9, 2016 (81 FR 62546).

1. *The title of the information collection:* NRC Form 850A, "Request for NRC Contractor Building Access," NRC Form 850B, "Request for NRC Contractor Information Technology Access Authorization," and NRC Form 850C, "Request for NRC Contractor Security Clearance."

2. *OMB approval number:* 3150-0218.

3. *Type of submission:* Extension.

4. *The form number if applicable:* NRC Form 850A, NRC Form 850B, and NRC Form 850C.

5. *How often the collection is required or requested:* On occasion.

6. *Who will be required or asked to respond:* NRC contractors, subcontractors and other individuals who are not NRC employees.

7. *The estimated number of annual responses:* 500.

8. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 500.

9. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request:* 85.

10. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 10, "Criteria and Procedures for Determining Eligibility for Access to Restricted Data or National Security Information or an Employment Clearance," establishes requirements that individuals requiring an access authorization and/or employment clearance must have an investigation of their background. NRC Forms 850A, 850B, and 850C will be

used by the NRC to obtain information on NRC contractors, subcontractors, and other individuals who are not NRC employees and require access to NRC buildings, IT systems, sensitive information, sensitive unclassified information, or classified information.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of December 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David Cullison,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016-31324 Filed 12-27-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-79635; File No. SR-Phlx-2016-124]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Delay the Implementation of the Limit Order Protection for Members Accessing PSX

December 21, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on December 20, 2016, NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to delay the implementation of the Limit Order Protection or "LOP" for members accessing PSX.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the

places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposal is to delay the implementation of the Exchange's mechanism to protect against erroneous Limit Orders, which are entered into PSX, at Rule 3307(f).³ The Exchange received approval to implement this mechanism on August 24, 2016.⁴ Within that rule change, the Exchanges proposed to implement LOP within ninety days of the approval of the proposal, which was November 22, 2016.⁵ The Exchange subsequently filed a modification to the original proposal and delayed the implementation an additional sixty (60) days from the original timeframe in order to implement the LOP, which was January 21, 2017.⁶

At this time the Exchange proposes to delay the implementation from January 21, 2017 until a date no later than March 31, 2017 in order to allow additional time to complete testing. The Exchange will announce the specific date in advance through an Equities Trader Alert. For more information regarding LOP see the previous LOP rule changes.⁷

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁸ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁹ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78246 (August 24, 2016), 81 FR 59672 (August 30, 2016) (SR-Phlx-2016-58). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83917 (November 16, 2016), 81 FR 83917 (November 22, 2016) (SR-Phlx-2016-113).

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78246 (August 24, 2016), 81 FR 59672 (August 30, 2016) (SR-Phlx-2016-58) (Notice of Filing of Amendments No. 1 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Changes, as Modified by Amendments No. 1, To Adopt Limit Order Protections).

⁵ *Id.* at 45338.

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83917 (November 16, 2016), 81 FR 83917 (November 22, 2016) (SR-Phlx-2016-113) (Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Limit Order Protection).

⁷ See note 3 above.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.