APPENDIX D 5. CENTER STAFF SURVEY

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. We are conducting this survey in order to gather information from multiple Comprehensive Centers about the successes and challenges of building constituent capacity and providing technical assistance. All the information you provide will be reported as aggregate or grouped data and will only be used for the purposes of this study.

The focus of this survey is on technical assistance in two areas identified by the Department of Education as priorities:

- 1. Identifying, recruiting, developing, and retaining highly effective teachers and leaders
- 2. Ensuring school readiness and success of preschool-age children and their successful transition to kindergarten.

We are interested in your responses as someone who provides technical assistance to state departments of education (SEAs) or to Regional Comprehensive Centers. However, there may be some questions on the survey that you feel do not apply to you. Please feel free to indicate that on those questions by selecting "don't know." Because we are surveying several people from each Center, those questions will likely be answered by your colleagues.

The survey should take 20 minutes to complete.

Information collected for this study comes under the confidentiality and data protection requirements of the Institute of Education Sciences (The Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002, Title I, Part E, Section 183). Responses to this data collection will be used only for research purposes. The reports prepared for this study from the survey findings will be summarized and aggregated and should not associate responses with a specific district, school, or individual. However, respondents' roles and the CC they work with may be identified in the report, which may lead to individuals' being identified. Other than this situation that we have made respondents aware of, we will not provide information that identifies you to anyone outside the study team, except as required by law.

This survey is voluntary. You can decide not to participate, or you can discontinue participation at any time without consequence. You do not have to answer any questions you do not want to, but we encourage you to answer as many as you can.

If you have any questions, please contact Michaela Gulemetova at 443-367-0088 extension 2335 or NCCEvaluation@impagint.com.

Thank you for your help!

The OMB Control Number for this information collection is <insert number> and the expiration date is <insert date>.

[Note: The following questions will be asked for each verified project in each Center's workplan that addresses one of the two selected federal priority areas. Each Center's survey will draw upon a customized project list compiled from its current work plan and other information sources.]

| 1. | Are you a member of the project team or do you work on this project in any of Yes [Display questions 2-6 below for this project] No [Go on to next project] | capacity? |
|---------------|---|-------------------|
| 2. | What is your role on the project? (select all that apply) | |
| 3. [Al | □ Project lead/project manager □ State liaison/state manager □ Consultant □ Content expert □ Data analyst □ Meeting planner or facilitator □ Professional development provider □ Quality assurance reviewer □ Evaluator □ Other Which of these factors have been the most significant challenges in this projectives. low only 3 selections] | ect? Select up to |
| a | . Turnover in chief state school officer and/or other SEA leadership | |
| b | . Turnover among state education agency (SEA) staff | |
| C. | Turnover among Center staff | |
| d | . Changing priorities at an SEA | |
| е | . Mismatch between stated SEA needs and Center federal priorities | |
| f. | Difficulty establishing relationships with an SEA | |
| g | . Policy shifts in a state government | |
| h | . Cuts in SEA staffing/budgets | |
| i. | Meeting diverse needs across SEAs (and/or regions) | |
| j. | Lack of communication within an SEA | |
| k. | Lack of Center financial resources | |

IMPAQ International, LLC

| Lack of access to appropriate expertise | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| m.Other | | | | |
| If you indicated an "Other" challenge please describe the challenge | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4. Which of these have been most significant in supporting success in this project? Select up to three.[Allow only 3 selections] | | | | |
| a. Content expertise among Center staff | | | | |
| b. Knowledge of state context among Center staff | | | | |
| c. Expertise among SEA staff | | | | |
| d. Supportive leadership in Center | | | | |
| e. SEA Leadership commitment to the project | | | | |
| f. Strong relationships between Center staff and SEA leadership | | | | |
| g. Strong relationships between Center staff and SEA staff | | | | |
| h. Collaboration with Content Comprehensive Center | | | | |
| i. Collaboration with Regional Comprehensive Center | | | | |
| j. Collaboration with a Regional Education Laboratory | | | | |
| k. Collaborate with an institution of higher education | | | | |
| I. Collaboration with a government agency | | | | |
| m. Collaboration with a professional association (e.g., ASCD, CCSSO) | | | | |
| n. Collaboration with a subcontractor | | | | |
| o. Other | | | | |
| If you indicated an "Other" supporting factor, please describe it: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

IMPAQ International, LLC 5. Center Staff Survey

| Who are your collaborators on this project? (specific Comprehensive Centers, Regional Education Laboratories, institutions of higher education, etc.) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| 6. To what extent is this project succeeding (or has succeeded) in build constituents (SEA staff or other participants)? | ding the capacity of your | | |
| To a great extent | | | |
| To a moderate extent | | | |
| To some extent | | | |
| ○ Not at all | | | |
| Please explain your response: | | | |
| | | | |
| {GO TO next project and ask questions 1-6, until all projects have appeared. Then ask the following question once.} | | | |
| 7. Of all these projects, which one do you think would be the most suitable for a project profile (an indepth description of a project), in that it is high-leverage (is likely to lead to extensive capacity building) and long-term (longer than one year)? In what ways is it likely to be high-leverage? | | | |
| | | | |