

**INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST
SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

FOR

State Review Framework

January 3, 2017

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Compliance

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part A

1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE INFORMATION COLLECTION
 - 1(a) Title of the Information Collection
 - 1(b) Short Characterization/Abstract
2. NEED FOR AND USE OF THE COLLECTION
 - 2(a) Need/Authority for the Collection
 - 2(b) Practical Utility/Users of the Data
3. NONDUPLICATION, CONSULTATIONS, AND OTHER COLLECTION CRITERIA
 - 3(a) Nonduplication
 - 3(b) Public Notice Required Prior to ICR Submission to OMB
 - 3(c) Consultations
 - 3(d) Effects of Less Frequent Data Collection
 - 3(e) General Guidelines
 - 3(f) Confidentiality
 - 3(g) Sensitive Questions
4. THE RESPONDENTS AND THE INFORMATION REQUESTED
 - 4(a) Respondents/SIC Codes
 - 4(b) Information Requested
5. THE INFORMATION COLLECTED: AGENCY ACTIVITIES, COLLECTION METHODOLOGY, AND INFORMATION MANAGEMENT
 - 5(a) Agency Activities
 - 5(b) Collection Methodology and Management
 - 5(c) Small Entity Flexibility
 - 5(d) Collection Schedule
6. ESTIMATING THE BURDEN AND COST OF THE COLLECTION
 - 6(a) Estimating Respondent Burden
 - 6(b) Estimating Government Burden
 - 6(c) Bottom Line Burden and Cost Table
 - 6(d) Reasons for Change of Burden
 - 6(e) Burden Statement

Part B

This part is not applicable because no statistical methods were used in collecting this information.

Part A

1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE INFORMATION COLLECTION

1(a) Title of the Information Collection

ICR: State Review Framework (Renewal) (EPA ICR No. 2185.06)

OMB Control Number: 2020-0031

“State Review Framework”

1(b) Short Characterization/Abstract

The State Review Framework (“Framework”) is an oversight tool designed to assess state performance in enforcement and compliance assurance. The Framework’s goal is to evaluate state performance by examining existing data to provide a consistent level of oversight and develop a uniform mechanism by which EPA Regions, working collaboratively with their states, can ensure that state environmental agencies are consistently implementing the national compliance and enforcement program in order to meet agreed-upon goals and standards. Furthermore, the Framework is designed to foster dialogue on enforcement and compliance performance between the states that will enhance relationships and increase feedback, which will in turn lead to consistent program management and improved environmental results.

Specifically, the Framework is a structured process that provides critical information on a state’s (or Region’s, for states with EPA-implemented programs) core enforcement and compliance assurance performance by employing existing data available in EPA’s existing national databases and presented in management reports for each state. No new data collection is required for the national databases. Data from national databases is complimented by data obtained through file reviews of a state environmental agency’s compliance and enforcement files. No new data is required in these files; however, they are reviewed to ensure proper and adequate documentation.

The Framework process asks regions, states and local governments to examine the existing data described above in three core programs: Clean Air Act (“CAA”), Stationary Sources; Clean Water Act (“CWA”), National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“NPDES”); and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”), Subtitle C. The EPA evaluates five (5) elements using data and file review metrics that require no new reporting burden. The utility of the Framework’s metrics and the Implementation Guide are a direct result of the collaboration between states, EPA Regions, and EPA Headquarters. .

The five (5) elements are 1) Data (completeness, accuracy, and timeliness of MDR entry into national data systems); 2) Inspections (coverage, report completeness and timeliness); 3) Violations (accuracy of compliance and SNC/HPV determinations); 4) Enforcement (timeliness, appropriateness, return to compliance); and 5) Penalties (documentation of gravity, economic benefit, reduction in amount, and collection). In the interest of accuracy and efficiency, the Framework also includes a five-step protocol for managing the process: (1) pre-review; (2) offsite review; (3) onsite review; (4) drafting of the report; and (5) composing the final report and follow-up. After reviewing the level of performance based on the metrics developed under

the five required performance elements, and other information collected in the review process, EPA will determine if a state or Region meets minimum performance levels.

2. NEED FOR AND USE OF THE COLLECTION

2(a) Need/Authority for the Collection

The purpose of this collection is to assess state performance in core enforcement and compliance assurance programs. The goals are to provide a consistent level of oversight and develop a uniform mechanism by which EPA Regions, working collaboratively with their states, can ensure that state environmental agencies are meeting agreed-upon goals. It is important to note that all data requested by this collection is currently in EPA's or the state's databases and enforcement and compliance files. No additional monitoring or sampling will be required by this ICR.

While the data that the Agency will collect is pre-existing, the Agency is permitted to review the states' Clean Air Act, Stationary Source program, the Solid Waste Disposal Act, Subtitle C program, and the Clean Water Act, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit program to ensure minimum performance levels are met. The Agency's oversight authority for the aforementioned programs are:

(1) Clean Air Act, Stationary Source program:

Section 114 allows collection of information from states. Specifically, the collection of the requested information is authorized by 40 CFR 70.4(j)(1), which states that "[a]ny information obtained or used in the administration of a State program shall be available to EPA upon request without restriction and in a form specified by the Administrator, including computer-readable files to the extent practicable," and 40 CFR 70.10(c)(1)(iii), which addresses EPA oversight of State and local agencies' compliance and enforcement efforts for major sources under Title V operating permit programs.

(2) Solid Waste Disposal Act, Subtitle C program:

The Act refers to activities at companies that generate hazardous waste. At §3007, the Agency is permitted to have access to and request records regarding hazardous waste generating activities. Additionally, 40 CFR 271.17(a) authorizes EPA, upon request without restriction, access to "[a]ny information obtained or used in the administration of a State program."

(3) Clean Water Act, NPDES program:

The Act refers to activities involving the discharge of materials into waters of the United States. At §308, the Agency is permitted to review records to determine compliance with effluent limitations or treatment performance standards. Further, the NPDES state program regulations provide that "[a]ny information obtained or used in the administration of a State program shall be

available to EPA upon request without restriction." 40 CFR 123.41. Also, 40 CFR 123.43 requires states to provide EPA with information on NPDES program implementation.

The information collected through this ICR will aid the Agency in achieving EPA's Strategic Plan goal to increase compliance and environmental stewardship. This goal was developed in response to the 1993 Government Performance and Results Act and is described in EPA's FY2014-2018 Strategic Plan, Goal 5, "Protecting Human Health and the Environment by Enforcing Laws and Assuring Compliance".

2(b) Practical Utility/Users of the Data

EPA will use the data obtained from the collection to determine if a state or Region meets minimum performance levels. Such a determination is necessary to recognize states that are performing well and to provide assistance to states that are not meeting minimum performance levels.

3. NONDUPLICATION, CONSULTATIONS, AND OTHER COLLECTION CRITERIA

3(a) Nonduplication

The information to be obtained under this ICR has not been collected by EPA or any other federal agency.

3(b) Public Notice Required Prior to ICR Submission

On October 12 2016, (81 FR 70421) EPA published a Federal Register Notice announcing its intent to request to renew an existing approved ICR for the State Review Framework to OMB. EPA received no comments to the Federal Register Notice.

3(c) Consultations

EPA consulted with several key state and media associations in the course of developing the State Review Framework. The leadership and membership of these organizations were instrumental in helping OECA develop the initial concept for the State Review Framework, in working to develop the main components and metrics, completing pilot projects and providing input into its evaluation. These associations remain involved in the review process and are in consultation with the EPA regarding the upcoming round, Round 4, beginning in FY2018.

These associations are:

ECOS
ASIWPCA
ASTSWMO
STAPPA/ALAPCO

The main contacts with these organizations are:

Environmental Council of States (ECOS)

Alexandra Dunn
Deputy Executive Director
50 F Street NW
Suite 350
Washington, DC 20001
Phone: 202-266-4920
Fax: 202-266-4937

Association of Clean Water Administrators (ACWA)

Sean Rolland
Deputy Director
1221 Connecticut Avenue, NW, 2nd Floor
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: 202-756-0600
Fax: 202-756-0605

Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials (ASTSWMO)

Dania Rodriguez
Executive Director
ASTSWMO
444 North Capitol Street NW
Suite 315
Washington, DC 20001
Phone: 202-624-5828
Fax. 202-624-7875

Kerry Callahan
Senior Staff Association
Same contact information

National Association of Clean Air Agencies (NACAA)

Mary Sullivan Douglas
Staff Associate
444 North Capital St NW, Suite 307
Washington, DC 20001
202-624-7864

3(d) Effects of Less Frequent Data Collection

Each respondent will report only one time every five years.

3(e) General Guidelines

This information collection is consistent with OMB guidelines contained in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

3(f) Confidentiality

Any information submitted to the Agency for which a claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, chapter 1, part 2, subpart B - Confidentiality of Business Information (see 40 CFR 2; 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 40000, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 20, 1978; 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979).

3(g) Sensitive Questions

The collection in this ICR does not contain any sensitive questions.

4. THE RESPONDENTS AND THE INFORMATION REQUESTED

4(a) Respondents and SIC Codes

Respondents potentially affected by this action are 10 (ten) EPA Regional Offices, 50 (fifty) States, 4 (four) Territories, and 40 (forty) Local Agencies. Based on our experience, we believe the true number of respondents for this ICR will primarily be 10 EPA Regional Offices, fifty (50) states, 4 (four) territories, and 15 (fifteen) local agencies. There are no SIC codes for the Respondents.

4(b) Information Requested

(i) Data items, including recordkeeping requirements

The State Review Framework requests information on the contribution of state enforcement activities to federally delegated programs. There are no recordkeeping requirements associated with this collection.

Prior to conducting an onsite review once every five years, EPA will request states to make available a limited number of pre-existing facility-specific files, so that EPA may review the information in those state files when the onsite review is conducted. The files normally contain information on the state's enforcement and compliance activities, including inspection coverage, enforcement timeliness and appropriateness, penalty calculations and fines collected, and data quality, accuracy and completeness. Most of the data that EPA reviews has been uploaded into

the national compliance database from state compliance databases but a small subset of the data is only available in hard-copy files.

(ii) Respondent Activities

Respondent will engage in the following activities during the Framework process:

1. Pre-Review and Offsite Review

Review elements and metrics

Train/brief state staff & managers on review substance and process

Collect files, policies, data sets, reports, and review and correct the national data

2. Onsite Review

Participate in kick-off meeting

Assist/facilitate EPA review of files and data sets

Participate in discussion of program with EPA

3. Report Drafting

Review and comment on draft report

4. Coordination of Parts 1, 2 & 3

Coordination of review with the state agency

Coordination of the review within EPA

5. THE INFORMATION COLLECTED: AGENCY ACTIVITIES, COLLECTION METHODOLOGY, AND INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

5(a) Agency Activities

Agency activities associated with the State Review Framework consist of the following:

(1) Review elements and metrics

(2) Train/brief state staff & managers on review substance and process

(3) Collect files, policies, data sets, reports, and review and correct the national data.

(4) Participate in kick-off meeting.

(5) Assist/facilitate EPA review of files and data sets

(6) Participate in discussion of program with EPA

(7) Review and comment on draft report

(8) Coordination of review with the state agency

(9) Coordination of the review within EPA

5(b) Collection Methodology and Management

The Agency will provide respondents with guidelines and training for conducting the review that will ensure a consistent approach to the reviews. Over the course of the review process the

Agency will work with the states and provide assistance as necessary. All of the state reports will be submitted electronically. National data used to assess state performance already resides in various EPA databases. These data are covered by other Information Collection Requests or regulatory language. Additional data will come from the review of state inspection and enforcement files, which is the data that are to be collected based on this request.

5(c) Small Entity Flexibility

Small entities will not be affected as the collection will only be completed by EPA Regions, States and territories.

5(d) Collection Schedule

Each Respondent will complete the four-step protocol for managing the process one time every five years: (1) pre-review and offsite review, including a review and correction of the data of the national data; (3) onsite review; (4) drafting of the report; and (5) composing the final report and follow-up. Ten (10) states are scheduled to complete the review process each year over the five year term.

6. ESTIMATING THE BURDEN AND COST OF THE COLLECTION

6(a) Estimating Respondent Burden and Costs

The estimated total hour burden for response is 256 hours per respondent. This burden hour estimate translates to a cost of \$10,060 per entity that voluntarily completes the survey resulting in the total of \$543,245 over 5 years. There is no recurring respondent burden associated with this ICR. No capital or operations and maintenance costs are incurred by respondents under this ICR.

The labor costs in the following table are based on the following mean hourly labor rates:

Legal:	\$41.71	(State Government Lawyer, 23-1011)
Managerial:	\$40.53	(State Managers, 11-0000)
Technical:	\$39.15	(Computer and Mathematical Occupations, 15-0000)
Clerical:	\$18.70	(Office and Administrative Support, 43-0000)

These rates are from the United States Department of Labor's, Bureau of Labor Statistics, "May 2015 National Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates,"

http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_stru.htm

Table 1. Respondents' Burden and Costs

Information Collection Activity	Legal \$41.71/ hour	Man. \$40.53/ hour	Tech. \$39.15/ hour	Clerical \$18.70/ hour	Cap./ Start- up cost	Oper. and Maint. (O&M cost)
1. Pre-Review and Offsite Review						
Review data metrics	6	14	45	1	\$0.0	\$0.0
Train/brief state staff & managers on review substance and process.	2	13	9	1	\$0.0	\$0.0
Collect files, policies, data sets, reports etc.	1	10	27	5	\$0.0	\$0.0
2. Onsite Review						
Participate in kick-off meeting.	1	11	3	0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Assist/facilitate EPA review of files and data sets	1	11	18	2	\$0.0	\$0.0
Participate in discussion of program with EPA	1	7	2	0	\$0.0	\$0.0
3. Report Drafting						
Review and comment on draft report	3	38	15	3	\$0.0	\$0.0
4. Coordination of Parts 1, 2 & 3						
Coordination of review with the state agency	3	8	2	0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Coordination of the review within EPA	2	7	1	1	\$0.0	\$0.0
TOTAL HOURS/Respondent	13 (\$41.71)	116 (\$40.53)	119 (\$39.15)	10 (\$18.70)		
LABOR COST/Respondent	\$528.00	\$4,704.00	\$4,641.00	\$187.00		
No. of Respondents: 54	Total hours: 256/Respondent x 54 Respondents =13,824 hours over 5 years or 2,765 hours annually		Total Cost: \$10,060/Respondent x 54 respondents = \$543,245 over 5 years or \$108,649 annually			

6(b) Estimating Agency Burden

EPA introduced program efficiencies to improve program effectiveness. As a result, hourly burden rates were reduced from the previous ICR estimate. EPA Regions I through X will participate in the State Review Framework process and the following table details the hour and cost burden per region. These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) “2013 General Schedule” which excludes locality rates of pay. Rates have frozen since 2010 so the costs in this section have not changed.

The cost for the Regional table (Table 2) is based on the average hourly labor rate as follows:

Legal	\$47.39	(GS-14, Step 5)
Managerial	\$40.10	(GS-13, Step 5)
Technical	\$29.76	(GS-12, Step 1)
Clerical	\$16.10	(GS-6, Step 3)

The cost for the Headquarters table (Table 3) is based on the average hourly labor rate as follows:

Legal	\$59.13	(GS-14, Step 5)
Managerial	\$50.40	(GS-13, Step 5)
Technical	\$37.13	(GS-12, Step 1)
Clerical	\$20.09	(GS-6, Step 3)

These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) “2013 General Schedule” and includes the locality payment for the Washington D.C. area.

Table 2. Agency Burden and Cost (per Region)

Information Collection Activity	Legal \$47.39/ hour	Man. \$40.10/ hour	Tech. \$29.76 /hour	Cler. \$16.10/ hour	Capit al/ Start- up cost	Oper. and Maint. (O&M cost)
1. Pre-Review and Offsite Review						
Review data metrics	0	4.69	26.44	0	0	0
Train/brief state staff & managers on review substance and process.	0	8.25	15.19	0	0	0
Collect files, policies, data sets, reports etc.	0	3	14.44	0	0	0
2. Onsite Review						
Participate in kick-off meeting.	.56	2.25	6.75	0	0	0
Assist/facilitate on-site review of files and data sets	0	22.13	95.63	0	0	0

Information Collection Activity	Legal \$47.39/ hour	Man. \$40.10/ hour	Tech. \$29.76 /hour	Cler. \$16.10/ hour	Capital/ Start-up cost	Oper. and Maint. (O&M cost)
Participate in discussion of program with state	0	2.25	9.5	0	0	0
3. Report Drafting						
Review and comment on draft report	0	16.13	60.75	0	0	0
4. Coordination of Parts 1, 2 & 3						
Coordination of review with the state agency	0	2.17	8.25	0	0	0
Coordination of the review within EPA	0	1.75	12.75	0	0	0
Total Agency hours	0.56	62.60	249.70	0	0.0	0.0
Total Agency costs	\$26.54	\$2510.26	\$7431.07	\$0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL REGIONAL AGENCY HOURS: 313 (x 10 Regions = 3,100)						
TOTAL REGIONAL AGENCY COST: \$9,673.49 (x 10 Regions = \$99,678.72)						

Table 3. Agency Burden and Cost (Headquarters)

Information Collection Activity	Legal \$59.13/ hour	Man. \$50.40/ hour	Tech. \$37.13 /hour	Cler. \$20.09/ hour	Capital/ Start-up cost	Oper. and Maint. (O&M cost)
1. Pre-Review and Offsite Review						
Train/brief state and region staff & managers on review substance and process.	0	2.25	2.25	0	0	0
Collect files, policies, data sets, reports etc.	0	0	1.5	3.75	0	0
2. Onsite Review						
Participate in kick-off meeting.	.75	.75	.75	0	0	0
Participate in discussion of the program with the Regions	3.75	3.75	7.5	0	0	0

Information Collection Activity	Legal \$59.13/ hour	Man. \$50.40/ hour	Tech. \$37.13 /hour	Cler. \$20.09/ hour	Capital/ Start-up cost	Oper. and Maint. (O&M cost)
3. Report Drafting						
Review and comment on draft report	3.75	3.75	11.25	0	0	0
4. Coordination of Parts 1, 2 & 3						
Coordination of the review within EPA	0	1.5	1.5	0	0	0
TOTAL	8.25	12	24.75	3.75	0	0
TOTAL COST	\$487.82	\$604.8	\$918.97	\$75.34	0.0	0.0
TOTALS Hours: 48.75 Labor Cost: \$2,086.92						

6(c) Bottom Line Burden Hours and Cost Tables

Table 4. Total Estimated Respondent Burden and Cost Summary (over 5 years)

	No. of Respondents	No. of Activities	Total hours	Total Labor Costs	Total Capital and Start-up costs	Total Annual O&M costs
Respondent	54	9	13,824	\$543,245	\$0.0	\$0.0

Table 5. Total Estimated Agency Burden and Cost Summary

	No. of Activities	Total hours	Total Labor Costs	Total Capital and Start-up costs	Total Annual O&M costs
Regions	9	3,100 (for all ten regions)	\$99, 678.72 (for all ten regions)	\$0.0	\$0.0
Headquarters	6	48.75	\$2,086.92	\$0.0	\$0.0

6(d) Reasons for Changes in Burden

There has been a reduction of hours in the total estimated response burden from 521 to 256 hours per respondent, or annually, from 5,627 to 2,765 hours. This decrease in part reflects full implementation of efficiencies instituted just prior to the submittal of the currently approved ICR in addition to continued experience with the review process. Adjustments were also made to the estimates because several additional respondents (states) were consulted who had completed a more recent review.

6(e) Burden Statement

The average annual respondent burden for each state is 256 hours. This estimate includes time for (1) reviewing and correcting the national data and the metrics; (2) training and briefing state staff & managers on review substance and process; (3) collecting files, policies, data sets, reports etc.; (4) participating in kick-off meetings; (5) assisting/facilitating EPA review of files and data sets; (6) participating in discussion of program with EPA; (7) reviewing and commenting on draft report; (8) coordinating of review with the state agency; and (9) coordinating of the review within EPA. There is no record keeping burden for this collection as the State Review Framework does not include record keeping requirements. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

1An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA's regulations are listed at 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

1To comment on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques, EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number EPA- HQ-OECA-2010-0291, which is available for public viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OECA Docket is (202) 566-1752. An electronic version of the public docket is available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Use <http://www.regulations.gov> to submit or view public comments, to access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select "search," then key in the Docket ID Number identified above. You can also send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503,

Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA Docket ID Number EPA- HQ-OECA-2010-0291 in any correspondence.

Part B of the Supporting Statement

This part is not applicable because no statistical methods were used in collecting this information.