APPENDIX B: RAD Implementation Telephone Survey Instrument(s)

RENTAL ASSISTANCE DEMONSTRATION (RAD) DRAFT PHA PARTICIPANT TELEPHONE INTERVIEW INSTRUMENT

For RAD Projects

PHA N	ame: [prefilled]				
Key Re	ID Number: [prefilled]espondent(s): [prefilled]e				
Date of Evaluat	Interview: [prefilled]tion Team Interviewers: [prefilled]				
1.	Why did you apply for the RAD program?				
2. In your opinion, what are the advantages and disadvantages (if any) of the RAD prog					
3.	What other public housing programs have you used or would have used, if not for RAD?				
4.	If you had to do it again, would you still apply for RAD? Yes No				
5.	What, if anything, would you like to change about RAD to make it better?				
6.					
7.	Were there any other options open to you to continue to provide safe, decent, affordable housing? Yes No If yes, which?				
8.	What factors influenced you to apply for PBV or PBRA? PBV PBRA				
9.	Were there any procedures in the RAD application process that presented significant barriers? Yes No If yes, which?				
10.	Was the PHA equipped to undertake the RAD process by itself, or did you hire consultants?				

11.	If you have used other public housing programs to fund improvements, how does the RAD program compare?				
12.	Was the RAD program useful? Yes No				
13.	3. Do you believe that the public housing projects could be better served by removing the curren statutory guidelines that dictate a 60,000-unit cap? Yes No				
14.	. What does the PHA believe are the key factors necessary to successfully convert to RAD?				
15.	On a scale of 1 to 10 (1 being worst, 10 being best), how would the PHA rate the implementation (conversion) process to RAD?				
16.	Were all initial RAD application renovations completed? Yes No				
	If not, what was not completed and why?				
17.	What mechanisms did the PHA employ to ensure completion of initial RAD goals?				
18.	3. Were any changes made throughout the RAD conversion process to ensure completion of the initial RAD goals? Yes No If yes, what changes were made?				
19.	What was the most difficult aspect of completing the RAD conversion?				
20.	What was the easiest aspect of completing the RAD conversion?				
21.	What changes would you recommend HUD make to the RAD conversion process?				
	How would these changes impact the implementation of RAD?				
22.	If nothing changed about the RAD program, would you convert additional property to RAD?				
	Yes No If yes, why? If no, why not?				
23.	Would you recommend another PHA convert its properties to RAD? Yes No				
	If yes, what advice would you give them?				
	If no, why not?				

24. N	ow that you l	nave converted to	RAD, do you have any concern	s about operating your property?
Y	es	No	If yes, what are your concerns?	

Thank you for taking the time to talk with us. Your input will be very helpful.

RENTAL ASSISTANCE DEMONSTRATION (RAD) DRAFT PHA PARTICIPANT TELEPHONE INTERVIEW INSTRUMENT

For Non-RAD Projects PHA Name: [prefilled] Project ID Number: [prefilled] Key Respondent(s): [prefilled] Date of Interview: [prefilled] Evaluation Team Interviewers: [prefilled] _____ 1. Why did you not take advantage of the RAD program? ______ 2. In your opinion, what are the advantages and disadvantages (if any) of the RAD program? 3. What other public housing programs have you used? 4. Please describe your experience. ______ 5. Would you apply for the RAD program in the future? Yes _____ No _____ If so, why? _____ If not, why not? _____ 6. What, if anything, do you believe HUD needs to change about RAD to make it better? ______ If these changes were made, would you apply for RAD? Yes _____ No ____ 7. How do you intend to address your immediate (<1 year), short-term (2–5 years), and long-term (5–20 years) capital needs? If this PHA is from a state where no applications for RAD were submitted, the following question applies: 8. Why do you think/believe no PHA from your state submitted an application for RAD? ______

Thank you for taking the time to talk with us. Your input will be very helpful.

RENTAL ASSISTANCE DEMONSTRATION (RAD) DRAFT PHA PARTICIPANT TELEPHONE INTERVIEW INSTRUMENT

For Post-RAD Converted Projects PHA Name: [prefilled] Project ID Number: [prefilled] Key Respondent(s): [prefilled] Date of Interview: [prefilled] _____ Evaluation Team Interviewers: [prefilled] _____ 1. Were all initial RAD application renovations completed? Yes _____ No _____ If not, what was not completed and why? _____ 2. What mechanisms did the PHA employ to ensure completion of initial RAD goals? 3. Were any changes made throughout the RAD conversion process to ensure completion of the initial RAD goals? Yes ____ No ____ If yes, what changes were made, and why were changes made? 4. What was the most difficult aspect of completing the RAD conversion? ______ 5. What was the easiest aspect of completing the RAD conversion? ______ 6. What changes would you recommend HUD make to the RAD conversion process? _____ How would these changes impact the implementation of RAD? 7. If nothing changed about the RAD program, would you convert additional property to RAD? Yes ____ No ____ If yes, why? ____ 8. Would you recommend another PHA convert its properties to RAD? Yes _____ No ____ If yes, what advice would you give them? If no, why not?

Thank you for taking the time to talk with us. Your input will be very helpful.

9. Now that you have converted to RAD, do you have any concerns about operating your property?

Yes _____ No ____ If yes, what are they? _____

RENTAL ASSISTANCE DEMONSTRATION (RAD) DRAFT PHA PARTICIPANT TELEPHONE INTERVIEW INSTRUMENT

For RAD PHAs That Fall Out of the Conversion Process

HA N oject	Iame: [prefille ID Number:	ed] [prefilled]				
ey Re	espondent(s):	[prefilled]				
ate of	Interview: []	prefilled]				
valuat	tion Team In	terviewers: [pr	refilled]			
1.	At what stag	ge in the proce	ess did the property fall out of the RAD process?			
2.	. Why did the property fall out of the RAD process?					
3.	What factors led to/caused the property to fall out?					
4.	Would the PHA apply again to the RAD program with the same property?					
	Yes No If yes, what would the PHA do differently in implementing RAD?					
5.	. Would the PHA recommend HUD change anything about the RAD process as a result of the fout?					
6.	What efforts were made to prevent fall-out by the PHA, HUD Program Office, and HUD Field Office?					
7.			fice support/recommend this PHA again for RAD submission and No			
8.	Would it recommend the PHA make any changes in its implementation of RAD?					
9.	Would the HUD program office grant a CHAP award to this PHA or property again?					
	Yes	No	If not, why?			
			D program office advise needs to be different about their			
10.		of 1 to 10 (1 be	eing worst, 10 being best), how would the PHA rate the implementation			

Thank you for taking the time to talk with us. Your input will be very helpful.