



Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Veterinary Services

Cattle on Feed Antibiotic Use 2017

National Animal Health Monitoring System

2150 Centre Ave Bldg B Fort Collins, CO 80526

The information you provide will be used for statistical purposes only. In accordance with the Confidential Information Protection provisions of Title V, Subtitle A, Public Law 107–347 and other applicable Federal laws, your responses will be kept **confidential** and will not be disclosed in identifiable form to anyone other than employees or agents. By law, every employee and agent has taken an oath and is subject to a jail term, a fine, or both, if he or she willfully discloses ANY identifiable information about you or your operation. Response is **voluntary**.

Please make corrections to names, address, and ZIP code, if necessary.

We need to know about all cattle and calves on feed for the slaughter market, regardless of ownership, on the total acres operated.

- Include cattle being fed by you for others.
- **Exclude** any of your cattle being custom fed in feedlots operated by others.
- **Exclude** cattle being "backgrounded only" for sale as feeders, for later placement on feed in another feedlot, or to be returned to pasture.
- **Exclude** cows and bulls being fed by you for the slaughter market.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0579-XXXX. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collected.

OMB Approved 0579-XXXX EXP.: XX/XXXX

NAHMS ID:	
Section A—Cattle on Feed	
During the time period January 1 to December 31, 2016, how steers and heifers were fed and marketed that went directly to	many slaughter? #
 For cattle placed (entered the feedlot) during the time period J many were of the following breed types and weight upon place 	
Breed type and arrival weight	Number of cattle placed
a. Beef breeds with arrival weight <700 lb	
b. Dairy breeds or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight <700 lb	
c. Total cattle placed with arrival weights <700 lb (add 2a and 2b)	
d. Beef breeds with arrival weight ≥700 lb	
e. Dairy breeds or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight ≥700 lb	
f. Total cattle placed with arrival weights ≥700 lb (add 2d and 2f)	
g. Total cattle placed (add 2c and 2f)	
3. Of the question 2 cattle, what is the average days on feed fror following breed types?	n placement to marketing for the
Breed type	Average days on feed
a. Beef breeds with arrival weight <700 lb	
b. Beef breeds with arrival weight ≥700 lb	

c. Dairy breeds or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight <700 lb

d. Dairy breeds or dairy cross breeds with arrival weight ≥700 lb

4. Of the (question 2c) cattle that were placed with arrival weights <700 lb,

5. Of the (question 2f) cattle that were placed with arrival weights ≥700 lb,

how many died?

how many died?

_ #

NAHMS ID:	
-----------	--

Section B—Antimicrobial Use

1.	Were any of the steers and heifers that were <70	00 lb at placement		
	(from section A, question 2c) given any antibiotic	s in feed?	□₁ Yes	\square_3 No

[If question 1 = No, SKIP to question 3.]

2. What percentage of cattle that were <700 lb at placement (section A, question 2c) received the antibiotics in the table below in feed as a health or production management tool? [If any cattle received the antibiotics, include in the table below the average number of days the antibiotic was included in the feed for a typical pen of cattle. Also indicate the reason(s) for inclusion of the antibiotic for a typical pen of cattle.]

Reason codes for question 2			
1 = Prevention, control, or treatment of bacterial pneumonia (respiratory disease)	4 = Prevention, control, or treatment of coccidiosis		
2 = Prevention, control, or treatment of bacterial enteritis (diarrhea)	5 = Increased rate of gain or improved feed efficiency (growth promotion)		
3 = Prevention, control, or treatment of liver abscesses	6 = Other disease prevention, control, or treatment (specify disease:)		

If you pulse-dosed an antibiotic (i.e., used the same antibiotic on the same pen of cattle multiple times during the feeding period), estimate the average number of days in total that the antibiotic was used in a typical pen of cattle. An example of pulse-dosing would be using chlortetracycline for 5 days, stopping administration, and then using chlortetracycline on the same pen of cattle at a later time in the feeding period for another 5-day period.

NAHMS ID:	
-----------	--

Enter all reasons for using the antibiotic in feed. For example, if you used Tylan with Monensin for liver abscesses, coccidiosis, and improved feed efficiency, enter "3,4,5" as reason codes in the table below.

Active ingredient name	Example trade names	Reason code [Enter all that apply.]	Percent cattle <700 lb at placement (section A, question 2c) that received this product	Average number of days cattle received the antibiotic in feed throughout the feeding period
Ingredient name	Rumensin, Bovatec, Cattlyst—	пасарру.ј	product	recarring period
lonophore (Monensin, Lasalocid, Laidlomycin)	if an ionophore was used in combination with another antibiotic, complete the appropriate row below and leave this row blank			
Monensin with tylosin	Rumensin/Tylan, Rumensin plus Tylovet			
Monensin with tilmicosin	Pulmotil 90 and Rumensin 90; Tilmovet 90 and Rumensin 90			
Chlortetracycline	Aureomycin, CTC, Chlormax, CLTC, Chloratet, , Pennchlor			
Chlortetracycline with sulfamethazine	Aureomix S 700, Aureo S 700, AS700, Pennchlor S			
Tylosin	Tylan, Tylovet			
Tilmicosin	Pulmotil, Tilmovet			
Oxytetracycline	Terramycin, OXTC, OTC, TM-50, TM-100, Pennox			
Lasalocid with oxytetracycline	Bovatec/Terramycin			
Lasalocid with chlortetracycline	Aureomycin with Bovatec			
Lasalocid with tylosin (heifers only)*	Bovatec/MGA/Tylan, MGA/Bovatec,Tylovet, HeifermaX/Bovatec/Tylan			
Laidlomycin with chlortetracycline	Aureomycin/Cattlyst			
Neomycin	Neomix			
Neomycin with oxytetracycline	Neo-Terramycin			
Bambermycin	Gainpro			
Bacitracin	BMD, Baciferm			
Virginiamycin	Vmax			
*The only opproved combin	nation product with lasalocid (Boyatec) a	ad tulopin (Tulo	nn) is one that also inclu	idea madamanatanal Thia

^{*}The only approved combination product with lasalocid (Bovatec) and tylosin (Tylan) is one that also includes melengesterol. This combination is fed to heifers only. Melengesterol is not an antibiotic.

3.	Were any of the steers and heifers that were ≥700 lb at placement		
	(from section A, question 2f) given any antibiotics in feed?	□₁ Yes	□3 No

[If question 3 = No, SKIP to question 5.]

NAHMS ID: _____

4. What percentage of cattle that were ≥700 lb at placement (section A, question 2f) received the antibiotics in the table below in **feed** as a health or production management tool? [If any cattle received the antibiotics, include in the table below the average number of days the antibiotic was included in the feed for a typical pen of cattle. Also indicate the reason(s) for inclusion of the antibiotic for a typical pen of cattle.]

Reason codes for question 2			
1 = Prevention, control, or treatment of bacterial pneumonia (respiratory disease)	4 = Prevention, control, or treatment of coccidiosis		
2 = Prevention, control, or treatment of bacterial enteritis (diarrhea)	5 = Increased rate of gain or improved feed efficiency (growth promotion)		
3 = Prevention, control, or treatment of liver abscesses	6 = Other disease prevention, control, or treatment (specify disease:)		

If you pulse-dosed an antibiotic (i.e., used the same antibiotic on the same pen of cattle multiple times during the feeding period), estimate the average number of days in total that the antibiotic was used in a typical pen of cattle. An example of pulse-dosing would be using chlortetracycline for 5 days, stopping administration, and then using chlortetracycline on the same pen of cattle at a later time in the feeding period for another 5-day period.

NAHMS ID	
----------	--

Enter all reasons for using the antibiotic in feed. For example, if you used Tylan with Monensin for liver abscesses, coccidiosis, and improved feed efficiency, enter "3,4,5" as reason codes in the table below.

Active ingredient name	Example trade names	Reason code [Enter all that apply.]	Percent cattle ≥700 lb at placement (section A, question 2f) that received this product	Average number of days cattle received the antibiotic in feed throughout the feeding period
	Rumensin, Bovatec, Cattlyst—	a.c upp.y.j	product	recumi g period
lonophore (Monensin, Lasalocid, Laidlomycin)	if an ionophore was used in combination with another antibiotic, complete the appropriate row below and leave this row blank			
Monensin with tylosin	Rumensin/Tylan, Rumensin plus Tylovet			
Monensin with tilmicosin	Pulmotil 90 and Rumensin 90; Tilmovet 90 and Rumensin 90			
Chlortetracycline	Aureomycin, CTC, Chlormax, CLTC, Chloratet, , Pennchlor			
Chlortetracycline with sulfamethazine	Aureomix S 700, Aureo S 700, AS700, Pennchlor S			
Tylosin	Tylan, Tylovet			
Tilmicosin	Pulmotil, Tilmovet			
Oxytetracycline	Terramycin, OXTC, OTC, TM-50, TM-100, Pennox			
Lasalocid with oxytetracycline	Bovatec/Terramycin			
Lasalocid with chlortetracycline	Aureomycin with Bovatec			
Lasalocid with tylosin (heifers only)*	Bovatec/MGA/Tylan, MGA/Bovatec,Tylovet, HeifermaX/Bovatec/Tylan			
Laidlomycin with chlortetracycline	Aureomycin/Cattlyst			
Neomycin	Neomix			
Neomycin with oxytetracycline	Neo-Terramycin			
Bambermycin	Gainpro			
Bacitracin	BMD, Baciferm			
Virginiamycin	Vmax			
*The only opproved combin	nation product with lasalocid (Boyatec) a	nd tulopin (Tulo	na) ia ana that alaa inal	idea madamanatanal Thia

^{*}The only approved combination product with lasalocid (Bovatec) and tylosin (Tylan) is one that also includes melengesterol. This combination is fed to heifers only. Melengesterol is not an antibiotic.

NΑ	NHMS ID:		
5.	Were any of the steers and heifers placed on feed (section A, question 2g) given any antibiotics in water ?	□₁ Yes	□₃ No

[If question 5 = No, SKIP to question 7.]

6. What percentage of cattle (section A, question 2g) received the antibiotics in the table below in water as a health or production management tool? [If any cattle received the antibiotics, include in the table below the average number of days the antibiotic was included in the water for a typical pen of cattle. Also indicate the reason(s) for inclusion of the antibiotic.]

Reason codes for question 4			
1 = Control or treatment of bacterial pneumonia (respiratory disease)	4 = Other disease control or treatment (specify disease:)		
2 = Control or treatment of bacterial enteritis (diarrhea)	5 = Other reason (specify:)		
3 = Control or treatment of foot rot			

Active ingredient name	Example trade names	Reason code [Enter all that apply.]	Percent cattle (section A, question 2g) that received this product	Average number of days cattle received the antibiotic in water throughout the feeding period
Chlortetracycline	Aureomycyn, A-Mycin, Chlortetracycline, Chloronex, Chlortet-Soluble-O, CTC, Pennchlor			
Oxytetracycline	Terramycin soluble powder, Oxytetracycline HCL, Agrimycin, Oxymycin, Oxy-Sol, Oxytet 343, Pennox 343, Tetroxy 343, Tetroxy 25			
Tetracycline	Tetracycline soluble powder, Duramycin 10, Tetramycin, Vetquamycin, Tetrachel, Tetramed 324, Tet-Sol 324, Tetrasol soluble powder			
Neomycin	Neomycin soluble powder, Neosol soluble, NeoMed soluble, Neo-Sol 50, Neosol Oral			
Spectinomycin	Spectinomycin Oral, Spectam, SpectoGard			
Sulfadimethoxine	Sulfadimethoxine soluble powder, Sulfadimethoxine 12.5% oral solution, Sulforal, Sulfasol soluble, Di-Methox 12.5% oral solution, Di-Methox 12.5% soluble powder			
Sulfamethazine	SMZ-Med 454 soluble powder, Sulfa, Sulmet solution, Sulmet soluble powder			
Other (specify:)			
Other (specify:)			

7.	wei	the steers and heifers placed on feed (section A, question 2g), what re treated as a group (for this question group-treated means at least the in the pen were treated) with any injectable antibiotic for purpose preventing, controlling, or treating an outbreak of shipping fever?	90 percent of the es such	_ %		
[If	ques	stion 7 = 0, SKIP to section C, question 2.]				
8. Of the cattle group-treated with an injectable antimicrobial to prevent, control or treat dis what percentage were treated with the following injectable antimicrobials?						
			Percent cattle group-treated with these injectable antimicrobials			
	a.	Tilmicosin (Micotil®)	%			
	b.	Florfenicol (Nuflor®, Norfenicol®)	%			
	c.	Florfenicol with flunixin meglumine (Resflor Gold®)	%			
	d.	Ceftiofur (Naxcel®, Excenel®, Excede®)	%			
	e.	Oxytetracycline (e.g., Oxy-Tet100™, LA200®, Biomycin®, Tetradure™ 300, Noromycin 300)	%			
	f.	Penicillin (e.g., Aquacillin)	%			
	g.	Amoxicillin (e.g., Amoxi-Inject®)	%			
	h.	Tulathromycin (Draxxin®)	%			
	i.	Gamithromycin (Zactran®)	%			
	j.	Tildipirosin (Zuprevo™)	%			
	k.	Enrofloxacin (Baytril® 100, Enroflox® 100)	%			
	l.	Danofloxacin (Advocin™)	%			
	m.	Other (specify:)	%			
	n.	Total [should equal 100%]	100%			

NAHMS ID:

9. How important are the following criteria to you in determining if a pen is group-treated with an injectable antimicrobial to **prevent, control, or treat** disease?

		Very important	Somewhat important	Not important
a.	Long shipping distance (increased stress and shrinkage)	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
b.	Arrival weight	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
c.	Appearance of cattle at arrival	□1	\square_2	\square_3
d.	Shipping fever problems in cattle previously received from the same source	□1	\square_2	□3
e.	Occurrence of respiratory disease in some of the cattle from the pen/group	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
f.	Purchase source of cattle, such as sale barn	□1	\square_2	\square_3
g.	Geographic origin of cattle, (e.g., region of U.S.)	□1	\square_2	\square_3
h.	Known lack of vaccination against respiratory pathogens	□1	\square_2	□3
h.	Known lack of preconditioning (other than vaccination) such as lack of introduction to feed bunk, lack of castration, etc.	□1	□ 2	Пз
L			_	_
h.	Season of year (i.e., winter v. summer)	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3
i.	Other (specify:)	\square_1	\square_2	\square_3

Section C—Stewardship

Unless otherwise noted, all questions in this section refer to the period from January 1 through December 31, 2016.

Recordkeeping

1. How frequently was the following information recorded (via handwritten records or records entered into a computer) for group treatment of cattle (e.g., all or most of the cattle in a pen) with an injectable antibiotic for therapeutic purposes such as preventing, controlling, or treating shipping fever? [Place one X per row in the appropriate column below.]

	Never	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always
a. Date treated (including pen number)				
b. Antibiotic given (including pen number)				
c. Treatment withdrawal period (including pen number)				

NA	.HMS ID:						
2.	Were any of the steers and heifers that be on this feedlot treated individually with ant	came sick ibiotics?			□₁ Yes □₃		
[If	question 2 = No, SKIP to question 4.]						
3.	How frequently was the following informat records entered into a computer) for indivi				antibiotics?		
		Never	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always		
	a. Date treated (including animal ID)						
	b. Antibiotic given (including animal ID)						
	c. Treatment withdrawal period (including animal ID)						
4.	Were any of the steers and heifers on this antibiotics in feed?				□₁ Yes □₃		
[If	question 4 = No, SKIP to question 6.]						
5.	How frequently was the following information recorded (via handwritten records or records entered into a computer) for antibiotics used in feed ?						
		Never	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always		
	a. Date antibiotic use began (including pen number)						
	b. Date antibiotic use ended (including pen number)						
	c. Antibiotic used (including pen number)						
	d. Treatment withdrawal period (including pen number)						
6.	Were any of the steers and heifers on this antibiotics in water?				□1 Yes □3		
[If	question 6 = No, SKIP to question 8.]						
7.	How frequently was the following informat records entered into a computer) for antibi			n records or			
					_		
				Most of			
	a. Date antibiotic use began	Never	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always		
	a. Date antibiotic use began (including pen number)	Never	Sometimes		Always		
		Never	Sometimes		Always		

d. Treatment withdrawal period (including pen number)

Be	ef Quality Assurance		
8.	How familiar are you with the Beef Quality Assurance (BQA) program of either your State or the National Cattlemen's Beef Association (NCBA)? This includes BQA program of organizations such as the Texas Cattle Feeders Association. [Check one only.]	rams	
	□₁ Very familiar		
	□₂ Somewhat familiar		
	□₃ Heard of name only		
	□ ₄ Not familiar		
9.	During the previous 5 years, have you or someone representing this feedlot attended a national, State, or local BQA meeting or training session?	□₁ Yes	□ ₃ No
10.	During the previous 5 years, has this feedlot participated in a BQA Feedyard Assessment?	□₁ Yes	□₃ No
[If o	question 10 = No, SKIP to question 12.]		
11.	During the previous 5 years, how many times has this feedlot participated in a BQA Feedyard Assessment?		#
Us	e of Veterinarians		
12.	How familiar are you with the meaning of a veterinarian-client-patient relationship (VC [Check one only.]	PR)?	
	□₁ Very familiar		
	□₂ Somewhat familiar		
	□ ₃ Heard of name only		
	□ ₄ Not familiar		
13.	In calendar year 2016, did your feedlot use the services of a veterinarian?	□₁ Yes	□₃ No
[If o	question 13 = Yes, SKIP to question 15.]		
14.	For operations that did not use the services of a veterinarian in calendar year 2016, which of the following was the primary reason for not using a veterinarian? [Check one only.]		
	\square_1 Veterinarian was available in the local area but not knowledgeable about beef cat	tle	
	□₂ Veterinarian was not available in the local area		

[If question 14 was answered, SKIP to question 17.]

□₅ Other (specify: _____)

 \square_4 Not needed on this operation

□₃ Too expensive

15.	Wa	as the primary veterinarian or veterinary clinic you used during 2016 a:			
	a.	Full-time veterinarian(s) on staff (includes the owner of the operation if the owner is a veterinarian)?	1	□₁ Yes	□₃ No
	b.	Private veterinary clinic whose veterinarians made regular or routine visits?	·	□₁ Yes	□₃ No
	c.	Private veterinary clinic you called as needed?	1	□₁ Yes	□ ₃ No
16.		ring the past year, how many times was this feedlot visited a veterinarian:		_	#
17.		you have a veterinarian-client-patient relationship (VCPR) with a veterinaria		nary clir □₁ Yes	
[If o	que	stion 17 = No, SKIP to question 19.]			
18.		w would you describe your VCPR with your veterinarian? neck one only.]			
	\Box_1	A written document signed by my veterinarian and me			
	\square_2	A verbal agreement between my veterinarian and me			
	□3	My veterinarian has not formally mentioned a VCPR but I consider that I had one based on his/her relationship with my operation.	ive		
An	tibio	otic Use Practices			
19.	Dic	you obtain medicated feed to be fed to cattle on this feedlot by any of the fo	ollowing	method	s?
	a. I	No medicated feed was fed to cattle on this feedlot?	□₁ Yes	□₃ No	□4 DK
	[If	question 19a = Yes, SKIP to question 20.]			
		From an off-site privately owned or cooperatively owned feed mill that delivered feed with antibiotics mixed in?	□₁ Yes	□ ₃ No	□ ₄ DK
		Type A medicated articles were delivered or brought to this operation to be mixed into feed on-site?	□₁ Yes	□ ₃ No	□ ₄ DK
		Type B or C medicated feeds were delivered or brought to this operation to be fed or mixed in a ration on-site?	□₁ Yes	□₃ No	□4 DK
20.		2016 did you purchase any bagged medicated feed (e.g., aureomycin edicated crumbles) from a farm/ranch or feed store?		l₁ Yes ∣	□₃ No

21.	Who decided whether antibiotics were to be used in feed for a given pen on this operation? (If a veterinarian provided a protocol to be followed for this operation, select one of the veterinarian options below.) [Check all that apply.]
	□₁ Antibiotics are not used in feed on this operation
	\square_2 Owner of operation (nonveterinarian)
	□₃ Farm manager on-site, but not the owner (nonveterinarian)
	\square_4 Full-time veterinarian on staff (includes owner or farm manager if he is a veterinarian)
	□₅ Private veterinarian who made regular or routine visits
	□ ₆ Other veterinarian
	□ ₇ Nutritionist (nonveterinarian)
	□ ₈ Service manager who oversees more than one operation (nonveterinarian)
	□ ₉ Other (specify:)
22.	Who decided whether antibiotics were to be used in water for a given pen on this operation? (If a veterinarian provided a protocol to be followed for this operation, select one of the veterinarian options below.) [Check all that apply.]
	□₁ Antibiotics are not used in water on this operation
	□₂ Owner of operation (nonveterinarian)
	\square_3 Farm manager on-site, but not the owner (nonveterinarian)
	□4 Full-time veterinarian on staff (includes owner or farm manager if he is a veterinarian)
	□ ₅ Private veterinarian who made regular or routine visits
	□ ₆ Other veterinarian
	□ ₇ Nutritionist (nonveterinarian)
	□ ₈ Service manager who oversees more than one operation (nonveterinarian)
	□ ₉ Other (specify:)
23.	Who decided whether antibiotics were to be used by injection for group treatment (for this question group-treated means at least 90 percent of the cattle in a pen are treated) of a given pen on this operation? (If a veterinarian provided a protocol to be followed for this operation, select one of the veterinarian options below.) [Check all that apply.]
	□₁ Antibiotics are not used for group treatment on this operation
	\square_2 Owner of operation (nonveterinarian)
	\square_3 Farm manager on-site, but not the owner (nonveterinarian)
	□ ₄ Full-time veterinarian on staff (includes owner or farm manager if he is a veterinarian)
	□₅ Private veterinarian who made regular or routine visits
	□ ₆ Other veterinarian
	□ ₇ Nutritionist (nonveterinarian)
	□ ₈ Service manager who oversees more than one operation (nonveterinarian)
	□ ₉ Other (specify:)

24. Who decided whether antibiotics were to be used by injection or bolus for treatment of individ cattle on this operation? (If a veterinarian provided a protocol to be followed for this operation, s one of the veterinarian options below.) [Check all that apply.]					
	\square_1 Antibiotics are not used by injection or bolus for treatment of specific cattle on this operation				
	\square_2 Owner of operation (nonveterinarian)				
	\square_3 Farm manager on-site, but not the owner (nonveterinarian)				
	□₄ Full-time veterinarian on staff (includes owner or farm manager if he is a veterinarian)				
	□₅ Private veterinarian who made regular or routine visits				
□ ₆ Other veterinarian					
□ ₇ Nutritionist (nonveterinarian)					
	□ ₇ Service manager who oversees more than one operation (nonveterinarian)				
	□ ₉ Other (specify:)				
	Costion D. Constraion				
	Section D—Conclusion				
То	receive the complete results of this survey on the release date, go to:				
Wo	uld you rather have a brief summary mailed to you at a later date? □₁ Yes □₃ No				
Res	spondent name:				

Thank you for your help in completing this survey.

NAHMS ID:	
-----------	--

Comments regarding this questionnaire or operation:

Office Use Only

St	tate FIPS:	Operation #:	Interviewer:	Date:	<u> </u>
	2-digits	5-digits	Ir	nitials (mm/dd/yy)
1.		include time to discuss the onnaire]			min
2.	Total travel time [round	trip]			min
3.	one code of 0 through 6	e if questionnaire is complo that best describes the re	eason why the owner		code
		one on operation h government veterinariar o another survey or divulg cows)			
4.	Producer data quality		□₁ Good to	excellent □2 O	K □ ₃ Poor
5.		est describes the respond	•		code
	4 = Other hired employe6= Veterinarian on staf7= Herd veterinarian or	f (e.g., company veterinar	ian)		