

### 2017 NCORP Annual Meeting

OMB No.: 0925-0642 Expiration Date: 5/31/2020

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-XXXX). Do not return the completed form to this address.



2017 NCORP Annual Meeting

#### **General Questions**

Please select the best response for each question.

## 1. What is your role in NCORP? (Check all that apply)

PI or Investigator	Clinical / Research Staff
NCORP Administrator	NCI Staff
CCDR Lead	
Other (please specify)	

2. What type of NCORP grantee do you represent?			
Community Site	Research Base		
Minority/Underserved Community Site	Not Applicable		



2017 NCORP Annual Meeting

### Day 1 - Monday August 28 2017

For each session, please select the response which best represents the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements below.

# 3. The following sessions (titles and presenters below) provided useful information for my NCORP role.

	Agree	Neutral	Disagree
NCORP Year in Review (Worta McCaskill-Stevens, MD, MS)			
Keynote: Cancer Control in the 21st Century (Otis Brawley, M.D.)			
Science of CCDR (Ann Geiger, Ph.D., M.P.H.)			
Communicating Costs in Oncology: Advocacy Perspective (Shelly Fuld Nasso)			
Communicating Costs in Oncology: Research Perspective (Ya-Chen Tina Shih, Ph.D.)			
TMIST: Clearing the Fog (Jeannine Brant, Ph.D.)			$\bigcirc$
NCI Legislative Update: Congressional Budget Process and Resulting NIH Funding			
NCORP Research Bases Town Hall			$\bigcirc$

4. Was the breakout session you attended informative and relevant to
your NCORP role?

	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	N/A: DID NOT ATTEND
Financial Toxicity and Cancer Care Costs: Are You Ready to Talk About It?				
Insurance and Clinical Trials			$\bigcirc$	
Minority Health Disparities: Diversity Considerations	$\bigcirc$			
Registration Credentialing Repository (RCR)/Delegation of Task Log (DTL) Update				
Navigating the CIRB Protocol Review Process: Tips for Success		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	
5. Was the breako	out session	useful and relev	ant to your l	NCORP role?
6. Any additional sessions?	comments/	feedback on the	day 1 plena	ry or breakout

### Day 2 - Tuesday August 29 2017

For each session, please select the response which best represents the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements below.

## 7. The following sessions (titles and presenters below) provided useful information for my NCORP role.

	Agree	Neutral	Disagree
adiation Therapy Quality Assurance in Clinical Trials: Why and How (Dave Followill, Ph.D.)			
CTEP Update (Meg Mooney, M.D.)			$\bigcirc$
CIRB Update: Spotlighting Local Issues (Laura Covington)			
Considerations and Process for Applying for an NCI RFA (Michael Small, Ph.D.)			
Grants Administration (Sean Hine)			

# 8. Was the breakout session you attended informative and relevant to your NCORP role?

	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	N/A: DID NOT ATTEND
New and Upcoming NCORP CCDR Studies				
Overcoming Accrual Challenges: It Can be Done!				
Clinical Trials and Elderly Accrual: Has There Been Any Progress?			$\bigcirc$	
Social Media for NCORP: Promotion and Recruitment		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	

9. Any additional comments/feedback on the day 2 plenary or breakout sessions?
Community Oncology Research Program  A program of the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health  2017 NCORP Annual Meeting
Overall Meeting
Please select the response which best represents the extent to which you agree or disagree with the statements below.
10. There was sufficient time to interact with my colleagues.  Agree Neutral Disagree
11. There was sufficient time to interact with NCI staff.  Agree Neutral Disagree
12. There was sufficient time for audience questions and dialogue on session topics.
Agree Neutral Disagree
13. Was the length of the NCORP Investigator Meeting?  Too long Too short

Just right

14. Overall, how would you rate this meeting?
Excellent
Good
Fair
Poor
15. What session(s) did you find most useful and relevant?
16. What improvements or changes would you recommend for future NCORP Annual meetings?
17. What other topics would you like to see covered in future NCORP Annual meetings or NCORP webinars?