

OMB No.: 0925-0642

Expiration Date: 05/31/2020

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0642). Do not return the completed form to this address.

1. Please indicate your affiliation.

- Cancer Prevention Fellowship Program
- Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics (non-CPFP Fellow)
- Other

Grants and Grantsmanship in Practice/Anatomy of a Grant

2. I have a greater understanding of how grants are scored.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

3. I have a better understanding of the NIH grants review process.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. I understand better what reviewers respond positively to in grants.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. I understand better what reviewers respond negatively to in grants.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. I have a better sense of how much time it takes to write a grant.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. Other comments and suggestions for improvement:

K Funding Mechanisms

9. I received a thorough overview of the K funding mechanisms.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. I understand what K funding mechanisms are appropriate for me.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. I think the topic is appropriate for my work.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

12. I think the presentation was comprehensive.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

13. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

14. Other comments and suggestions for improvement:

Writing Specific Aims

15. I have a better understanding of the framework of the specific aims page.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

16. I have a better sense of how to write specific aims.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

17. I think the presentation was comprehensive.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

18. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

19. Other comments and suggestions for improvement:

Funding for Early-Career Scientists

20. I have a good sense of funding opportunities to NCI fellows.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

21. I have a greater appreciation of why it's important to start writing grants during my fellowship.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

22. I learned about funding opportunities of which I was not previously aware (or to this extent).

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

23. This session made me more likely to apply for a scientific or travel grant within the next year.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

24. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

25. Other comments and suggestions for improvement:

Budgeting a Grant

26. I have a better understanding of basic budgeting for NIH research grants.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

27. I have a better understanding of basic budgeting for career development grants.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

28. I learned how to formulate a basic budget page of which I was not previously aware (or to this extent).

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

29. This session made me more confident in formulating a budget for an NIH research project grant or career development grant.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

30. I think the lecturer was able to convey information clearly.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

31. Other comments and suggestions for improvement:

Experiential Sessions
Your Specific Aims (Part I and II)

32. I feel that reviewing specific aims was a worthwhile activity.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

33. I learned how to formulate a stronger specific aims page of which I was not previously aware (or to this extent).

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

34. Receiving feedback from other fellows about my specific aims prior to submitting my proposal was helpful.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

35. Other comments and suggestions for improvement:

Mock Study Section of Mini-Grant Proposal

36. I understand better what the primary and secondary reviewer's roles are in the review process.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

37. I understand how NIH grants are scored.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

38. I found the time allotted for this activity as a whole to be sufficient.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

39. I feel that reviewing proposals and participating in the mock study section were worthwhile activities.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

40. Other comments and suggestions for improvement:

Overall Goals/Evaluation of the Workshop

41. I feel that the workshop overall was a worthwhile activity.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

42. I would recommend the workshop highly to other fellows.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

43. The workshop helped me identify skills for development and areas for improvement.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

44. To which funding mechanism(s) will you be submitting aspects of the proposal (e.g. specific aims page) developed during this workshop? Select all that apply.

- CPFP Trans Fellowship Research Award (TFRA)
- DCEG Intramural Research Award (IRA)
- DCEG Fellows Award for Research Excellence (DFARE)
- DCCPS Collaborative Research Award for Fellows in Training (CRAFT)
- NIH Fellows Award for Research Excellence (FARE)
- NCI Director's Innovation Award
- Department of Defense (DOD) Horizon Award
- National Science Foundation Time-sharing Experiments for the Social Sciences (TESS)
- Sallie Rosen Kaplan (SRK) Postdoctoral Fellowship for Women Scientists in Cancer Research
- NCI Diversity Career Development Program
- K99/R00 NIH Pathway to Independence Award
- K22 NIH Career Transition Award
- I will not be submitting.
- Other (please specify)

45. If submitting, do you feel your proposal will be stronger now after taking the workshop and receiving feedback from your colleagues?

- Yes
- No
- I will not be submitting.

46. Please comment on the aspects of the workshop that you found most useful:

47. Please comment on the aspects of the workshop that were least helpful:

48. Please comment on the schedule for the workshop (i.e. whether it is more helpful to have the workshop occur over several weeks or to have the workshop more compressed):

49. Other comments and suggestions for improvement: