

## The 2nd RAS Initiative Symposium

### Less than 3 minutes RAS Symposium feedback

Thank you for your participation in the December 6-8, 2017, 2015 RAS Initiative Symposium. We would like to obtain feedback from this year's Symposium participants. All survey responses will be used for internal program evaluation only.

OMB No.: 0925-0642

Expiration Date: 05/31/2020

Collection of this information is authorized by The Public Health Service Act, Section 411 (42 USC 285a). Rights of study participants are protected by The Privacy Act of 1974. Participation is voluntary, and there are no penalties for not participating or withdrawing from the study at any time. Refusal to participate will not affect your benefits in any way. The information collected in this study will be kept private to the extent provided by law. Names and other identifiers will not appear in any report of the study. Information provided will be combined for all study participants and reported as summaries. You are being contacted by [Doyin.Brown-Adu@nih.gov](mailto:Doyin.Brown-Adu@nih.gov) to complete this instrument so obtain feedback on the 2nd RAS Initiative Symposium.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0642). Do not return the completed form to this address.

#### 1. Overall, how would you rate the 2nd RAS Initiative Symposium?

- Excellent  Fair
- Very good  Poor
- Good

Comments and Suggestions:

#### 2. Were the symposium topics relevant?

- Yes
- No

Suggestion for Topics?

3. Was the poster session a beneficial part of meeting?

- Yes
- No

Comments and Suggestions:

4. How was the length of the 2nd RAS Initiative symposium?

- Much too long
- Too long
- About right
- Too short
- Much too short

Comments and Suggestions:

5. How would you rate the conference venue?

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Comments and Suggestions:

6. How helpful & friendly were the staff at the conference site?

- Extremely helpful
- Very helpful
- Somewhat helpful
- Not so helpful
- Not at all helpful

Comments and Suggestions:

7. How will you rate the organization and variety of the lunches?

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Comments and Suggestions:

8. Was the shuttle schedule convenient?

- Yes
- No

Comments and Suggestions:

9. How were your hotel accommodations?

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Comments and Suggestions:

\* 10. Please share your comments/suggestions about the 2nd RAS Initiative Symposium

[+ NEW QUESTION](#) ▼